Exhibit A

Davor Rukavina Julian P. Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 (214) 855-7500 telephone (214) 978-4375 facsimile Email: drukavina@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	- 8	
111 10.	8	Chaptar 11
HIGH AND CADITAL MANAGENERAL D	8	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	- §	
	8	
Plaintiff,	8	Adversary Proceeding No
 ,	8	g
VS.	8	21-03005-sgj
v 5.	8	21-03003-sgj
NEWBORNE ADMIGODG A D. LANGE	8	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	Š	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

MOTION OF DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

TO THE HONORABLE STACEY G.C. JERNIGAN, U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

COMES NOW NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint"), one of the defendants in the above styled and numbered Adversary Proceeding initiated by Highland Capital Management, L.P. as the plaintiff (the "Debtor"), and files this its *Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* (the "Motion"), respectfully stating as follows:

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

1. By this Motion, NexPoint requests that the Court extend the deadline, in its *Order Approving Stipulation and Agreed Order Governing Discovery and Other Pre-Trial Issues* [docket no. 70] (the "Scheduling Order"), for the designation of experts and service of expert reports, through December 13, 2021, with a corresponding extension of expert discovery. Specifically, NexPoint finds it appropriate and advisable to designate a testifying expert on the standards and duties of care under the parties' Shared Services Agreement (defined below) with respect to Highland's role in NexPoint's alleged failure to make a December 21, 2020 payment on the Note (defined below); specifically, that Highland was responsible for ensuring that NexPoint made this payment. This request is necessitated by recent deposition testimony of key individuals on October 19 and 21, 2021, prior to which NexPoint did not know or reasonably believe that expert testimony on the duties of care would be advisable.

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

- 2. The Debtor initiated this Adversary Proceeding with the filing of its original complaint against NexPoint on January 22, 2021.
- 3. By this Adversary Proceeding, the Debtor seeks to collect on a promissory note issued by NexPoint to the Debtor on May 31, 2017 in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33 (the "Note"). The Note is a 30-year note and provides for an annual payment of principal and interest. After prior payments, the Debtor asserts that \$23,071,195.03 remains due and owing on the Note.
- 4. NexPoint has asserted various defenses and affirmative defenses to the Debtor's allegations and causes of action. This Motion concerns one such affirmative defense only, to the effect that the Debtor, through its employees, caused the alleged underlying default.

5. On July 28, 2021, the District Court entered an order adopting this Court's report and recommendation and ordering that the reference for this Adversary Proceeding will be withdrawn once this Court certifies this Adversary Proceeding as being trial ready. As part of the same, the District Court necessarily agreed and ordered that NexPoint has a right to a trial by jury of this Adversary Proceeding.

III. FACTS

- 6. This Motion is supported by the Declaration of Davor Rukavina, attached hereto as incorporated herein (the "Declaration").
- 7. The Debtor alleges that the Note required NexPoint to make a payment of principal and interest on December 31, 2020, and that NexPoint failed to make this payment. Thus, in January, 2021, the Debtor sent notice that the Note had been accelerated, and the Debtor demanded full and immediate payment.
- 8. One of NexPoint's affirmative defenses in this Adversary Proceeding concerns that certain *Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement* (the "Shared Services Agreement") between the Debtor and NexPoint dated January 1, 2018. The Agreement was in place as of December 31, 2020, although the Debtor terminated it later, in 2021. Under the Agreement, the Debtor provided various services to NexPoint, including so-called "back office" services, including treasury, accounting, and payables services. NexPoint has alleged that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor was responsible for ensuring that NexPoint made the allegedly required December 31, 2020 payment, although such payment would be made from NexPoint's funds. Indeed, Waterhouse (defined below) testified that it was "reasonable for NexPoint to rely on the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an upcoming payment due on the \$30 million promissory note." *See* Declaration at Exhibit C, 337:22-338:8.

- 9. NexPoint asserts that the Debtor failed to do so and, therefore, caused the alleged default, which it now seeks to exploit, and that, but for the Debtor's negligence, the Note would remain in place. NexPoint has always asserted this as an affirmative defense. *See* Docket No. 6. NexPoint's defense, however, was based on its belief that the Debtor and its employees, including Waterhouse, did nothing to facilitate or ensure the payment, as opposed to a conscious decision not to make the payment.
- 10. On October 19, 2021, the Debtor deposed Frank Waterhouse ("Waterhouse"), as did NexPoint, in connection with this Adversary Proceeding. Waterhouse was the Debtor's chief financial officer in December, 2020, and either the treasurer or chief financial officer (either way an officer) of NexPoint in December, 2020. To be clear, Waterhouse was the Debtor's employee, although he provided services to NexPoint as well pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement. Among other things, at this deposition, Waterhouse testified that, in early December, 2020, James Dondero ("Dondero"), who at that time controlled NexPoint but did not control the Debtor, instructed Waterhouse not to cause NexPoint to pay any more funds to the Debtor, including, expressly on the Note.
- 11. This changed the potential facts as NexPoint understood them to be from ones where the Debtor simply failed utterly to facilitate the payment, as it has always done, to one where the Debtor intentionally, allegedly upon the instructions of Dondero, decided not to facilitate the payment. Assuming the Dondero instruction to be true, this raises the question of whether the Debtor thereafter had any affirmative duty with respect to the alleged instruction.
- 12. NexPoint did not know that Waterhouse would provide this testimony. NexPoint understood that Dondero instructed Waterhouse to make no further payments on the Shared Services Agreement, because Dondero believed that NexPoint had overpaid by millions of dollars

on the Shared Services Agreement. But NexPoint did not understand that Waterhouse would testify that Dondero instructed him also not to pay the Note.

- 13. If Dondero told Waterhouse in early December, 2020 not to pay on the Note, then the question becomes whether Waterhouse or the Debtor thereafter "put their heads in the sand" in violation of any affirmative duty or obligation they may have had regarding the matter, such as: to ask Dondero whether they correctly understood him; to ask Dondero whether he meant NexPoint and the Note; to inform Dondero of the potential consequences of a default by potentially accelerating a 30-year promissory note; or to try to dissuade him from his decision. After all, the Debtor was responsible to facilitate the payment, the Debtor had various duties under the Shared Services Agreement, and it was in the Debtor's interest that NexPoint would default, thus creating a conflict of interest.
- 14. Accordingly, on October 19, 2021, when NexPoint deposed James Seery, NexPoint asked Mr. Seery about section 6.01 of the Shared Services Agreement, labeled "standard of care," which provides that the Debtor and Waterhouse "shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with like aims." Mr. Seery testified that he did not believe that this provision of the Shared Services Agreement obligated the Debtor or Waterhouse to do anything further after Dondero allegedly instructed Waterhouse not to pay on the Note.
- 15. At that time, NexPoint determined that it was appropriate, and would assist the finder of fact, to retain an expert on the "standard of care" provided for in the Shared Services Agreement. This is especially important because this will be a jury trial in the District Court. NexPoint did not believe that it would need to retain such an expert, and it had no reasonable grounds to suspect that it would need such an expert, prior to these depositions.

- 16. NexPoint moved as promptly as it could thereafter. NexPoint decided to retain an expert on October 22, 2021 and began searching for one on that day. NexPoint located a potential expert, Steven J. Pully, on October 26, 2021, and after conflicts were cleared and terms agreed to, Mr. Pully agreed to serve as NexPoint's expert on October 28, 2021. NexPoint files this motion just one day later, and less than two weeks after Waterhouse's deposition triggered the issue.
- 17. It goes without saying that neither Pully nor any reasonable expert can possibly review the issues, formulate an opinion, and prepare a report one day after they are retained. Among other things, Pully needs to review all underlying documents and deposition transcripts, some of which have yet to be returned by the court reporters. Accordingly, NexPoint believes that approximately six (6) weeks will be sufficient for Pully to prepare a report. NexPoint submits that the Debtor should have a period of time to then designate a potential rebuttal expert, and a period of time for expert discovery. Such a procedure would be fair for all involved and would constitute a minimal delay to what has already been a rapidly advanced case.

IV. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES

- 18. It is appropriate for an expert to consider the issue of Waterhouse's and the Debtor's duties under the Shared Services Agreement—*i.e.*, "duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with like aims,"—as issues such as "prudent person" and "like capacity and familiar with like aims" are appropriate for expert analysis and will assist the finder of fact, especially a jury.
- 19. Rule 16(b) provides that a deadline in a scheduling order may be modified "for good cause," although there is some uncertainty as to whether this standard applies only after a deadline has passed (which is not the case here). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4); *Marathon Fin. Ins.*

Inc. RRG v. Ford Motor Co., 591 F.3d 458, 470 (5th Cir. 2009) ("Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) governs amendment of pleadings after a scheduling order's deadline to amend has expired").

- 20. When the issue concerns an "untimely submission of expert reports," the Fifth Circuit has specified the following for factors as guiding the decision: "(1) the explanation for the failure to timely move for leave to amend; (2) the importance of the amendment; (3) potential prejudice in allowing the amendment; and (4) the availability of a continuance to cure such prejudice." *S&W Enters. v. Southtrust Bank of Ala.*, 315 F.3d 533, 536 (5th Cir. 2003). Again, this test applies to a deadline which has already expired. Logically, therefore, a lesser standard should apply when a party seeks relief prior to the expiration of a deadline, as NexPoint does here.
 - 21. Applying these or any factors:
 - (i) this Adversary Proceeding is only some nine (9) months old and the parties have moved very quickly, with all discovery almost over;
 - (ii) if this Motion is granted, all discovery in this Adversary Proceeding will have been completed by the end of 2021, still less than one (1) year after filing;
 - (iii) the reason for the need to extend the deadline is the most logical reason that most frequently appears—that discovery has necessitated some previously unexpected action—which is one of the purposes of discovery;
 - (iv) NexPoint's failure to previously designate an expert was due solely to not having the benefit of Waterhouse's and Seery's recent deposition testimony, and is not the result of any delay or lack of diligence, as evidenced by the fact that NexPoint did already and timely designate two other experts on other issues (*i.e.* NexPoint did not sit on its responsibility to consider retaining experts);
 - (v) the matter is important because the duties of care as specified in the Shared Services Agreement are terms of art necessitating an expert analysis, especially before a jury, and the matter goes to the heart of NexPoint's affirmative defense, and is necessitated by Waterhouse's testimony and not any prior action or inaction of NexPoint;
 - (vi) there is no prejudice to the Debtor, which will have sufficient time to retain a rebuttal expert and take expert discovery (*i.e.* no witnesses or documents have been lost); and

- (vii) a continuance is easily available to avoid any prejudice to the Debtor—indeed, there is no need for a continuance even as the Adversary Proceeding has yet to be certified as trial ready and it is likely that the District Court will not schedule the Adversary Proceeding for trial for some time.
- 22. NexPoint submits that this Motion cannot come as a surprise to the Debtor. NexPoint has asserted its affirmative defense since the beginning. The only difference now is that, instead of a wholesale disregard of any duty to facilitate the Note payment, the issue has evolved to whether the Debtor or Waterhouse had any affirmative duty to act after the alleged instruction from Dondero. As it can be presumed that Waterhouse previously informed the Debtor or its counsel of this alleged instruction (as he apparently informed other employees at the Debtor), the Debtor likely knew what Waterhouse's testimony would be well before NexPoint learned of that testimony. It is reasonable to conclude that the Debtor knew or should have known that the "standard of care" under the Shared Services Agreement would then become a material issue.
- 23. Accordingly, "good cause" to amend the Scheduling Order exists, if that higher standard even applies, and approving such amendment will not prejudice the Debtor and will instead serve the interests of justice.

V. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, NexPoint respectfully requests that the Court enter an order: (i) granting this Motion; (ii) modifying the Scheduling Order to extend the deadline to designate experts and serve expert reports through December 13, 2021; (iii) modifying the Scheduling Order accordingly for the potential designation of rebuttal experts and service of rebuttal expert reports, and extending expert discovery; and (iv) granting NexPoint such other and further relief as may be proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of October, 2021.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: /s/ Davor Rukavina

Davor Rukavina

State Bar No. 24030781

Julian P. Vasek.

State Bar No. 24070790

500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800

Dallas, Texas 75202-2790

Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375

Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 Email: drukavina@munsch.com

Email: jvasek@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on October 28, 2021, he conferred with counsel for the Debtor, John Morris, and the Debtor opposes the relief requested herein.

/s/ Davor Rukavina

Davor Rukavina

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on October 29, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including the exhibit thereto, was served on the following recipients via the Court's CM/ECF system:

Zachery Z. Annable on behalf of Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P. zannable@havwardfirm.com

Bryan C. Assink on behalf of Defendant James Dondero bryan.assink@bondsellis.com

Greta M. Brouphy on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com, dhepting@hellerdraper.com;vgamble@hellerdraper.com

Leslie A. Collins on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust lcollins@hellerdraper.com

Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez on behalf of Defendant James Dondero deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com;kinga.mccoy@stinson.com

Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez on behalf of Defendant Nancy Dondero deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com;kinga.mccoy@stinson.com

Douglas S. Draper on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust ddraper@hellerdraper.com, dhepting@hellerdraper.com;ygamble@hellerdraper.com;mlandis@hellerdraper.com;gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com

Melissa S. Hayward on behalf of Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P. MHayward@HaywardFirm.com, mholmes@HaywardFirm.com

Juliana Hoffman on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors jhoffman@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com;julianna-hoffman-8287@ecf.pacerpro.com

Paige Holden Montgomery on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors pmontgomery@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com;paige-montgomery-7756@ecf.pacerpro.com;crognes@sidley.com;ebromagen@sidley.com;efilingnotice@sidley.com

> /s/ Davor Rukavina Davor Rukavina

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	_
	§ Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§
	§ Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§
	_ §
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§ Adversary Proceeding No.
	§
VS.	§ 21-03005-sgj
NEITHOR III I DIVIGORO V. R. VINETO	§
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§
	§
Defendants.	_

DECLARATION OF DAVOR RUKAVINA

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF DALLAS

- I, Davor Rukavina, hereby state and testify to the following as being true and correct and under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of America:
- 1. My name is Davor Rukavina. I am over the age of 21, have never been convicted of a felony or crime of moral turpitude, and am otherwise competent to execute this Declaration.
- 2. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Texas. I am a shareholder at Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C. I am the lead attorney for NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint"), one of the defendants in this Adversary Proceeding.
- 3. At issue in this Adversary Proceeding is a 30-year promissory note executed by NexPoint in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33 (the "Note"), although the Note had been paid down significantly by the time of the filing of this Adversary Proceeding.

- 4. Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "<u>Debtor</u>") alleges that the Note required NexPoint to make a payment of principal and interest on December 31, 2020, and that NexPoint failed to make this payment. Thus, in January, 2021, the Debtor sent notice that the Note had been accelerated and the Debtor demanded full and immediate payment.
- 5. The parties agreed by written stipulation that they would disclose experts and produce expert reports on or before October 29, 2021, and the Court's scheduling order so requires. NexPoint requests an extension of this deadline. The following is the reason why.
- 6. One of NexPoint's affirmative defenses in this Adversary Proceeding concerns that certain Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement (the "Agreement") between the Debtor and NexPoint dated January 1, 2018, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." The Agreement was in place as of December 31, 2020, although the Debtor terminated it later in 2021. NexPoint alleges that, under the Agreement, the Debtor provided various services to NexPoint, including so-called "back office" services, including treasury, accounting, and payables services. NexPoint has alleged that, pursuant to the Agreement, the Debtor was responsible for ensuring that NexPoint made the allegedly required December 31, 2020 payment, although such payment would be made from NexPoint's funds. NexPoint therefore asserts that the Debtor failed to do so and, therefore, caused the alleged default, which it now seeks to exploit, and that, but for the Debtor's negligence, the Note would remain in place.
- 7. The foregoing has always been an affirmative defense of NexPoint in this Adversary Proceeding, including in its amended answer filed on September 1, 2021, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 8. On October 19, 2021, the Debtor deposed Frank Waterhouse ("<u>Waterhouse</u>"), as did I, in connection with this Adversary Proceeding. Waterhouse was the Debtor's chief financial

officer in December, 2020, and either the treasurer or chief financial officer (either way an officer) of NexPoint in December, 2020.

- 9. Among other things, at this deposition, Waterhouse testified that, in early December, 2020, James Dondero ("<u>Dondero</u>"), who at that time controlled NexPoint but did not control the Debtor, instructed Waterhouse not to cause NexPoint to pay any more funds to the Debtor, including, expressly on the Note. A copy of this deposition transcript is attached as Exhibit "C."
- 10. This testimony was not expected by me or by NexPoint. I had understood that Dondero instructed Waterhouse to make no further payments on the Agreement, because Dondero believed that NexPoint had overpaid by millions of dollars on the Agreement and because that was what Dondero and Waterhouse had been discussing. I had not understood that Waterhouse would testify that Dondero instructed him to also not pay the Note specifically.
- 11. Prior to that deposition, I had never spoken to Waterhouse. Waterhouse presently serves as an officer of NexPoint; however, and unlike every other case I have been involved with, I have not been permitted to discuss with Waterhouse litigation matters. This is because Waterhouse is in litigation with the Debtor on other matters and has separate and independent counsel, Debra Dandeneau and Frances Smith, who would not permit me to speak directly to Waterhouse, which I understood to be a logical and appropriate instruction to protect their client. I did discuss with Ms. Dandeneau what Waterhouse may know about the litigation between the Debtor and my clients, but that primarily focused on defenses that another client of mine, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., has. And I did discuss with Ms. Dandeneau that Dondero told Waterhouse to not make payments, but I understood that to be limited to the Agreement and to not include the Note, since the topic under discussion (as it was told to me)

between Dondero and Waterhouse was the Agreement and overpayments on the Agreement, and not the Note.

- 12. In sum, prior to October 19, 2021, I did not know that Waterhouse would testify that Dondero told him to not pay on the Note, and I had no reasonable reason to suspect the same. My surprise is evident from the transcript of that deposition, where I asked Waterhouse multiple times whether he was sure that Dondero told him this—so much so that opposing counsel objected multiple times as "asked and answered," and even objected as having been asked and answered "four time." Exhibit "C" at 390-392.
- 13. Assuming that Waterhouse's testimony on this issue will be accepted by a trier of fact, the question is whether, from NexPoint's perspective, Waterhouse had no further duties to review, confirm, investigate, or to discuss the issue with Dondero. In that respect, section 6.01 of the Agreement, labeled "standard of care," states that the Debtor and Waterhouse "shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims."
- 14. I deposed Jim Seery on October 21, 2021, and asked him various questions about this provision of the Agreement. Mr. Seery testified to the effect that he did not believe that the Agreement obligated the Debtor or Waterhouse to do anything further after Dondero told Waterhouse to not pay the Note (again, assuming that this was true). I do not have a copy of Mr. Seer's deposition yet.
- 15. With Mr. Seery testifying that he did not believe that the Agreement required the Debtor or Waterhouse to do anything further if Dondero in fact gave the instruction Waterhouse testified that he did, NexPoint concluded that it needed to retain an expert to review whether the "standard of care" specified in the Agreement compelled the Debtor or Waterhouse to do anything

further after Dondero gave the alleged instruction, such as checking with him to see if they understood him correctly, advising him of the potential serious consequences of a default, trying to dissuade him, or at least asking him once again prior to December 31, 2020 whether the payment should be made.

- 16. On October 22, 2021, I began searching for a potential expert. On October 26, 2021, I contacted Steven J. Pully about the potential engagement. After clearing conflicts and coming to an agreement, Mr. Pully agreed to the engagement on October 28, 2021. The engagement letter has yet to be finalized and executed, but I have every confidence that it will and the urgency of the matter necessitates this Declaration at this time. I have been extremely diligent in searching for an finding an expert once NexPoint determined that the retention of an expert was appropriate, which did not occur until the Seery deposition on October 21, 2021.
- 17. Even though NexPoint has retained Mr. Pully as of October 28, 2021, it is not possible for Mr. Pully to formulate an opinion and prepare a report by October 29, 2021. Among other things, various deposition transcripts of important witnesses have yet to be received and reviewed by Mr. Pully, and Mr. Pully has yet to review the underlying documents. Assuming no undue delays with respect to deposition transcripts, Mr. Pully should be able to prepare a report by December 13, 2021.
- 18. NexPoint therefore seeks an extension of the expert designation and report deadline through December 13, 2021, in order that justice may be done and not for delay or any improper purpose, NexPoint not having designated an expert before due solely to the lack of knowledge that Waterhouse would testify as he did on October 19, 2021 and that Mr. Seery would testify as to his view that the Agreement did not require Waterhouse to do anything thereafter.

I hereby swear under oath and penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. $\textbf{C43333330705} \\ \textbf{59.40} \\ \textbf{400008686} \\ \textbf{-Eileibeld 01/09291/21} \\ \textbf{Enliete cheld 01/09291/22115823738} \\ \textbf{P47.40} \\ \textbf{4000014446} \\ \textbf{400001446} \\ \textbf{40000146} \\ \textbf{40$

AMENDED AND RESTATED SHARED SERVICES AGREEMENT

This Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement (as amended, modified, waived, supplemented or restated from time to time in accordance with the terms hereof, this "Agreement"), dated effective as of January 1, 2018, is entered into by and between NexPoint Advisors, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, as the management company hereunder (in such capacity, the "Management Company"), and Highland Capital Management, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("Highland"), as the staff and services provider hereunder (in such capacity, the "Staff and Services Provider" and together with the Management Company, the "Parties").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Staff and Services Provider is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act");

WHEREAS, the Staff and Services Provider and the Management Company are engaged in the business of providing investment management services;

WHEREAS, the Parties entered into that certain Shared Services Agreement, dated effective as of January 1, 2013 (the "Original Agreement");

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to amend and restated the Original Agreement and the Staff and Services Provider is hereby being retained to provide certain back- and middle-office services and administrative, infrastructure and other services to assist the Management Company in conducting its business, and the Staff and Services Provider is willing to make such services available to the Management Company, in each case, on the terms and conditions hereof;

WHEREAS, the Management Company may employ certain individuals to perform portfolio selection and asset management functions for the Management Company, and certain of these individuals may also be employed simultaneously by the Staff and Services Provider during their employment with the Management Company; and

WHEREAS, each Person employed by both the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider as described above (each, a "Shared Employee"), if any, is and shall be identified on the books and records of each of the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider (as amended, modified, supplemented or restated from time to time).

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereby agree, and the Original Agreement is hereby amended, restated and replaced in its entirety as follows.

ARTICLE I

DEFINITIONS

Section 1.01 <u>Certain Defined Terms</u>. As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

"Affiliate" shall mean with respect to a Person, any other Person that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the first Person. The term "control" means (i) the legal or beneficial ownership of securities representing a majority of the voting power of any person or (ii) the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a person, whether by contract or otherwise.

"Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations" means any applicable eligibility criteria, portfolio concentration limits and other similar criteria or limits which the Management Company instructs in writing to the Staff and Services Provider in respect of the Portfolio or one or more Accounts, as such criteria or limits may be modified, amended or supplemented from time to time in writing by the Management Company;

"Applicable Law" shall mean, with respect to any Person or property of such Person, any action, code, consent decree, constitution, decree, directive, enactment, finding, guideline, law, injunction, interpretation, judgment, order, ordinance, policy statement, proclamation, formal guidance, promulgation, regulation, requirement, rule, rule of law, rule of public policy, settlement agreement, statute, writ, or any particular section, part or provision thereof of any Governmental Authority to which the Person in question is subject or by which it or any of its property is bound.

"Client or Account" shall mean any fund, client or account advised by the Management Company, as applicable.

"Covered Person" shall mean the Staff and Services Provider, any of its Affiliates, and any of their respective managers, members, principals, partners, directors, officers, shareholders, employees and agents (but shall not include the Management Company, its subsidiaries or member(s) and any managers, members, principals, partners, directors, officers, shareholders, employees and agents of the Management Company or its subsidiaries or member(s) (in their capacity as such)).

"Governmental Authority" shall mean (i) any government or quasi-governmental authority or political subdivision thereof, whether national, state, county, municipal or regional, whether U.S. or non-U.S.; (ii) any agency, regulator, arbitrator, board, body, branch, bureau, commission, corporation, department, master, mediator, panel, referee, system or instrumentality of any such government, political subdivision or other government or quasi-government entity, whether non-U.S. or U.S.; and (iii) any court, whether U.S. or non-U.S.

"Indebtedness" shall mean: (a) all indebtedness for borrowed money and all other obligations, contingent or otherwise, with respect to surety bonds, guarantees of borrowed money, letters of credit and bankers' acceptances whether or not matured, and hedges and other derivative contracts and financial instruments; (b) all obligations evidenced by notes, bonds, debentures, or similar instruments, or incurred under bank guaranty or letter of credit facilities or credit agreements; (c) all indebtedness created or arising under any conditional sale or other title retention agreement with respect to any property of the Management Company or any subsidiary; (d) all capital lease obligations; (e) all indebtedness guaranteed by such Person or any of its subsidiaries; and (f) all indebtedness guaranteed by such Person or any of its subsidiaries.

"Operating Guidelines" means any operating guidelines attached to any portfolio management agreement, investment management agreement or similar agreement entered into between the Management Company and a Client or Account.

"Portfolio" means the portfolio of securities and other assets, including without limitation, financial instruments, equity investments, collateral loan obligations, debt securities, preferred return notes and other similar obligations held directly or indirectly by, or on behalf of, Clients and Accounts from time to time;

"Securities Act" shall mean the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Section 1.02 Interpretation. The following rules apply to the use of defined terms and the interpretation of this Agreement: (i) the singular includes the plural and the plural includes the singular; (ii) "or" is not exclusive (unless preceded by "either") and "include" and "including" are not limiting; (iii) unless the context otherwise requires, references to agreements shall be deemed to mean and include such agreements as the same may be amended, supplemented, waived and otherwise modified from time to time; (iv) a reference to a law includes any amendment or modification to such law and any rules or regulations issued thereunder or any law enacted in substitution or replacement therefor; (v) a reference to a Person includes its successors and assigns: (vi) a reference to a Section without further reference is to the relevant Section of this Agreement; (vii) the headings of the Sections and subsections are for convenience and shall not affect the meaning of this Agreement; (viii) "writing", "written" and comparable terms refer to printing, typing, lithography and other shall mean of reproducing words in a visible form (including telefacsimile and electronic mail); (ix) "hereof", "herein", "hereunder" and comparable terms refer to the entire instrument in which such terms are used and not to any particular article, section or other subdivision thereof or attachment thereto; and (x) references to any gender include any other gender, masculine, feminine or neuter, as the context requires.

ARTICLE II

SERVICES

Section 2.01 General Authority. Highland is hereby appointed as Staff and Services Provider for the purpose of providing such services and assistance as the Management Company may request from time to time to, and if applicable, to make available the Shared Employees to, the Management Company in accordance with and subject to the provisions of this Agreement and the Staff and Services Provider hereby accepts such appointment. The Staff and Services Provider hereby agrees to such engagement during the term hereof and to render the services described herein for the compensation provided herein, subject to the limitations contained herein.

- Section 2.02 <u>Provision of Services</u>, Without limiting the generality of Section 2.01 and subject to Section 2.04 (Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations) below, the Staff and Services Provider hereby agrees, from the date hereof, to provide the following back- and middle-office services and administrative, infrastructure and other services to the Management Company.
- (a) Back- and Middle-Office: Assistance and advice with respect to back- and middle-office functions including, but not limited to, investment research, trade desk services,

including trade execution and settlement, finance and accounting, payments, operations, book keeping, cash management, cash forecasting, accounts payable, accounts receivable, expense reimbursement, vendor management, and information technology (including, without limitation, general support and maintenance (OMS, development, support), telecom (cellphones, telephones and broadband) and WSO);

- (b) Legal/Compliance/Risk Analysis. Assistance and advice with respect to legal issues, litigation support, management of outside counsel, compliance support and implementation and general risk analysis;
- (c) Tax. Assistance and advice with respect to tax audit support, tax planning and tax preparation and filing.
- (d) Management of Clients and Accounts. Assistance and advice with respect to (i) the adherence to Operating Guidelines by the Management Company, and (ii) performing any obligations of the Management Company under or in connection with any back- and middle-office function set forth in any portfolio management agreement, investment management agreement or similar agreement in effect between the Management Company and any Client or Account from time to time.
- (e) Valuation. Advice relating to the appointment of suitable third parties to provide valuations on assets comprising the Portfolio and including, but not limited to, such valuations required to facilitate the preparation of financial statements by the Management Company or the provision of valuations in connection with, or preparation of reports otherwise relating to, a Client or Account for which the Management Company serves as portfolio manager or investment manager or in a similar capacity;
- (f) Execution and Documentation. Assistance relating to the negotiation of the terms of, and the execution and delivery by the Management Company of, any and all documents which the Management Company considers to be necessary in connection with the acquisition and disposition of an asset in the Portfolio by the Management Company or a Client or Account managed by the Management Company, transactions involving the Management Company or a Client or Account managed by the Management Company, and any other rights and obligations of the Management Company or a Client or Account managed by the Management Company;
- (g) Marketing. Provide access to marketing team representatives to assist with the marketing of the Management Company and any specified Clients or Accounts managed by the Management Company conditional on the Management Company's agreement that any incentive compensation related to such marketing shall be borne by the Management Company;
- (h) Reporting. Assistance relating to any reporting the Management Company is required to make in relation to the Portfolio or any Client or Account, including reports relating to (i) credit facility reporting and purchases, sales, liquidations, acquisitions, disposals, substitutions and exchanges of assets in the Portfolio, (ii) the requirements of an applicable regulator, or (iii) other type of reporting which the Management Company and Staff and Services Provider may agree from time to time;

- (i) Administrative Services. The provision of office space, information technology services and equipment, infrastructure, rent and parking and other related services requested or utilized by the Management Company from time to time;
- (j) Shared Employees. To the extent applicable, the provision of Shared Employees and such additional human capital as may be mutually agreed by the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.03 hereof;
- (k) Ancillary Services. Assistance and advice on all things ancillary or incidental to the foregoing; and
- (I) Other. Assistance and advice relating to such other back- and middle-office services in connection with the day-to-day business of the Management Company as the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider may from time to time agree.

For the avoidance of doubt, none of the services contemplated hereunder shall constitute investment advisory services, and the Staff & Services Provider shall not provide any advice to the Management Company or perform any duties on behalf of the Management Company, other than the back- and middle-office services contemplated herein, with respect to (a) the general management of the Management Company, its business or activities, (b) the initiation or structuring of any Client or Account or similar securitization, (c) the substantive investment management decisions with respect to any Client or Account or any related collateral obligations or securitization, (d) the actual selection of any collateral obligation or assets by the Management Company, (e) binding recommendations as to any disposal of or amendment to any Collateral Obligation or (f) any similar functions.

Section 2.03 Shared Employees.

The Staff and Services Provider hereby agrees and consents that each Shared Employee, if any, shall be employed by the Management Company, and the Management Company hereby agrees and consents that each Shared Employee shall be employed by the Staff and Services Provider. Except as may otherwise separately be agreed in writing between the applicable Shared Employee and the Management Company and/or the Staff and Services Provider, in each of their discretion, each Shared Employee is an at-will employee and no guaranteed employment or other employment arrangement is agreed or implied by this Agreement with respect to any Shared Employee, and for avoidance of doubt this Agreement shall not amend, limit, constrain or modify in any way the employment arrangements as between any Shared Employee and the Staff and Services Provider or as between any Shared Employee and the Management Company, it being understood that the Management Company may enter into a shortform employment agreement with any Shared Employee memorializing such Shared Employee's status as an employee of the Management Company. To the extent applicable, the Staff and Services Provider shall ensure that the Management Company has sufficient access to the Shared Employees so that the Shared Employees spend adequate time to provide the services required hereunder. The Staff and Services Provider may also employ the services of persons other than the Specified Persons as it deems fit in its sole discretion

- (b) Notwithstanding that the Shared Employees, if any, shall be employed by both the Staff and Services Provider and the Management Company, the Parties acknowledge and agree that any and all salary and benefits of each Shared Employee shall be paid exclusively by the Staff and Services Provider and shall not be paid or borne by the Management Company and no additional amounts in connection therewith shall be due from the Management Company to the Staff and Services Provider.
- (c) To the extent that a Shared Employee participates in the rendering of services to the Management Company's clients, the Shared Employee shall be subject to the oversight and control of the Management Company and such services shall be provided by the Shared Employee exclusively in his or her capacity as a "supervised person" of, or "person associated with", the Management Company (as such terms are defined in Sections 202(a)(25) and 202(a)(17), respectively, of the Advisers Act).
- (d) Each Party may continue to oversee, supervise and manage the services of each Shared Employee in order to (1) ensure compliance with the Party's compliance policies and procedures, (2) ensure compliance with regulations applicable to the Party and (3) protect the interests of the Party and its clients; provided that Staff and Services Provider shall (A) cooperate with the Management Company's supervisory efforts and (B) make periodic reports to the Management Company regarding the adherence of Shared Employees to Applicable Law, including but not limited to the 1940 Act, the Advisers Act and the United States Commodity Exchange Act of 1936, as amended, in performing the services hereunder.
- (e) Where a Shared Employee provides services hereunder through both Parties, the Parties shall cooperate to ensure that all such services are performed consistently with Applicable Law and relevant compliance controls and procedures designed to prevent, among other things, breaches in information security or the communication of confidential, proprietary or material non-public information.
- (f) The Staff and Services Provider shall ensure that each Shared Employee has any registrations, qualifications and/or licenses necessary to provide the services hereunder.
- Employees is adequately and appropriately disclosed to clients, investors (and potential investors), investment banks operating as initial purchaser or placement agent with respect to any Client or Account, and regulators, as applicable. To facilitate such disclosure, the Staff and Services Provider agrees to provide, or cause to be provided, to the Management Company such information as is deemed by the Management Company to be necessary or appropriate with respect to the Staff and Services Provider and the Shared Employees (including, but not limited to, biographical information about each Shared Employee).
- (h) The Parties shall cooperate to ensure that, when so required, each has adopted a Code of Ethics meeting the requirements of the Advisers Act ("Code of Ethics") that is consistent with applicable law and which is substantially similar to the other Party's Code of Ethics.

- (i) The Staff and Services Provider shall make reasonably available for use by the Management Company, including through Shared Employees providing services pursuant to this Agreement, any relevant intellectual property and systems necessary for the provision of the services hereunder.
 - (i) The Staff and Services Provider shall require that each Shared Employee:
 - (i) certify that he or she is subject to, and has been provided with, a copy of each Party's Code of Ethics and will make such reports, and seek prior clearance for such actions and activities, as may be required under the Codes of Ethics;
 - (ii) be subject to the supervision and oversight of each Party's officers and directors, including without limitation its Chief Compliance Officer ("CCO"), which CCO may be the same Person, with respect to the services provided to that Party or its clients;
 - (iii) provide services hereunder and take actions hereunder only as approved by the Management Company;
 - (iv) provide any information requested by a Party, as necessary to comply with applicable disclosure or regulatory obligations;
 - (v) to the extent authorized to transact on behalf of the Management Company or a Client or Account, take reasonable steps to ensure that any such transaction is consistent with any policies and procedures that may be established by the Parties and all Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations; and
 - (vi) act, at all times, in a manner consistent with the fiduciary duties and standard of care owed by the Management Company to its members and direct or indirect investors or to a Client or Account as well as clients of Staff and Services Provider by seeking to ensure that, among other things, information about any investment advisory or trading activity applicable to a particular client or group of clients is not used to benefit the Shared Employee, any Party or any other client or group of clients in contravention of such fiduciary duties or standard of care.
- (k) Unless specifically authorized to do so, or appointed as an officer or authorized person of the Management Company with such authority, no Shared Employee may contract on behalf or in the name of the Management Company, acting as principal.
- Section 2.04 <u>Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations</u>. The Management Company will promptly inform the Staff and Services Provider in writing of any Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations to which it agrees from time to time and the Staff and Services Provider shall take such Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations into account when providing assistance and advice in accordance with <u>Section 2.02</u> above and any other assistance or advice provided in accordance with this Agreement.
- Section 2.05 <u>Compliance with Management Company Policies and Procedures</u>. The Management Company will from time to time provide the Staff and Services Provider and the

7

Shared Employees, if any, with any policy and procedure documentation which it establishes internally and to which it is bound to adhere in conducting its business pursuant to regulation, contract or otherwise. Subject to any other limitations in this Agreement, the Staff and Services Provider will use reasonable efforts to ensure any services it and the Shared Employees provide pursuant to this Agreement complies with or takes account of such internal policies and procedures.

Section 2.06 <u>Authority</u>. The Staff and Services Provider's scope of assistance and advice hereunder is limited to the services specifically provided for in this Agreement. The Staff and Services Provider shall not assume or be deemed to assume any rights or obligations of the Management Company under any other document or agreement to which the Management Company is a party. Notwithstanding any other express or implied provision to the contrary in this Agreement, the activities of the Staff and Services Provider pursuant to this Agreement shall be subject to the overall policies of the Management Company, as notified to the Staff and Services Provider from time to time. The Staff and Services Provider shall not have any duties or obligations to the Management Company unless those duties and obligations are specifically provided for in this Agreement (or in any amendment, modification or novation hereto or hereof to which the Staff and Services Provider is a party).

Section 2.07 Third Parties.

- (a) The Staff and Services Provider may employ third parties, including its affiliates, to render advice, provide assistance and to perform any of its duties under this Agreement; provided that notwithstanding the employment of third parties for any such purpose, the Staff and Services Provider shall not be relieved of any of its obligations or liabilities under this Agreement.
- (b) In providing services hereunder, the Staff and Services Provider may rely in good faith upon and will incur no liability for relying upon advice of nationally recognized counsel (which may be counsel for the Management Company, a Client or Account or any Affiliate of the foregoing), accountants or other advisers as the Staff and Services Provider determines, in its sole discretion, is reasonably appropriate in connection with the services provided by the Staff and Services Provider under this Agreement.
- Section 2.08 Management Company to Cooperate with the Staff and Services Provider. In furtherance of the Staff and Services Provider's obligations under this Agreement the Management Company shall cooperate with, provide to, and fully inform the Staff and Services Provider of, any and all documents and information the Staff and Services Provider reasonably requires to perform its obligations under this Agreement.
- Section 2.09 Power of Attorney. If the Management Company considers it necessary for the provision by the Staff and Services Provider of the assistance and advice under this Agreement (after consultation with the Staff and Services Provider), it may appoint the Staff and Services Provider as its true and lawful agent and attorney, with full power and authority in its name to sign, execute, certify, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file, receive and record any and all documents that the Staff and Services Provider reasonably deems appropriate or necessary in connection with the execution and settlement of acquisitions of assets as directed by the Management Company

and the Staff and Services Provider's powers and duties hereunder (which for the avoidance of doubt shall in no way involve the discretion and/or authority of the Management Company with respect to investments). Any such power shall be revocable in the sole discretion of the Management Company.

ARTICLE III

CONSIDERATION AND EXPENSES

- Section 3.01 <u>Consideration</u>. As compensation for its performance of its obligations as Staff and Services Provider under this Agreement, the Staff and Services Provider will be entitled to receive a flat fee of \$168,000 per month (the "<u>Staff and Services Fee</u>"), payable monthly in advance on the first business day of each month.
- Section 3.02 <u>Costs and Expenses</u>. Each party shall bear its own expenses; *provided* that the Management Company shall reimburse the Staff and Services Provider for any and all costs and expenses that may be borne properly by the Management Company.
- Section 3.03 <u>Deferral</u>. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, if on any date the Management Company determines that it would not have sufficient funds available to it to make a payment of Indebtedness, it shall have the right to defer any all and amounts payable to the Staff and Services Provider pursuant to this Agreement, including any fees and expenses; provided that the Management Company shall promptly pay all such amounts on the first date thereafter that sufficient amounts exist to make payment thereof.

ARTICLE IV

REPRESENTATIONS AND COVENANTS

- Section 4.01 Representations. Each of the Parties hereto represents and warrants that:
- (a) It has full power and authority to execute and deliver, and to perform its obligations under, this Agreement;
- (b) this Agreement has been duly authorized, executed and delivered by it and constitutes its valid and binding, obligation, enforceable in accordance with its terms except as the enforceability hereof may be subject to (i) bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization moratorium, receivership, conservatorship or other similar laws now or hereafter in effect relating to creditors' rights and (ii) general principles of equity (regardless of whether such enforcement is considered in a proceeding, in equity or at law):
- (c) no consent, approval, authorization or order of or declaration or filing with any Governmental Authority is required for the execution of this Agreement or the performance by it of its duties hereunder, except such as have been duly made or obtained; and
- (d) neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor the fulfillment of the terms hereof conflicts with or results in a breach or violation of any of the terms or provisions of, or constitutes a default under, (i) its constituting and organizational documents; or (ii) the terms

of any material indenture, contract, lease, mortgage, deed of trust, note, agreement or other evidence of indebtedness or other material agreement, obligation, condition, covenant or instrument to which it is a party or by which it is bound.

ARTICLE V

COVENANTS

Section 5.01 Compliance: Advisory Restrictions.

- (a) The Staff and Services Provider shall reasonably cooperate with the Management Company in connection with the Management Company's compliance with its policies and procedures relating to oversight of the Staff and Services Provider. Specifically, the Staff and Services Provider agrees that it will provide the Management Company with reasonable access to information relating to the performance of Staff and Services Provider's obligations under this Agreement.
- (b) This Agreement is not intended to and shall not constitute an assignment, pledge or transfer of any portfolio management agreement or any part thereof. It is the express intention of the parties hereto that this Agreement and all services performed hereunder comply in all respects with all (a) applicable contractual provisions and restrictions contained in each portfolio management agreement, investment management agreement or similar agreement and each document contemplated thereby; and (b) Applicable Laws (collectively, the "Advisory Restrictions"). If any provision of this Agreement is determined to be in violation of any Advisory Restriction, then the services to be provided under this Agreement shall automatically be limited without action by any person or entity, reduced or modified to the extent necessary and appropriate to be enforceable to the maximum extent permitted by such Advisory Restriction.

Section 5.02 Records; Confidentiality.

The Staff and Services Provider shall maintain or cause to be maintained appropriate books of account and records relating to its services performed hereunder, and such books of account and records shall be accessible for inspection by representatives of the Management Company and its accountants and other agents at any time during normal business hours and upon not less than three (3) Business Days' prior notice; provided that the Staff and Services Provider shall not be obligated to provide access to any non-public information if it in good faith determines that the disclosure of such information would violate any applicable law, regulation or contractual arrangement.

The Staff and Services Provider shall follow its customary procedures to keep confidential any and all information obtained in connection with the services rendered hereunder that is either (a) of a type that would ordinarily be considered proprietary or confidential, such as information concerning the composition of assets, rates of return, credit quality, structure or ownership of securities, or (b) designated as confidential obtained in connection with the services rendered by the Staff and Services Provider hereunder and shall not disclose any such information to non-affiliated third parties, except (i) with the prior written consent of the Management Company, (ii) such information as a rating agency shall reasonably request in connection with its

rating of notes issued by a CLO or supplying credit estimates on any obligation included in the Portfolio, (iii) in connection with establishing trading or investment accounts or otherwise in connection with effecting transactions on behalf of the Management Company or any Client or Account for which the Management Company serves as portfolio manager or investment manager or in a similar capacity, (iv) as required by (A) Applicable Law or (B) the rules or regulations of any self-regulating organization, body or official having jurisdiction over the Staff and Services Provider or any of its Affiliates, (v) to its professional advisors (including, without limitation, legal, tax and accounting advisors), (vi) such information as shall have been publicly disclosed other than in known violation of this Agreement or shall have been obtained by the Staff and Services Provider on a non-confidential basis, (vii) such information as is necessary or appropriate to disclose so that the Staff and Services Provider may perform its duties hereunder, (viii) as expressly permitted in the final offering memorandum or any definitive transaction documents relating to any Client or Account, (ix) information relating to performance of the Portfolio as may be used by the Staff and Services Provider in the ordinary course of its business or (xx) such information as is routinely disclosed to the trustee, custodian or collateral administrator of any Client or Account in connection with such trustee's, custodian's or collateral administrator's performance of its obligations under the transaction documents related to such Client or Account. Notwithstanding the foregoing, it is agreed that the Staff and Services Provider may disclose without the consent of any Person (1) that it is serving as staff and services provider to the Management Company, (2) the nature, aggregate principal amount and overall performance of the Portfolio, (3) the amount of earnings on the Portfolio, (4) such other information about the Management Company, the Portfolio and the Clients or Accounts as is customarily disclosed by staff and services providers to management vehicles similar to the Management Company, and (5) the United States federal income tax treatment and United States federal income tax structure of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and the related documents and all materials of any kind (including opinions and other tax analyses) that are provided to them relating to such United States federal income tax treatment and United States income tax structure. This authorization to disclose the U.S. tax treatment and tax structure does not permit disclosure of information identifying the Staff and Services Provider, the Clients or Accounts or any other party to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement (except to the extent such information is relevant to U.S. tax structure or tax treatment of such transactions).

ARTICLE VI

EXCULPATION AND INDEMNIFICATION

Section 6.01 Standard of Care. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, each Covered Person shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims. To the extent not inconsistent with the foregoing, each Covered Person shall follow its customary standards, policies and procedures in performing its duties hereunder. No Covered Person shall deal with the income or assets of the Management Company in such Covered Person's own interest or for its own account. Each Covered Person in its respective sole and absolute discretion may separately engage or invest in any other business ventures, including those that may be in competition with the Management Company, and the Management Company will not have any rights in or to such ventures or the income or profits derived therefrom

Section 6.02 Exculpation. To the fullest extent permitted by law, no Covered Person will be liable to the Management Company, any Member, or any shareholder, partner or member thereof, for (i) any acts or omissions by such Covered Person arising out of or in connection with the conduct of the business of the Management Company or its General Partner, or any investment made or held by the Management Company or its General Partner, unless it is determined ultimately by a court of competent jurisdiction, in a final nonappealable judgment, to be the result of gross negligence or to constitute fraud or willful misconduct (as interpreted under the laws of the State of Delaware) (each, a "Disabling Conduct") on the part of such Covered Person, (ii) any act or omission of any Investor, (iii) any mistake, gross negligence, misconduct or bad faith of any employee, broker, administrator or other agent or representative of such Covered Person, provided that such employee, broker, administrator or agent was selected, engaged or retained by or on behalf of such Covered Person with reasonable care, or (iv) any consequential (including loss of profit), indirect, special or punitive damages. To the extent that, at law or in equity, any Covered Person has duties (including fiduciary duties) and liabilities relating thereto to the Management Company or any Member, no Covered Person acting under this Agreement shall be liable to the Management Company or to any such Member for its good-faith reliance on the provisions of this Agreement. The exculpations set forth in this Section 6.02 shall exculpate any Covered Person regardless of such Covered Person's sole, comparative, joint, concurrent, or subsequent negligence.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, no Covered Person shall have any personal liability to the Management Company or any Member solely by reason of any change in U.S. federal, state or local or foreign income tax laws, or in interpretations thereof, as they apply to the Management Company or the Members, whether the change occurs through legislative, judicial or administrative action.

Any Covered Person in its sole and absolute discretion may consult legal counsel, accountants or other advisers selected by it, and any act or omission taken, or made in good faith by such Person on behalf of the Management Company or in furtherance of the business of the Management Company in good-faith reliance on and in accordance with the advice of such counsel, accountants or other advisers shall be full justification for the act or omission, and to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, no Covered Person shall be liable to the Management Company or any Member in so acting or omitting to act if such counsel, accountants or other advisers were selected, engaged or retained with reasonable care.

Section 6.03 <u>Indemnification by the Management Company</u>. The Management Company shall and hereby does, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, indemnify and hold harmless any Covered Person from and against any and all claims, causes of action (including, but not limited to, strict liability, negligence, statutory violation, regulatory violation, breach of contract, and all other torts and claims arising under common law), demands, liabilities, costs, expenses, damages, losses, suits, proceedings, judgments, assessments, actions and other liabilities, whether judicial, administrative, investigative or otherwise, of whatever nature, known or unknown, liquidated or unliquidated ("Claims"), that may accrue to or be incurred by any Covered Person, or in which any Covered Person may become involved, as a party or otherwise, or with which any Covered Person may be threatened, relating to or arising out of the investment or other activities of the Management Company or its General Partner, or activities undertaken in connection with the Management Company or its General Partner, or otherwise relating to or

arising out of this Agreement, including amounts paid in satisfaction of judgments, in compromise or as fines or penalties, and attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in connection with the preparation for or defense or disposition of any investigation, action, suit, arbitration or other proceeding (a "Proceeding"), whether civil or criminal (all of such Claims, amounts and expenses referred to therein are referred to collectively as "Damages"), except to the extent that it shall have been determined ultimately by a court of competent jurisdiction, in a final nonappealable judgment, that such Damages arose primarily from Disabling Conduct of such Covered Person. The termination of any Proceeding by settlement, judgment, order, conviction or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent shall not, of itself, create a presumption that any Damages relating to such settlement, judgment, order, conviction or plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent or otherwise relating to such Proceeding arose primarily from Disabling Conduct of any Covered Persons. Any Covered Person shall be indemnified under the terms of this Section 6.03 regardless of such Covered Person's sole, comparative, joint, concurrent, or subsequent negligence.

Expenses (including attorneys' fees) incurred by a Covered Person in defense or settlement of any Claim that may be subject to a right of indemnification hereunder shall be advanced by the Management Company prior to the final disposition thereof upon receipt of a written undertaking by or on behalf of the Covered Person to repay the amount advanced to the extent that it shall be determined ultimately by a court of competent jurisdiction that the Covered Person is not entitled to be indemnified hereunder. The right of any Covered Persons to the indemnification provided herein shall be cumulative of, and in addition to, any and all rights to which the Covered Person may otherwise be entitled by contract or as a matter of law or equity and shall be extended to the Covered Person's successors, assigns and legal representatives. Any judgments against the Management Company and/or any Covered Persons in respect of which such Covered Person is entitled to indemnification shall first be satisfied from the assets of the Management Company, including Drawdowns, before such Covered Person is responsible therefor.

Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, the provisions of this Section 6.03 shall not be construed so as to provide for the indemnification of any Covered Person for any liability (including liability under Federal securities laws which, under certain circumstances, impose liability even on persons that act in good faith), to the extent (but only to the extent) that such indemnification would be in violation of applicable law, but shall be construed so as to effectuate the provisions of this Section 6.03 to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Section 6.04 Other Sources of Recovery etc. The indemnification rights set forth in Section 6.03 are in addition to, and shall not exclude, limit or otherwise adversely affect, any other indemnification or similar rights to which any Covered Person may be entitled. If and to the extent that other sources of recovery (including proceeds of any applicable policies of insurance or indemnification from any Person in which any of the Clients or Accounts has an investment) are available to any Covered Person, such Covered Person shall use reasonable efforts to obtain recovery from such other sources before the Company shall be required to make any payment in respect of its indemnification obligations hereunder; provided that, if such other recovery is not available without delay, the Covered Person shall be entitled to such payment by the Management Company and the Management Company shall be entitled to reimbursement out of such other recovery when and if obtained.

- Section 6.05 <u>Rights of Heirs, Successors and Assigns</u>. The indemnification rights provided by <u>Section 6.03</u> shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of each Covered Person.
- Section 6.06 <u>Reliance</u>. A Covered Person shall incur no liability to the Management Company or any Member in acting upon any signature or writing reasonably believed by him, her or it to be genuine, and may rely in good faith on a certificate signed by an officer of any Person in order to ascertain any fact with respect to such Person or within such Person's knowledge. Each Covered Person may act directly or through his, her or its agents or attorneys.

ARTICLE VII

TERMINATION

Section 7.01 <u>Termination</u>. Either Party may terminate this Agreement at any time upon at least thirty (30) days' written notice to the other.

ARTICLE VIII

MISCELLANEOUS

Section 8.01 <u>Amendments</u>. This Agreement may not be amended or modified except by an instrument in writing signed by each Party.

Section 8.02 Assignment and Delegation.

- (a) Neither Party may assign, pledge, grant or otherwise encumber or transfer all or any part of its rights or responsibilities under this Agreement, in whole or in part, except (i) as provided in clauses (b) and (c) of this <u>Section 8.02</u>, without the prior written consent of the other Party and (ii) in accordance with Applicable Law.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in this <u>Section 8.02</u>, the Staff and Services Provider may not assign its rights or responsibilities under this Agreement unless (i) the Management Company consents in writing thereto and (ii) such assignment is made in accordance with Applicable Law.
- (c) The Staff and Services Provider may, without satisfying any of the conditions of Section 8.02(a) other than clause (ii) thereof, (1) assign any of its rights or obligations under this Agreement to an Affiliate; provided that such Affiliate (i) has demonstrated ability, whether as an entity or by its principals and employees, to professionally and competently perform duties similar to those imposed upon the Staff and Services Provider pursuant to this Agreement and (ii) has the legal right and capacity to act as Staff and Services Provider under this Agreement, or (2) enter into (or have its parent enter into) any consolidation or amalgamation with, or merger with or into, or transfer of all or substantially all of its assets to, another entity; provided that, at the time of such consolidation, merger, amalgamation or transfer the resulting, surviving or transferee entity assumes all the obligations of the Staff and Services Provider under this Agreement generally (whether by operation of law or by contract) and the other entity is a continuation of the Staff and Services Provider in another corporate or similar form and has

substantially the same staff; provided further that the Staff and Services Provider shall deliver ten (10) Business Days' prior notice to the Management Company of any assignment or combination made pursuant to this sentence. Upon the execution and delivery of any such assignment by the assignee, the Staff and Services Provider will be released from further obligations pursuant to this Agreement except to the extent expressly provided herein.

Section 8.03 Non-Recourse; Non-Petition,

- (a) The Staff and Services Provider agrees that the payment of all amounts to which it is entitled pursuant to this Agreement shall be payable by the Management Company only to the extent of assets held in the Portfolio.
- (b) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the liability of the Management Company to the Staff and Services Provider hereunder is limited in recourse to the Portfolio, and if the proceeds of the Portfolio following the liquidation thereof are insufficient to meet the obligations of the Management Company hereunder in full, the Management Company shall have no further liability in respect of any such outstanding obligations, and such obligations and all claims of the Staff and Services Provider or any other Person against the Management Company hereunder shall thereupon extinguish and not thereafter revive. The Staff and Services Provider accepts that the obligations of the Management Company hereunder are the corporate obligations of the Management Company and are not the obligations of any employee, member, officer, director or administrator of the Management Company and no action may be taken against any such Person in relation to the obligations of the Management Company hereunder.
- Services Provider agrees not to institute against, or join any other Person in instituting against, the Management Company any bankruptcy, reorganization, arrangement, insolvency, moratorium or liquidation proceedings, or other proceedings under United States federal or state bankruptcy laws, or similar laws until at least one year and one day (or, if longer, the then applicable preference period plus one day) after the payment in full all amounts payable in respect of any Indebtedness incurred to finance any portion of the Portfolio; provided that nothing in this provision shall preclude, or be deemed to stop, the Staff and Services Provider from taking any action prior to the expiration of the aforementioned one year and one day period (or, if longer, the applicable preference period then in effect plus one day) in (i) any case or proceeding voluntarily filed or commenced by the Management Company, or (ii) any involuntary insolvency proceeding filed or commenced against the Management Company by a Person other than the Staff and Services Provider.
- (d) The Management Company hereby acknowledges and agrees that the Staff and Services Provider's obligations hereunder shall be solely the corporate obligations of the Staff and Services Provider, and are not the obligations of any employee, member, officer, director or administrator of the Staff and Services Provider and no action may be taken against any such Person in relation to the obligations of the Staff and Services Provider hereunder.
- (e) The provisions of this <u>Section 8.03</u> shall survive termination of this Agreement for any reason whatsoever.

Section 8.04 Governing Law.

- (a) This Agreement shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Texas. The Parties unconditionally and irrevocably consent to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts located in the State of Texas and waive any objection with respect thereto, for the purpose of any action, suit or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby.
- (b) The Parties irrevocably agree for the benefit of each other that the courts of the State of Texas and the United States District Court located in the Northern District of Texas in Dallas are to have exclusive jurisdiction to settle any disputes (whether contractual or non-contractual) which may arise out of or in connection with this Agreement and that accordingly any action arising out of or in connection therewith (together referred to as "Proceedings") may be brought in such courts. The Parties irrevocably submit to the jurisdiction of such courts and waive any objection which they may have now or hereafter to the laying of the venue of any Proceedings in any such court and any claim that any Proceedings have been brought in an inconvenient forum and further irrevocably agree that a judgment in any Proceedings brought in such courts shall be conclusive and binding upon the Parties and may be enforced in the courts of any other jurisdiction.
- Section 8.05 <u>WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL</u>. EACH OF THE PARTIES HERETO HEREBY KNOWINGLY, VOLUNTARILY AND INTENTIONALLY WAIVES ANY RIGHTS IT MAY HAVE TO A TRIAL BY JURY IN RESPECT OF ANY LITIGATION BASED HEREON, OR ARISING OUT OF, UNDER, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AGREEMENT. EACH PARTY HERETO ACKNOWLEDGES AND AGREES THAT IT HAS RECEIVED FULL AND SUFFICIENT CONSIDERATION FOR THIS PROVISION AND THAT THIS PROVISION IS A MATERIAL INDUCEMENT FOR ITS ENTERING INTO THIS AGREEMENT.
- Section 8.06 <u>Severability</u>. The provisions of this Agreement are independent of and severable from each other, and no provision shall be affected or rendered invalid or unenforceable by virtue of the fact that for any reason any other or others of them may be invalid or unenforceable in whole or in part. Upon such determination that any term or other provision is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced, the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to modify this Agreement so as to effect the original intent of the Parties.
- Section 8.07 No Waiver. The performance of any condition or obligation imposed upon any Party may be waived only upon the written consent of the Parties. Such waiver shall be limited to the terms thereof and shall not constitute a waiver of any other condition or obligation of the other Party. Any failure by any Party to enforce any provision shall not constitute a waiver of that or any other provision or this Agreement.
- Section 8.08 <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts by facsimile or other written or electronic form of communication, each of which shall be deemed to be an original as against any Party whose signature appears thereon, and all of which shall together constitute one and the same instrument. This Agreement shall become binding when one or more counterparts hereof, individually or taken together, shall bear the signatures of all of the Parties reflected hereon as the signatories.

- Section 8.09 <u>Third Party Beneficiaries</u>. This Agreement is for the sole benefit of the Parties hereto and their permitted assigns and nothing herein express or implied shall give or be construed to give to any Person, other than the Parties hereto and such permitted assigns, any legal or equitable rights hereunder. For avoidance of doubt, this Agreement is not for the benefit or and is not enforceable by any Shared Employee, Client or Account or any investor (directly or indirectly) in the Management Company.
- Section 8.10 No Partnership or Joint Venture. Nothing set forth in this Agreement shall constitute, or be construed to create, an employment relationship, a partnership or a joint venture between the Parties. Except as expressly provided herein or in any other written agreement between the Parties, no Party has any authority, express or implied, to bind or to incur liabilities on behalf of, or in the name of, any other Party.
- Section 8.11 <u>Independent Contractor</u>. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the Staff and Services Provider shall be deemed to be an independent contractor and, except as expressly provided or authorized herein, shall have no authority to act for or represent the Management Company or any Client or Account in which the Management Company acts as portfolio manager or investment manager or in a similar capacity in any manner or otherwise be deemed an agent of the Management Company or any Client or Account in which the Management Company acts as portfolio manager or investment manager or in a similar capacity.
- Section 8.12 <u>Written Disclosure Statement</u>. The Management Company acknowledges receipt of Part 2 of the Staff and Services Provider's Form ADV, as required by Rule 204-3 under the Advisers Act, on or before the date of execution of this Agreement.
- Section 8.13 <u>Headings</u>. The descriptive headings contained in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and shall not affect in any way the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement.
- Section 8.14 Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and undertakings, both written and oral, between the Parties with respect to such subject matter.
- Section 8.15 <u>Notices</u>. Any notice or demand to any Party to be given, made or served for any purposes under this Agreement shall be given, made or served by sending the same by overnight mail or email transmission or by delivering it by hand as follows:
 - (a) If to the Management Company:

NexPoint Advisors, L.P. 200 Crescent Court Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75201 (b) If to the Staff and Services Provider:

Highland Capital Management, L.P. 300 Crescent Court Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75201

or to such other address or email address as shall have been notified to the other Parties.

[The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each Party has caused this Agreement to be executed as of the date hereof by its duly authorized representative.

NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

By: NexPoint Advisors GP, LLC, its

General Partner

Name: Frank Waterhouse

Title: Treasurer

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

By: Strand Advisors, Inc., its General

Partner,

Name: Frank Waterhouse

Title: Treasurer

Davor Rukavina, Esq.
Texas Bar No. 24030781
Julian P. Vasek, Esq.
Texas Bar No. 24070790
MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.
500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800
Dallas, Texas 75202-2790
Telephone: (214) 855-7500
Facsimile: (214) 978-4375

Counsel for Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

Di EEI IS DI	VIDIOI	
In re:	§	Case No. 19-34054-SGJ-11
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	Chapter 11
	§	
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff.	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	Adversary No.: 21-03005-sgj
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.'S <u>ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT</u>

Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint"), a defendant in the above-styled and numbered adversary proceeding (the "Adversary Proceeding") filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "Plaintiff"), hereby files this Answer (the "Answer") responding to the Amended Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract and (II) Turnover of Property (III) Fraudulent Transfer, and (IV) Breach of Fiduciary Duty [Adv. Dkt. 73] (the "Amended Complaint"). Where an allegation in the Amended Complaint is not expressly admitted in this Answer, it is denied.

Exhibit B

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. The first sentence of paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint sets forth the Plaintiff's objective in bringing the Amended Complaint and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied. The second sentence contains a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.
- Defendant NexPoint admits that NPA's First Amended Answer speaks for itself.
 To the extent paragraph 2 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.
- 3. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint.
- 4. Paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint sets forth the Plaintiff's objective in bringing the Amended Complaint and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.
- 5. Paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint contains a summary of the relief the Plaintiff seeks and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Defendant NexPoint admits that this Adversary Proceeding relates to the Plaintiff's bankruptcy case but denies any implication that this fact confers Constitutional authority on the Bankruptcy Court to adjudicate this dispute. Any allegations in paragraph 6 not expressly admitted are denied.
- 7. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Court has statutory (but not Constitutional) jurisdiction to hear this Adversary Proceeding. Any allegations in paragraph 7 not expressly admitted are denied.

- 8. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint. Defendant NexPoint does not consent to any trial before, or final order entered by, the Bankruptcy Court. Defendant NexPoint demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.
- 9. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint.

THE PARTIES

- 10. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.
- 11. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint.
- 12. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint.
- 13. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.
- 14. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

CASE BACKGROUND

- 15. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint.
- 16. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint.

- 17. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint.
- 18. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint.
- 19. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 20. Defendant NexPoint admits that it has executed at least one promissory note under which the Debtor is a payee. Any allegations in paragraph 20 note expressly admitted are denied.
- 21. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 21 of the Amended Complaint.
- 22. Defendant NexPoint denies paragraph 22 of the Complaint. The document speaks for itself and the quote set forth in paragraph 22 is not verbatim.
- 23. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint.
- 24. Defendant NexPoint denies paragraph 24 of the Complaint. The document speaks for itself and the quote set forth in paragraph 24 is not verbatim.
- 25. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 25 of the Amended Complaint.
- 26. Defendant NexPoint admits that it did not make a payment under the Note on December 31, 2020. Defendant NexPoint denies that any payment was due under the Note on December 31, 2020. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

- 27. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 2 to the Amended Complaint (the "<u>Demand Letter</u>") is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint is denied.
- 28. Defendant NexPoint admits that it paid the Debtor \$1,406,111.92 on January 14, 2021, but denies that any payment was due on December 31, 2020 or that this was an attempt to cure a default. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint is denied.
- 29. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 3 to the Amended Complaint (the "Second Demand Letter") is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint is denied.
- 30. To the extent paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is necessary, and it is denied. The Defendant otherwise admits paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint.
- 31. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 31 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.
- 32. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 32 of the Amended Complaint.
- 33. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Debtor filed the Original Complaint in this action on January 22, 2021, as alleged in the first sentence of paragraph 33 of the Amended

Complaint. Defendant NexPoint denies it is liable for the relief requested in the Original Complaint. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 33 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

- 34. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 34 of the Amended Complaint.
- 35. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 35 of the Amended Complaint.
- 36. Defendant NexPoint admits that NexPoint's First Amended Answer speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 36 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.
- 37. Defendant NexPoint admits that NexPoint's First Amended Answer speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 37 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.
- 38. Paragraph 38 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent of any factual allegation, Defendant NexPoint admits that Mr. Dondero controlled NPA and denies that he controlled the Debtor at the time of the Alleged Agreement.
- 39. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 39 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.
- 40. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 40 of the Amended Complaint.
- 41. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 4 to the Amended Complaint is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and

it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

- 42. Paragraph 42 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.
- 43. Paragraph 43 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (against NexPoint) (for Breach of Contract)

- 44. Paragraph 44 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.
- 45. Paragraph 45 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 46. Paragraph 46 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 47. Paragraph 47 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 48. Paragraph 48 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(against NexPoint)
(Turnover by NexPoint Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 542(b))

49. Paragraph 49 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response and is therefore denied. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

- 50. Paragraph 50 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 51. Paragraph 51 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 52. Paragraph 52 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 53. Paragraph 53 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Plaintiff transmitted the Demand Letter and the Second Demand Letter, and those documents speak for themselves.
- 54. Paragraph 54 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 55. Paragraph 55 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against NexPoint)

(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer under 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550)

- 56. Paragraph 56 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.
- 57. Paragraph 57 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.
- 58. Paragraph 58 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

- 59. Paragraph 59 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 60. Paragraph 60 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 61. Paragraph 61 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against NexPoint)

(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and 550, and Tex. Bus. & C. Code § 24.005(a)(1))

- 62. Paragraph 62 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.
- 63. Paragraph 63 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.
- 64. Paragraph 64 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 65. Paragraph 65 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.
- 66. Paragraph 66 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero) (For Declaratory Relief: -- 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001)

67. Paragraph 67 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

- 68. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.
- 69. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.
- 70. Paragraph 70 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero) (Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

- 71. Paragraph 71 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.
- 72. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.
- 73. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.
- 74. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against James Dondero and Nancy Dondero)
(Aiding and Abetting a Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

- 75. Paragraph 75 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.
- 76. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.
- 77. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

- 78. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.
- 79. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

Defendant NexPoint denies that the Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in the prayer, including as to parts (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi), (vii) and (iii) [sic].

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 80. Pursuant to that certain Shared Services Agreement, the Plaintiff was responsible for making payments on behalf of the Defendant under the note. Any alleged default under the note was the result of the Plaintiff's own negligence, misconduct, breach of contract, etc.
- 81. Delay in the performance of a contract is excused when the party who seeks to enforce the contract caused the delay. It was therefore inappropriate for the Plaintiff to accelerate the note when the brief delay in payment was the Plaintiff's own fault.
- 82. Furthermore, the Plaintiff has waived the right to accelerate the note and /or the Plaintiff is estopped to enforce the alleged acceleration by accepting payment after the same.
- 83. Furthermore, the Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because, prior to any alleged breach or acceleration, the Plaintiff agreed that it would not collect on the note upon fulfilment of certain conditions subsequent. Specifically, sometime between December of the year in which each Note was made and February of the following year, Defendant Nancy Dondero, as representative for a majority of the Class A shareholders of Plaintiff agreed that Plaintiff would forgive the Notes if certain portfolio companies were sold for greater than cost or on a basis outside of Defendant James Dondero's control. This agreement setting forth the conditions subsequent to demands for payment on the Notes was an oral agreement; however, Defendant NexPoint believes there may be testimony or email correspondence that discusses the

existence of this agreement that may be uncovered through discovery in this Adversary Proceeding.

- 84. Defendant NexPoint asserts that any fraudulent transfer claim is barred because NexPoint acted in good faith, without knowledge of any alleged avoidability, and because reasonably equivalent value was provided for any alleged transfer or obligation.
- 85. Defendant NexPoint asserts that any fraudulent transfer claim is barred because no transferor or transferee, or obligor or obligee, was insolvent.
- 86. To the extent of any avoidance, NexPoint asserts a lien under 11 U.S.C. § 548(c) to the extent that NexPoint gave value, and a similar preference lien under any applicable provision of the Texas Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act.

JURY DEMAND

- 87. Defendant NexPoint demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 9015 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.
- 88. Defendant NexPoint does <u>not</u> consent to the Bankruptcy Court conducting a jury trial and therefore demands a jury trial in the District Court.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant NexPoint respectfully requests that, following a trial on the merits, the Court enter a judgment that the Plaintiff take nothing on the Amended Complaint and provide Defendant NexPoint such other relief to which it is entitled.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of September, 2021.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: /s/ Davor Rukavina

Davor Rukavina, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24070790 3800 Ross Tower 500 N. Akard Street Dallas, Texas 75201-6659

Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 855-7584

Email: drukavina@munsch.com

COUNSEL FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on September 1, 2021, a true and correct copy of this document was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on counsel for the Plaintiff.

> /s/ Davor Rukavina Davor Rukavina

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 1
2		
	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
3	DALLAS DIVISION	
4	IN RE:	
5	Chapter 11 HIGHLAND CAPITAL	
6	MANAGEMENT, L.P., CASE NO.	
7	19-34054-SGI11	
8	Debtor.	
9	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
	Plaintiff,	
10	vs. Adversary Proceeding No.	
11	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT 21-03000-SGI FUND ADVISORS, L.P.; NEXPOINT	
12	ADVISORS, L.P.; HIGHLAND	
13	INCOME FUND; NEXPOINT STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES FUND;	
14	NEXPOINT CAPITAL, INC.; and CLO HOLDCO, LTD.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
18	FRANK WATERHOUSE	
19	October 19, 2021	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Reported by: Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR	
25	Job No: 201195	

$\textbf{Casse 211-0330005-ssgj} \ \textbf{Dooc 866-14} \ \textbf{Ffilted 1000299221} \quad \textbf{Eintered 1000299221.217.532.538} \quad \textbf{Pragge 521.off 39476}$

	MARIDHOUGH 10 10 01	Page 2
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2		
3		
4	October 19, 2021	
5	9:30 a.m.	
6		
7		
8		
9	Remote Deposition of FRANK WATERHOUSE,	
10	held before Susan S. Klinger, a Registered	
11	Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter	
12	of the State of Texas.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
Page 3
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2.
     APPEARANCES:
 3
     (All appearances via Zoom.)
     Attorneys for the Reorganized Highland Capital
 4
 5
     Management:
 6
          John Morris, Esq.
 7
          Hayley Winograd, Esq.
 8
          PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES
 9
          780 Third Avenue
10
          New York, New York 10017
     Attorneys for the Witness:
11
12
          Debra Dandeneau, Esq.
13
          Michelle Hartmann, Esq.
14
          BAKER McKENZIE
          1900 North Pearl Street
15
16
          Dallas, Texas
                        75201
     Attorneys for NexPoint Advisors, LP and
17
     Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,
18
19
     L.P.:
20
          Davor Rukavina, Esq.
21
          An Nguyen, Esq.
22
          MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARDD
          500 North Akard Street
23
24
          Dallas, Texas 75201-6659
25
```

```
Page 4
 1
                   WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
     Attorneys for Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA,
 3
     and HCMS:
          Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq.
 4
 5
          Michael Aigen, Esq.
 6
          STINSON
 7
          3102 Oak Lawn Avenue
 8
          Dallas, Texas 75219
 9
10
     Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust:
11
          Warren Horn, Esq.
12
          HELLER, DRAPER & HORN
13
          650 Poydras Street
          New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
14
15
     Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for
16
17
     the litigation SunTrust:
18
          Deborah Newman, Esq.
19
          QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
          51 Madison Avenue
20
21
          New York, New York 10010
22
23
     Also Present:
24
          Ms. La Asia Canty
25
```

$\textbf{Casse 211-0330005-ssgj} \ \textbf{Dooc 866-14} \ \textbf{Fritter eed 1100 2290 2211} \ \ \textbf{Eintter eed 1100 2290 2211} \ \ \textbf{217.532.538} \ \ \textbf{Pragge 554 off 39476}$

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		Page 5
2	I N D E X		
3			
4	WITNESS	PAGE	
5	FRANK WATERHOUSE		
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS	10	
7	EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA	256	
8	EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ	352	
9	EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS	377	
10	EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA	387	
11	EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ	393	
12			
13	EXHIBITS		
14	No.	Page	
15	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint	142	
16	Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management	91	
17	Representation		
18	Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial	94	
19	Statements		
20	Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate	151	
21	Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)	170	
22	Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18	226	
23	Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and	236	
24	Liabilities		
25	Exhibit 41 12/19 Monthly Operating Report	258	
1			

$\textbf{Casse 211-0330005-ssgj} \ \textbf{Dooc 866-14} \ \textbf{Ffilted 1000299221} \quad \textbf{Eintered 1000299221} \ 217.582\,538 \quad \textbf{Pragge 555-off 3946}$

			Page 6
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		
2	Exhibit 45 HCMFA Consolidated Financial	135	
3	Statements		
4	Exhibit 46 NexPoint 2019 Audited	218	
5	Financials		
6			
7	Exhibit Al Emails 11/25	328	
8	Exhibit A2 Emails 12/31	338	
9	Exhibit A6 Emails 1/12	341	
10	Exhibit A7 Promissory Notes	297	
11	Exhibit A9 Email, 8/31	307	
12	Exhibit A10 Acknowledgment from HCMLP	302	
13	Exhibit All HCMLP Schedule 71A	309	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
Page 7
 1
             WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
             PROCEEDINGS
 3
           VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning,
 4
     Counselors. My name is Scott Hatch.
     certified legal videographer in association
 5
 6
     with TSG Reporting, Inc.
 7
           Due to the severity of COVID-19 and
     following the practice of social
 8
 9
     distancing, I will not be in the same room
10
     with the witness. Instead, I will record
     this videotaped deposition remotely.
11
     reporter, Susan Klinger, also will not be
12
13
     in the same room and will swear the witness
14
     remotely.
15
           Do all parties stipulate to the
     validity of this video recording and remote
16
     swearing, and that it will be admissible in
17
     the courtroom as if it had been taken
18
     following Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of
19
     Civil Procedures and the state's rules
20
21
     where this case is pending?
22
           MR. HORN:
                      Yes.
23
           MS. DANDENEAU:
                          Yes.
24
           MR. MORRIS: Yes.
                              John Morris.
     would just try to do a negative notice
25
```

Page 8 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has 2. a problem with what was just stated, can 3 you state your objection now? 4 5 No response, so everybody Okav. 6 accepts the stipulation and the instruction 7 that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is 8 9 the start of media labeled Number 1 of the 10 video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital 11 12 Management, L.P., in the United States 13 Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District 14 of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 15 21-03000-SGI. 16 This deposition is being held via video conference with participants 17 appearing remotely due to COVID-19 18 19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is 20 Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with 21 22 TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228 23 East 45th Street, New York, New York. 24 court reporter is Susan Klinger in 25 association with TSG Reporting.

Page 9 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Counsel, please introduce yourselves. 3 John Morris, Pachulski 4 MR. MORRIS: 5 Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized 6 Highland Capital Management, L.P., the 7 plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau 8 9 from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle 10 Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. 11 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah 13 Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, 14 representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. 15 16 MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing 17 18 Dugaboy Investment Trust. 19 Davor Rukavina with MR. RUKAVINA: 20 Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas 21 representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and 22 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, 23 L.P. 24 MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from 25 Stinson, and I represent the same parties

```
Page 10
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          as Deborah Deitsch-Perez.
 3
                MS. NEWMAN: This is Deborah Newman
          from Quinn Emanuel. We represent the
 4
 5
          litigation -- Marc Kirschner as the trustee
 6
          for the litigation SunTrust.
 7
                MR. MORRIS: I think that is
          everybody.
 8
 9
                VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                Thank you. Will the
10
          court reporter please swear in the witness.
11
                    FRANK WATERHOUSE,
12
     having been first duly sworn, testified as
     follows:
13
14
                        EXAMINATION
15
     BY MR. MORRIS:
16
          Ο.
                Please state your name for the
17
     record.
18
                My name is Frank Waterhouse.
          Α.
                Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse.
19
          Q.
20
     John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang
21
     Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and
22
     I represent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;
23
     is that right?
24
          Α.
                Yes.
25
                Okay. And do you understand that
          Q.
```

Page 11 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. we're here today for your deposition in your 3 individual capacity? Α. Yes. Did you review and -- did you 5 0. receive and review a subpoena that Highland 6 7 Capital Management, L.P., served upon you? Α. Yes. 8 You have been deposed before; right? 9 Q. 10 Yes. Α. 11 How many times have you been Q. 12 deposed? 13 Α. About three or four times. 14 Q. Okay. And I defended you in one 15 deposition; isn't that right? 16 That is correct. Α. 17 So the general ground rules for this Ο. deposition are largely the same as the 18 depositions you have given before. And that is 19 20 I will ask you a series of questions, and it is important that you allow me to finish my 21 22 question before you begin your answer; is that fair? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 And it is important that I allow you Q.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 to finish your answers before I begin a
- 3 question, but if I fail to do that, will you
- 4 let me know?
- 5 A. I can certainly do that.
- 6 Q. Okay. Do you understand that this
- 7 deposition is being videotaped?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You understand that I may seek to
- 10 use portions of the videotape in a court of
- 11 law?
- 12 A. I did not know that, until you just
- 13 said that.
- Q. Okay. And you are aware of that now
- 15 before the deposition begins substantively; is
- 16 that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So unlike I think the other
- 19 depositions that you have given, this one is
- 20 being given remotely. So that presents some
- 21 unique challenges, at least as compared to a
- 22 deposition that is taken in-person.
- From time to time we're going to put
- 24 documents up on the screen, Mr. Waterhouse.
- 25 And it is important that I give you the

Page 13 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. opportunity to review any portion of the document that you think you need in order to 3 4 fully and completely answer the question. 5 So I would ask you to let me know if 6 there is a portion of a document that you need to see in order to fully and completely answer 7 the question. Can you do that for me? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would 11 just note that we do have hard copies of 12 the documents that you sent, so if you can 13 just refer to the exhibit number as 14 reflected in the documents that you sent, 15 Mr. Waterhouse will be able to look at the 16 hard copies of those documents. 17 MR. MORRIS: I appreciate that, 18 and -- and I will encourage him to do so. 19 There will be other documents that we did 20 not send to you that we'll be using today 21 though. 22 Okay. With that as background, if Ο. there is anything that I ask you, sir, that you 23 don't understand, will you let me know? 24 25 Α. Yes.

```
Page 14
 1
                   WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2
                       Are you currently employed?
          Q.
 3
          Α.
                 Yes.
 4
                 By whom?
          Ο.
 5
                 The Skyview Group.
          Α.
                 When did you become employed by the
 6
          Q.
 7
     Skyview Group?
          Α.
                 I believe March 1st of 2021.
 8
 9
          Q.
                 Do you have a title at Skyview?
10
          Α.
                 Yes.
                 What is your title?
11
          Q.
                 My title is chief financial officer.
12
          Α.
13
          Q.
                 Do you report to anybody in your
     role as CFO?
14
15
          Α.
                 I don't, no.
16
                      Is there a president or a CEO
          Q.
                 No.
17
     of Skyview?
18
          Α.
                 Yes.
19
          Q.
                 Who is that?
20
          Α.
                 That is Scott Ellington.
21
                 But you don't report to
          Q.
22
         Ellington; is that right?
23
          Α.
                 I don't think so.
24
          Q.
                 Does Skyview Group --
25
                 MS. DANDENEAU:
                                  Excuse me, we --
```

Page 15 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I -- I -- I might. I just -- I Α. 3 don't recall. Okay. Does Skyview Group provide 4 any services to any entity directly or 5 6 indirectly owned or controlled by Jim Dondero? 7 Α. Yes. Can you name -- is that pursuant to 8 Ο. 9 written contracts? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And do you know how many contracts Q. 12 exist? 13 Α. Approximately six or so. And is the Skyview Group made up of 14 Q. 15 individuals who were formerly employees of Highland Capital Management, L.P.? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Q. Do you know how many -- how many -how many employees does Skyview have? 19 20 Α. Approximately 35. 21 And can you tell me how many of Q. 22 those 35 are former officers, directors, or 23 employees of Highland Capital Management, L.P.? 24 I don't know the exact number. Α. 25 Is it more than 20? Q.

```
Page 16
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          Α.
                Yes.
                Is it more than 30?
 3
          Ο.
                I don't know.
 4
          Α.
 5
                Can you tell me what portion of
          Ο.
 6
     Skyview -- Skyview's revenue is derived from
     entities that are directly or indirectly owned
 7
     or controlled by Jim Dondero?
 8
 9
                                 Mr. Morris, I mean,
                MS. DANDENEAU:
10
          you called Mr. Waterhouse here individually
          for purposes of his testimony in connection
11
12
          with the noticed litigation. I have given
          you some leeway to ask him some background
13
14
          information about Skyview Group, but this
15
          is not a substitute for a deposition in
16
          connection with any other pending disputes
17
          that exist. And -- and we agreed to accept
          the subpoena on the basis of he -- this is
18
19
          testimony that he is giving in connection
20
          with the noticed litigation.
21
                I really think that you are now
22
          going a little bit far afield from the
23
          purpose of this deposition.
24
                MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is -- I'm not
25
          intending to use these -- the answers to
```

```
Page 17
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          these questions for any purpose other than
 3
          this litigation. I think you understand
          fully why I'm asking the questions, and I
 4
          just have a couple more, if you will bear
 5
          with me.
 6
 7
                MS. DANDENEAU:
                                 Okay.
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can we have an
 8
 9
          agreement that an objection by one is an
10
          objection for any other party here?
11
                MR. MORRIS:
                              Sure. I would -- I
12
          would encourage that, sure.
13
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.
                MR. MORRIS: It can't be sustained
14
15
          or overruled more than one time, so...
16
          Ο.
                Mr. Waterhouse, can you answer my
     question, please.
17
18
                MS. DANDENEAU: Do you want to
19
          repeat it, Mr. Morris, for his benefit?
20
                MR. MORRIS:
                             Sure.
21
                Can you -- can you tell me the
          Q.
22
     approximate portion of Skyview's revenue that
23
     is derived from entities that are directly or
24
     indirectly owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero?
25
                I don't know the exact number.
          Α.
```

Page 18 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Is it more than 75 percent? O. 3 Α. Yes. Is it more than 90 percent? 4 Ο. 5 Α. I don't know. Okay. Can I refer to Highland 6 Q. 7 Capital Management, L.P., as Highland? Α. 8 Yes. All right. And you previously 9 Q. served as Highland's CFO; correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 When did you join Highland? Q. 13 Α. I don't recall the exact date. 14 Q. Can you tell me what year? 15 Α. 2006. 16 When did you -- in what year did you Q. 17 become Highland's CFO? 18 I don't recall the exact date. Α. I'm not asking you for the exact 19 Q. 20 I'm asking you if you recall the year in 21 which you were appointed CFO. 22 I don't recall the exact year. Α. 23 Can you tell me which years it is Q. 24 possible that you were appointed to CFO of 25 Highland?

Page 19 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2011 or 2012. Α. 3 Did you serve as Highland's CFO on a 0. continuous basis from in or around 2011 or 2012 4 5 until early 2021? 6 Α. Yes. 7 During that entire time you reported Ο. directly to Jim Dondero; correct? 8 I -- I don't know. 9 Α. 10 Is there anybody else you reported Q. 11 to -- withdrawn. 12 Did you report to Mr. Dondero for 13 some portion of the time that you served as 14 CFO? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Is there a portion of time that you 0. don't recall who you reported to? 17 18 Α. Yes. What portion of time do you have in 19 Ο. 20 your mind when you can't recall who you 21 reported to? 22 From the 2011 to -- for Α. 23 approximately a year or two. 24 Okay. So is it fair to say that you Ο. 25 reported to Mr. Dondero in your capacity as CFO

Page 20 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. from at least 2014 until the time you left 3 Highland? Objection to form. 4 MS. DANDENEAU: I don't want to speculate the exact 5 Α. 6 or what year that changed or -- so I would like to stick with my testimony. 7 Can you recall when you began 8 Ο. 9 reporting to Mr. Dondero? 10 Α. I don't recall. 11 Can you -- can you give me an Ο. estimate of what year you think you might have 12 13 began reporting to Mr. Dondero? 14 Α. I will go back to my prior 15 testimony. 16 Okay. There is no -- you have no Ο. ability to tell me when you began reporting to 17 18 Mr. Dondero. 19 Do I have that right? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 I don't recall. Α. 22 Okay. Do you recall who you might Q. 23 have reported to before you began reporting to 24 Mr. Dondero? 25

Α.

Yes.

Page 21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Who might you have reported to in Ο. your capacity as CFO before you started 3 4 reporting to Mr. Dondero? 5 That would have been Patrick Boyce. Α. 6 Ο. Are you aware that Highland filed 7 for bankruptcy on October 19th, 2019? Α. Yes. 8 And we refer to that as the petition 9 Q. 10 date? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Okay. Do you hold any professional Q. 13 licenses, sir? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Can you tell me what professional 16 licenses you hold? 17 I'm a certified public accountant. Α. 18 Okay. Anything else? Q. 19 Α. No. 20 Do you have any other professional Q. 21 licenses or certificates? 22 When you say "professional license," Α. that is not education? 23 24 Ο. Tell me -- sure. Anything other 25 than a driver's license.

Page 22 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Do you have any other license or 3 certificate or certification? Are you asking, like, where I went 4 to school and the --5 6 Ο. I am not. I am not. I didn't say 7 education. I didn't ask about degrees. Do you know what a license is? 8 9 Α. Well, yeah, I mean, a license is 10 something you get after you receive a certain level of proficiency. 11 12 Do you have any licenses or Ο. 13 certifications other than your CPA? 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. 15 I assume you mean professional 16 licenses, Mr. Morris; correct? 17 Can you answer my question, sir? Q. Mr. Morris, I'm thinking. I 18 Α. don't -- I don't think I have any others. 19 20 Are you familiar with an entity Ο. called Highland Capital Management Fund 21 Advisors? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Ο. Were you ever -- can we refer to 25 that entity as HCMFA?

Page 23 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Yes. 3 Were you ever employed by HCMFA? Q. Not that I recall. 4 Α. 5 Were you ever -- did you ever hold Ο. the title of an officer or director of HCMFA? 6 7 Α. Yes. What title did you hold? 8 Q. 9 Α. Treasurer. 10 When did you become the treasurer of Q. 11 HCMFA? 12 Α. I don't recall. 13 Q. Can you tell me the year? 14 Α. I don't -- I don't know the year. 15 Can you approximate the year in Q. which you became the treasurer of HCMFA? 16 17 I don't know. Α. Can you tell me if it was before or 18 Q. after 2016? 19 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 Are you still the -- do you know if Q. 22 you're still the treasurer of HCMFA today? 23 Today, I am the acting treasurer for Α. 24 HCMFA. Is there a distinction between 25 Q.

Page 24 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. treasurer and acting treasurer? 3 I said "acting treasurer" as I am an Α. 4 employee of Skyview, as you previously stated -- or asked. 5 6 But you are the treasurer of HCMFA 7 today; correct? Α. I am -- I am the acting treasurer 8 9 for HCMFA. 10 How did you become the treasurer of Ο. 11 HCMFA? 12 Are you asking how I became the Α. 13 treasurer of HCMFA today? 14 How did you become appointed to Q. 15 serve as the treasurer of HCMFA? 16 Well, in -- in -- in what time Α. capacity? 17 18 The first time that you were Q. appointed. 19 First time. I believe I was asked 20 Α. 21 to serve as treasurer for HCMFA the first time. By who? Who asked you to do that? 22 O. 23 I don't recall. Α. 24 Is there anything that would refresh 0. 25 your recollection as to who appointed you as

Page 25 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21the treasurer of CF- -- HCMFA for the first 3 time? I don't -- I mean, there would be 4 Α. 5 some documents, some legal documents. I don't know where those are. 6 7 How many times have you been appointed the treasurer of HCMFA? 8 9 Α. I don't know. 10 Was it more than once? Q. 11 I don't know. Α. 12 Can you tell me any period of time Q. 13 since 2016 that you did not hold the title of 14 treasurer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 15 16 I don't recall. Α. What are your duties and 17 Ο. responsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA? 18 My duties are to do the best job 19 Α. that I can as the -- as an accountant and 20 21 finance guy. 22 What specific duties and 0. responsibilities do you have as the treasurer 23 24 of HCMFA? My duties are to do the best job 25 Α.

Page 26

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 that I can as the accounting and finance person
- 3 for HCMFA.
- 4 Q. As the accounting and finance person
- 5 for HCMFA, do you have any particular areas of
- 6 responsibility?
- 7 A. Yeah, it is to manage the accounting
- 8 and finance function for HCMFA.
- 9 Q. Would that include -- do you have
- 10 responsibility for overseeing HCMFA's annual
- 11 audit?
- 12 A. Can I please elaborate on my prior
- 13 question?
- 14 Q. Of course. You -- you are giving
- 15 answers. I'm asking questions.
- 16 A. Okay. Yes, so the -- it -- like I
- 17 said, it is to manage the accounting finance
- 18 aspect, but I am, as we discussed, the
- 19 treasurer. That is -- being treasurer is what
- 20 gives me that -- that management function.
- 21 Q. Does anybody report to you in your
- 22 capacity as treasurer of HCMFA?
- 23 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Does HCMFA have a chief financial
- 25 officer?

Page 27 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. I don't -- I don't know. You don't know? 3 Q. You're the treasurer of HCMFA but 4 you don't know if HCMFA has a chief financial 5 officer. 6 7 Do I have that right? Α. That's right. 8 9 Okay. Have you heard of a company Q. 10 called NexPoint Advisors? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. We will refer to that as NexPoint. 13 Okay? 14 Α. Okay. 15 Q. Were you ever employed by NexPoint? 16 I don't recall. Α. 17 Did you ever hold any title with Q. respect to the entity known as NexPoint? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. What titles have you held in 21 relation to NexPoint? 22 Treasurer. I think it was only Α. 23 treasurer. 24 Ο. Can you tell me the approximate year you became the treasurer of NexPoint? 25

Page 28 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I don't know. Α. 3 Are you still the treasurer of 0. 4 NexPoint today? 5 Α. I am the acting treasurer for NexPoint. 6 7 When did your title change from Ο. treasurer to acting treasurer? 8 9 Α. I don't know. 10 Did your duties and responsibilities 0. change at all when your title was changed from 11 12 treasurer to acting treasurer? 13 Α. I don't -- I don't believe so. 14 Q. Why did --15 I still manage the finance and Α. accounting function for NexPoint. 16 17 Why did your title change from 0. treasurer to acting treasurer? 18 19 Α. I don't -- I'm using the term 20 "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. I don't -- I don't know -- again, I am a -- as 21 I am the Skyview employee. 22 23 Q. Okay. And we -- we provide officer 24 25 services.

Page 29 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. And you serve as an officer of 3 HCMFA; correct? 4 I think we went over that with my 5 testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for 6 HCMFA. 7 And you are an officer of NexPoint; Ο. correct? 8 9 Α. I think -- I am the acting treasurer 10 for NexPoint Advisors. And -- and who appointed you acting 11 Q. treasurer of NexPoint Advisors? 12 13 Α. I don't recall specifically. 14 Q. Do you have any recollection of who 15 might have appointed you the treasurer of NexPoint? 16 17 I mean, it -- it -- I don't recall Α. exactly who it was. 18 19 Who were the possibilities? Q. 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 form. 22 Ο. You can answer. Someone in the legal group for 23 Α. 24 NexPoint. The other officers as well. 25 Have you heard of a company called Q.

Page 30 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. Highland Capital Management Services, Inc.? 3 Α. Yes. We will refer to that as HCMS. 4 0. 5 Okay? 6 Α. HCMS. Okay. 7 Were you ever employed by HCMS? Ο. Α. 8 No. 9 Have you ever held any titles in Q. 10 relation to HCMF -- I apologize -- HCMS? 11 Α. Yes. 12 What titles have you held in Q. 13 relation to HCMS? Treasurer and acting treasurer. 14 Α. 15 Q. When did you first become treasurer or acting treasurer of HCMS? 16 17 I don't recall the exact dates. Α. Can you recall -- can you 18 Q. 19 approximate the year that you became the 20 treasurer of HCMS? 21 I don't -- I don't know. Α. 22 Are you still the treasurer of HCMS Q. 23 today? 24 Α. I am the acting treasurer for HCMS. 25 And are your duties and Q.

Page 31 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 responsibilities as the acting treasurer for 3 HCMS and the acting treasurer for NexPoint the same as your duties and responsibilities in 4 your role as the acting treasurer of HCMFA? 5 6 Α. More or less. 7 Have you ever heard of a company called HCRE Partners, LLC? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 And do you understand that that entity is now known today as NexPoint Real 11 12 Estate Partners? 13 Α. I did not know that. All right. Can we refer to HCRE 14 Q. 15 Partners as HCRE? 16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 17 Did you mean NexPoint Real Estate 18 Partners, Mr. Morris? 19 MR. MORRIS: No. 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Oh. 21 MR. MORRIS: He said he wasn't 22 familiar that it was succeeded by that 23 entity. So --24 MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. 25 MR. MORRIS: -- let's go with what

Page 32 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the witness knows. 3 You're familiar with an entity Ο. 4 called HCRE Partners, LLC; correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. Okay. So that is the entity that we 7 will refer to as HCRE. If you're aware of any successor, that is great. If not, let's just 8 define it as such. 9 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE or any entity that you know to have succeeded 11 12 HCRE? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 Not that I recall. Α. 17 Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and 0. HCMFA as the advisors? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 In general, the advisors provided Q. investment advisory services to certain retail 21 22 funds; correct? 23 Α. Yes. And we will refer to the retail 24 Ο. 25 funds that are served by the advisors

Page 33 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21collectively as the retail funds; is that okay? 3 Α. Okay. Each of the retail funds is governed 4 Ο. by a board; correct? 5 6 Α. Yes. And do you know the people who serve 7 0. on the boards of the retail funds? 8 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 Α. I don't know all of them. Do you know whether the same people 11 Q. serve on the board of each of the retail funds 12 13 as we've defined that term? 14 Which -- so when you say "retail 15 funds" -- again, I want to be -- what retail funds are you referring to, because there are 16 -- there are several distinctions? 17 What retail funds are you using when 18 19 you refer to them? 20 That is why -- that is why I tried 0. to define the terms. So let me do it again. 21 22 Retail funds for the purposes of this deposition means any retail fund to which 23 24 either of the advisors provides advisory 25 services. Okay?

```
Page 34
 1
                   WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2
          Α.
                 Okay.
 3
                 Okay. So do you know whether the
          Q.
 4
     same people serve on the board of each of the
     retail funds?
 5
 6
          Α.
                 I don't know.
 7
                 Were you ever employed by any of the
          O.
     retail funds?
 8
 9
          Α.
                 No.
10
                No?
          Q.
11
          Α.
                 No.
12
                 Okay. Do you have any title with
          Q.
13
     respect to any of the retail funds?
14
          Α.
                 Yes.
15
          Q.
                 What titles do you hold --
16
     withdrawn.
17
                 Do you have the same titles with
     respect to all of the retail funds or do
18
19
     they -- or just something else?
20
                 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
21
                 Withdrawn.
          Q.
                 Do you have the same title with
22
23
     respect to each of the retail funds?
24
          Α.
                 No.
25
                 Tell me which title you have with
          Q.
```

Page 35 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. respect to each retail fund. 3 Actually, let's do it a different 4 I withdraw the question. 5 Can you give me one title you have in relation to any retail fund? 6 7 Α. Yes. What title -- what title can you 8 0. 9 give me? 10 Principal executive officer. Α. Do you serve as principal executive 11 Q. officer for each of the retail funds? 12 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Can you identify for me the retail 15 funds in which you serve as the principal executive officer? 16 17 Highland Funds 1, Highland Α. Yes. Funds 2, Highland Income Fund, Highland Global 18 19 Allocation Fund. 20 I'm sorry, you said "Global Q. 21 Allocation Fund"? 22 Α. Yes. 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, 24 Mr. Morris. This is the videographer. 25 concerned about the lighting in the

```
Page 36
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          witness' camera.
 3
                Do you want to go off the record and
          make some adjustments?
 4
 5
                MR. MORRIS: Sure, but just for this
 6
          purpose. I don't want to take a break.
 7
          just started.
                MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine.
 8
 9
          That is fine. We're going to put you on
10
          mute.
11
                MR. MORRIS: All right.
12
                MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to
13
          open up some of the shades.
14
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
15
          record at 10:08 a.m.
16
          (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.)
17
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
          record at 10:11 a.m.
18
                Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become
19
          Ο.
20
     the principal executive officer of the four
     retail funds that you just identified?
21
22
                I don't recall.
          Α.
                Do you recall the approximate year
23
          Q.
24
     that you became the principal executive officer
     of the four funds?
25
```

Page 37 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 2021. Α. 3 Did you ever hold any title with 0. 4 respect to any of the four funds you have just 5 identified other than principal executive officer? 6 7 I don't recall. Α. Is it possible that you held a 8 Q. 9 position or a title with the four funds you 10 just identified prior to 2021? 11 Α. Yes. 12 But you don't recall if you did or O. 13 not; do I have that right? You -- I thought you asked, did 14 Α. 15 I hold other titles. 16 Did you hold any title at the four Ο. retail funds for which you now serve as 17 principal executive officer at any time prior 18 19 to 2021? 20 Α. Yes. 21 What titles did you hold? Q. 22 I don't recall all the titles. Α. 23 Do you recall any of the titles? Q. 24 Α. Yes. 25 What titles do you recall holding at Q.

Page 38 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21those four retail funds before 2021? 3 Α. Principal executive officer. Were you the principal executive Ο. officer of the four retail funds that you have 5 identified? 6 7 Sorry, could you repeat the Α. question? 8 Were you the principal executive 9 Q. 10 officer for each of the four retail funds that you have identified? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. When did you become the principal executive -- withdrawn. 14 Can you give me the approximate year 15 that you became the principal executive officer 16 for each of the four retail funds you've 17 18 identified? 19 I don't recall. Α. 20 Q. What are your duties and responsibilities as the principal executive 21 22 officer of these four retail funds? 23 It is to manage the finance and Α. 24 accounting positions. So at the same time you serve as the 25 Q.

Page 39 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. treasurer of the advisors, you also serve as 3 the principal executive officer of these four retail funds; correct? 4 5 Α. Yes. Did you ever hold any title with 6 Ο. 7 respect to any other retail fund? Not that I recall. Α. 8 9 During the period that you served as Q. Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland 10 loaned money to certain of its officers and 11 12 employees; correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 O. During the period that you served as 15 Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland 16 loaned money to certain --17 Let me -- let me retract that, Α. sorry, that -- you asked during the time I was 18 19 CFO, Highland loaned moneys to employees. 20 don't -- I don't recall that during my tenure 21 of CFO. 22 You have no recollection during the 0. 23 time that you were the CFO of Highland of 24 Highland ever loaning any money to any officer

or director of Highland?

25

Page 40

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. I don't recall during my tenure of
- 3 Highland or my -- as CFO of Highland -- yeah,
- 4 if there are any loans as CFO of Highland.
- 5 Q. I'm just talking about officers and
- 6 employees right now. You have no recollection
- 7 of Highland ever making a loan to any of its
- 8 officers or employees during the time that you
- 9 served as CFO. Do I have that right?
- 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 11 A. So I thought you were saying
- 12 officers and employees as CFO, right, so there
- 13 were -- I mean, okay, yes.
- 14 Q. I would ask you to listen carefully
- 15 to my question. If I -- if I'm not clear, let
- 16 me know, but I'm really trying to be as clear
- 17 as I can.
- 18 A. I'm listening as carefully as I can,
- 19 and you are asking very specific questions in a
- 20 timeline. And I'm trying to answer your
- 21 questions as specifically as I can, and I
- 22 apologize if -- if I'm going back. I am -- you
- 23 are asking very specific questions. Thank you.
- Q. During the period that you served as
- 25 Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland

Page 41 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 loaned money to certain corporate affiliates; 3 correct? 4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 5 Α. What are corporate affiliates? How about the ones that are in 6 Ο. 7 Highland's audited financial statements under the section entitled Loans to Affiliates. Why 8 9 don't we start with those. Do you have any 10 understanding of what the phrase "affiliates" 11 means? 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 Α. I understand what affiliates are, 14 yet affiliates can have different meanings in 15 different contexts, so... Why don't you -- why don't you tell 16 0. me what your understanding of the term 17 "affiliate" is in relation to Highland Capital 18 19 Management, L.P. 20 Α. Is that a -- it depends on the 21 context. 22 How about the context of making Ο. 23 loans? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 25 I didn't make the determination of Α.

Page 42 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21who an affiliate was or is at the time those --I didn't -- that wasn't my job to make a 3 determination of who an affiliate is. 4 5 All right. So as the CFO of Ο. 6 Highland, do you have any ability right now to 7 tell me which companies that were directly or indirectly owned and/or controlled by 8 Mr. Dondero in whole or in part received loans 9 10 from Highland Capital Management, L.P.? 11 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. Identify every entity that Q. 15 you can think of that was directly or indirectly owned and/or controlled by 16 Mr. Dondero in whole or in part that received a 17 18 loan from Highland Capital Management, L.P. 19 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 20 conclusion. 21 NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Α. 22 Management Fund Advisors, HCM Services, Dugaboy. Sorry, I don't think -- Dugaboy 23 24 doesn't fit that definition. You said owned 25 and controlled. I don't think that that

Page 43 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. definition --3 I said owned and/or controlled. Ο. 4 I don't -- again, I'm not -- I'm not Α. 5 the legal expert. I don't think it controls --6 he controls Dugaboy, so again, I'm not the 7 legal person. I'm not asking you for a legal 8 Ο. 9 conclusion, sir. I'm asking you for your 10 knowledge, okay, as the CFO -- the former CFO of Highland Capital Management, other than 11 12 NexPoint, HCMFA, and HCMF -- HCMS, can you 13 think of any other entities that were owned 14 and/or controlled directly or indirectly in 15 whole or in part by Jim Dondero who received a 16 loan from Highland Capital Management, L.P.? 17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 18 Α. HCRE. 19 Any others? Q. That is -- that is all I can think 20 Α. 21 of. 22 And you're aware that from time to Ο. time while you were the CFO, Highland loaned 23 24 money to Jim Dondero; correct? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 44 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21Ο. Okay. Can we refer to the four 3 entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as 4 the affiliates? 5 Α. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management 6 7 Fund Advisors, and HCRE. And HCMS? 8 Q. 9 And HCMS, okay. Α. 10 And can we refer to the loans that 0. were given to each of those affiliates as the 11 12 affiliate loans? 13 Α. Yes. And is it fair to say that each of 14 Ο. 15 the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? 16 17 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 18 conclusion. The borrowers are whoever were on 19 Α. 20 the notes. I don't -- I don't know. I'm not 21 the legal person. 22 Ο. But you --23 Α. I don't know. 24 You do know, as Highland's former 0. 25 CFO, that each of the affiliates that you have

```
Page 45
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2.
     identified tendered notes to Highland; correct?
 3
                MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you
          just give me a running objection to legal
 4
 5
          conclusion to HCM --
 6
                MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to
 7
          object --
                MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every
 8
 9
          time. Object to legal conclusion.
10
                MR. MORRIS: That is fine.
                Sorry, can you repeat the question?
11
          Α.
12
                Are you aware that each of the --
          Q.
13
     that each of the affiliates, as we have defined
14
     the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in
15
     exchange for the loans?
16
                MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the
17
          extent that calls for a legal conclusion.
18
                I don't.
          Α.
19
                No, you don't know that?
          0.
                No, they didn't -- you said they
20
          Α.
     exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I
21
     don't -- I don't understand that question, so I
22
23
     said no.
24
                At the time of the bankruptcy
          0.
25
     filing, did Highland have in its possession
```

Page 46 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 promissory notes that were signed by each of 3 the affiliates? Α. 4 Yes. 5 To the best of your knowledge, 0. 6 during the time that you served as Highland's 7 CFO, did Highland disclose to its outside auditors all of the loans that were made to 8 affiliates? 9 10 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, that calls for a legal conclusion. 11 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I also couldn't 13 hear you, John, because there was some 14 garbling on -- on the -- on the call. 15 MR. MORRIS: Folks, I've got to tell 16 you this is not going well, and I'm 17 reserving my right --MS. DANDENEAU: John, it was just 18 19 the end of that question. It was just the 20 end of that question. I couldn't hear it 21 either. Sorry, if you could repeat it, 22 please. That is less than an 23 MR. MORRIS: 24 hour into this, but folks are trying to run out the clock, and so I'm just going to 25

```
Page 47
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          state that now.
 3
                MS. DANDENEAU: You know, and,
          Mr. Morris, I really object to that.
 4
                                                  Ι
 5
          mean --
 6
                MR. MORRIS:
                              Okay.
 7
                MS. DANDENEAU: -- Mr. Waterhouse
          just told you he's trying to listen to your
 8
 9
          questions and answer them carefully, and
10
          you have no basis for saying that.
11
                MR. MORRIS:
                              Okay.
12
                MS. DANDENEAU: This does not --
13
          this is not an experienced witness, so he's
14
          trying to do the best he can.
15
                Mr. Waterhouse, during the time that
          Q.
     you served as Highland's CFO, did Highland
16
     disclose to its outside auditors all of the
17
     loans that it made to each of the affiliates
18
19
     that you have identified?
20
                MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal
21
          conclusion.
22
          Α.
                Yes.
23
                To the best of your knowledge, while
          Q.
24
     you were Highland's CFO, were all of the
25
     affiliate loans described in Highland's audited
```

```
Page 48
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2.
     financial statements?
 3
                MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal
          conclusion.
 5
                When an audit was performed, any
          Α.
 6
     loans that were made by Highland to the
 7
     affiliates were disclosed to auditors.
                Are you aware of any loan that was
 8
          0.
 9
     made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to
10
     the auditors?
11
          Α.
                I'm not aware.
12
                To the best of your knowledge, did
          Ο.
     each of the affiliates who were --
13
14
     (inaudible) -- loaned from Highland execute a
15
     promissory note in connection with that loan?
16
                MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal
17
          conclusion.
                Sorry, you -- halfway through the
18
          Α.
19
     question it got muffled.
20
                Can you repeat that again?
21
                To the best of your knowledge, did
          Ο.
22
     every affiliate execute a promissory note in
     connection with each loan that it obtained from
23
24
     Highland?
25
                MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal
```

Page 49 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 conclusion. 3 Α. Yes. 4 You are not aware of any loan that Ο. any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 5 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 6 return; is that fair? 7 Α. Yes, I'm not aware. 8 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount of money equal to the principal amount of each 11 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 During the time that you served as Ο. CFO, did Highland ever loan money to 17 18 Mark Okada? 19 I -- I don't recall. Α. Did you ever see any promissory 20 Q. 21 notes executed by Mark Okada? 22 I don't recall. Α. 23 Do you know if Highland ever forgave Q. 24 any loan that it ever made to Mr. Okada? 25 I don't recall. Α.

Page 50 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21Do you recall if Mr. Okada paid back Q. all principal and interest due and owing under 3 4 any loan he obtained from Highland? 5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. 6 7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. Α. 8 9 Do you recall whether -- during your Q. 10 time as CFO, whether Highland ever loaned money to Jim Dondero? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. To the best of your knowledge, did 14 Mr. Dondero sign and deliver to Highland a 15 promissory note in connection with each loan that he obtained from Highland? 16 17 If you are referring to the Α. promissory notes that, you know, part of 18 19 Highland's records, yes. 20 Ο. Okay. You're not aware of any loan that Mr. Dondero took from Highland that wasn't 21 22 backed up by -- by a promissory note with a 23 face -- with a principal amount equal to the 24 amount of the loan; correct? 25 Am I aware that Jim Dondero took a Α.

Page 51 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 loan?
- 3 Q. Without giving a -- let me ask a
- 4 better question. I'm sorry, Mr. Waterhouse.
- 5 Are you aware of any loan that
- 6 Mr. Dondero obtained from Highland where he
- 7 didn't give a promissory note in return?
- 8 A. I'm not aware.
- 9 Q. During the time that you served as
- 10 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive any
- loans, in whole or in part, that it made to
- 12 Mr. Dondero?
- 13 A. Not that I'm aware.
- 14 Q. At the time that you served as
- 15 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive any
- 16 loan, in whole or in part, that it made to any
- 17 affiliate as we've defined the term today?
- 18 A. Not that I'm aware.
- 19 Q. During the time that you served as
- 20 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive, in
- 21 whole or in part, any loan that it ever made to
- 22 any officer or employee?
- 23 A. Highland forgave loans to officers
- 24 and employees. It may not have been at the
- 25 time when my title was CFO.

Page 52 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21Ο. Okay. And so I appreciate the 3 distinction. 4 Is it fair to say that, to the best of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a 5 loan that it made to an officer or employee 6 7 after 2013? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 8 9 Α. I don't recall. To the best of your knowledge, did 10 Ο. Highland disclose to its auditors every 11 12 instance where it forgave, in whole or in part, 13 a loan that it had made to one of its officers 14 or employees? 15 Α. No. 16 0. Can you think of -- can you -- can you identify any loan to an officer or employee 17 that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in 18 19 part, that was not disclosed to Highland's outside auditors? 20 21 Look, I don't recall all of the Α. 22 loans and the loan forgiveness. I just know as 23 part of the audit process there is a 24 materiality concept.

So if there were loans to employees

25

Page 53

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 that were of -- you know, that were deemed
- 3 immaterial, those items may not have been
- 4 disclosed by the team to the auditors.
- 5 Q. I appreciate that.
- 6 Do you have an understanding as to
- 7 what the level of materiality was?
- 8 A. I don't recall.
- 9 Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best
- 10 of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its
- 11 outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,
- in whole or in part, that was material as that
- term was defined by the outside auditors?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And do you recall where -- do you
- 16 recall where the definition of materiality can
- 17 be found for -- for this particular purpose?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 19 A. No. You -- I don't determine
- 20 materiality.
- 21 Q. Okay. I'm just asking you if you
- 22 can help me understand where it is, but I think
- 23 we will find it in a few minutes.
- You are aware that Highland has
- 25 commenced lawsuits against each of the

Page 54

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 affiliates, as we've defined the term, to
- 3 collect under certain promissory notes; is that
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And are you familiar with the notes
- 7 that are issue -- at issue in the lawsuits?
- 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 9 A. Generally familiar.
- 10 Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that
- 11 Highland has commenced against the affiliates
- 12 collectively as the lawsuits?
- 13 A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are
- 14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.
- 15 Q. And Mr. Dondero?
- 16 A. Okay. See, that is a new -- and now
- 17 Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate
- 18 definition.
- 19 O. I just --
- 20 A. I thought affiliates -- I thought
- 21 affiliates were just the four prior entities,
- 22 so I just want to be clear.
- Q. I appreciate that. So let's --
- let's keep them separate and let's refer to the
- 25 four corporate entities as the affiliates, and

Page 55

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 Mr. Dondero we will call Mr. Dondero. Okay?
- 3 A. Okay. Thank you. As you can see,
- 4 Mr. Morris, there is a lot of entities -- a lot
- 5 here. I just want to be clear.
- 6 Q. Okay. Now, the affiliates of
- 7 Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are
- 8 not subject to the lawsuit.
- 9 Do you understand that?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 11 A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero
- 12 signed --
- Q. You know what? I will skip it.
- 14 That is okay. Okay.
- 15 From time to time while you were
- 16 Highland's CFO, payments were applied against
- 17 principal and interests that were due under the
- 18 notes that were tendered by the affiliates and
- 19 Mr. Dondero; correct?
- MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the
- 21 extent that calls for a legal conclusion.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did Highland have a process where --
- 24 whereby payments would be applied against
- 25 principal and interest against the notes that

Page 56 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were given by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero? 3 Α. Yes. Can you describe the process for me? 4 Ο. 5 The process, payment should be Α. 6 applied as laid out in the -- in the promissory 7 note. From time to time were payments made 8 Ο. 9 that were not required under the promissory 10 notes? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Who was responsible for deciding Q. 14 when and how much the payments would be made 15 with respect to each of the notes that were 16 issued by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero? 17 Who was responsible for deciding how Α. much was paid prior to the due date? 18 19 O. Yes. 20 Α. I don't know. 21 Did you approve of each payment that Q. 22 was made against principal and interest on the notes that were given by the affiliates and 23 24 Mr. Dondero? 25 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU:

Page 57 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 Α. Did I approve the payments? approve -- I approve -- if there was cash -- if 3 there was cash being repaid on a note payment, 4 5 yes, I approved in the general sense of being 6 made aware of the payment and the amount. 7 And are you the person who authorized Highland's employees to effectuate 8 9 those payments? 10 Α. Yes. When you gave the instruction to 11 Q. effectuate the payment, did you obtain 12 13 Mr. Dondero's prior approval? I mean, it -- I mean, it -- it 14 Α. 15 depends. 16 Can you think of any instance where 0. you directed Highland's employees to make a 17 payment of principal or interest against any 18 19 note that was tendered by an affiliate or 20 Mr. Dondero that Mr. Dondero did not approve of 21 in advance? 22 I can't recall specifically. Α. 23 Can you identify -- withdrawn. Q. 24 Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a payment that was made against principal and 25

Page 58

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 interest due under one of the notes that was
- 3 tendered by an affiliate or himself should not
- 4 have been made?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can you identify the payment for me?
- 7 A. It would be for -- for NexPoint
- 8 Advisors.
- 9 Q. Okay. And when did Mr. Dondero tell
- 10 you that a payment that you had initiated on
- 11 behalf of NexPoint should not have been made?
- 12 A. I wasn't initiating payment. It was
- in the context of the -- I think you used this
- 14 term, "the advisors," so NexPoint Advisors and
- 15 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had
- 16 overpaid on certain agreements with Highland
- 17 Capital Management, L.P. And as a part of that
- 18 process, the advisors -- what I was told at the
- 19 time were in talks and negotiations and
- 20 discussions with Highland Capital Management,
- 21 L.P., on offsets in relation to those
- 22 overpayments.
- Q. When did this conversation take
- 24 place?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

Page 59 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 I don't recall specifically. Α. 3 Do you recall what year it was? Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 What year did the conversation with Ο. 6 Mr. Dondero take place that you just described? 7 Α. 2020. Okay. Do you remember if it was 8 Ο. December 2020? 9 10 It -- it -- I don't -- I don't Α. recall what month specifically, but it would 11 12 have been November or December. And we're talking here about a 13 Ο. 14 payment of principal and/or interest that was 15 due -- withdrawn. 16 We're talking here about a payment of principal and interest that was applied 17 against NexPoint's note; correct? 18 19 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 20 Α. I don't recall what that payment 21 consisted of. 22 Is it possible that the payment you 0. have in mind related to the shared services 23 24 agreement?

MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

25

Page 60 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. No. 3 Are you certain that the payment --0. 4 that the payment that you have in mind related to the promissory note that NexPoint issued in 5 6 favor of Highland? 7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Α. 8 Yes. 9 Okay. Other than that one payment, Q. 10 can you identify any other instance where Mr. Dondero told you that a payment should not 11 have been applied against principal and 12 13 interest under any promissory note tendered by 14 any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to 17 form. 18 Not that I recall. Α. 19 Thank you very much. Q. Do you know if Mr. Dondero approved 20 21 in advance of each loan made to each affiliate 22 and himself during the time that you were the 23 CFO? 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 25 form.

Page 61 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes, generally. Can you identify any loan that was 3 0. 4 ever made to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero that Mr. Dondero did not approve of in advance? 5 6 Α. Other than the ones that are in 7 dispute, I'm not aware. Do you believe that Mr. Dondero did 8 Ο. 9 not approve of each of the loans that are in 10 dispute in advance of the time that the loan was made? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 Α. Given what is in the dispute, you 14 know, and -- and -- and the way things might --15 yeah, I mean... 16 I am not asking about the dispute, 0. and it was probably my mistake to follow you 17 18 there. 19 Were you aware of every loan made by 20 Highland to each of its affiliates and Mr. Dondero while you were the CFO at the time 21 22 each loan was made? Was I aware of every loan, yes. 23 Α.

in time, do you recall that any of the loans

Okay. And if you put yourself back

24

25

Ο.

Page 62 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 that were made to one of the affiliates or
- 3 Mr. Dondero during the time that you were the
- 4 CFO was made without Mr. Dondero's prior
- 5 knowledge and approval?
- 6 A. Not that I recall.
- 7 Q. Thank you. In fact, do you -- as
- 8 the CFO, would you have allowed Highland to
- 9 loan money to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero
- 10 without obtaining Mr. Dondero's prior approval?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 12 A. I can't -- there was so many times
- over the years, I can't speak for every single
- one, but generally, yes, I -- I spoke to him.
- 15 Q. You -- you never -- you never --
- 16 withdrawn. I will just take that.
- 17 Can you recall any payment that was
- 18 ever made against principal and interest on a
- 19 note that was issued in favor of Highland by an
- 20 affiliate or Mr. Dondero that you personally
- 21 did not know about in advance?
- 22 A. There are so many through the years,
- 23 I don't -- I don't -- I don't recall every
- 24 single one.
- Q. Okay. Can you identify any payment

Page 63 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 that was made against principal and interest on any note tendered by any affiliate or 3 Mr. Dondero that you didn't know about in 4 5 advance? I don't recall. 6 Α. 7 Other than Mr. Dondero -- withdrawn. Ο. Did anybody at Highland have the 8 9 authority to make a payment against principal 10 and interest due under a loan given to the affiliates and Mr. Dondero without your 11 knowledge and approval? 12 13 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Sorry, there was -- to make a 14 Α. 15 payment on an affiliate loan, what you are saying would it require my knowledge and 16 approval, yes. 17 18 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Thank 19 you. 20 Did anybody at Highland have the authority, to the best of your knowledge, to 21 22 effectuate a loan to an affiliate without Mr. Dondero's prior knowledge and approval? 23 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 I can't speak for all, but Α.

Page 64 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 generally, yes. 2. Did you personally communicate with 3 Mr. Dondero to let him know each time a payment 4 of principal or interest was being made against 5 6 any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero to Highland? 7 I don't -- are you saying, did I let 8 Α. Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any 9 10 affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, 11 not -- not every -- no. 12 Let me ask it this way: Did you Q. 13 have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when 14 payments were made against principal and 15 interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? 16 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to 18 form. 19 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 20 Α. No, I did not. 21 Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a Q. 22 payment of principal or interest had been made 23 against a note that was tendered by an 24 affiliate or himself that he had been unaware

25

of?

Page 65 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Not that I recall. Α. Are you aware that Mr. Dondero and 3 0. 4 the affiliates -- withdrawn. 5 Are you aware that Mr. Dondero 6 NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS all contend that they 7 do not have to pay on any of the notes they issued because they are subject to an oral 8 9 agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy 10 Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 Α. I didn't -- I didn't -- I didn't know that it was all notes. 14 15 Okay. Are you -- did you ever learn Q. that there was an oral agreement between Jim 16 Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any 17 notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? 18 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. 20 21 Yes. Α. 22 Do you have any understanding as to Ο. 23 the terms of that agreement? 24 Α. Yes. 25 What is your understanding of the Q.

Page 66 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 terms of the agreement? 3 Α. That there were certain milestones 4 that had to be reached. 5 Do you have any understanding of the Ο. 6 terms of the agreement between Mr. Dondero and 7 Nancy Dondero concerning any of the notes issued by the affiliates or Mr. Dondero other 8 than that there have to be milestones reached? 9 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 11 form. 12 There are milestones, I found out Α. yesterday, or there was some --13 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. I'm just 15 going to object to the extent that you 16 learned anything in conversations with 17 counsel, please don't reveal -- that is 18 privileged, and don't reveal any privileged 19 communications. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 So I'm not aware of anything else. Α. 22 Do you know what the milestones Ο. 23 were? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 25 I don't. Α.

Page 67 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Do you know anything about -- do you Ο. 3 know what promissory notes the agreement 4 covered? 5 Α. I don't. 6 0. Do you know if -- if Jim and Nancy 7 Dondero entered into one agreement or more than one agreement? 8 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 10 form. 11 I don't know. Α. 12 Do you know if the agreement is in Q. 13 writing? I don't know. 14 Α. 15 Q. How did you learn of the existence of the agreement? 16 17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 18 Again --I don't -- I don't recall who told 19 Α. 20 me. 21 You have no recollection of who told Ο. 22 you about this agreement between Jim and Nancy Dondero? 23 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 25 form.

Page 68 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 I don't recall. Α. 3 Do you recall how you learned of the 0. 4 agreement? 5 Was it in a meeting? Was it in a 6 phone call? Was it in an email? 7 I don't recall. Α. Do you recall when you learned of 8 0. 9 the agreement? 10 Not specifically. Α. 11 Do you recall what year you learned Q. 12 of the agreement? 13 Α. In -- look, I mean, there are so 14 many notes. I may be getting -- I believe it 15 was 2020. 16 All right. I'm not asking about 0. notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement 17 that you testified you knew about between Jim 18 19 and Don- -- Nancy Dondero. Okay. 20 Do you understand my question now? 21 Should I ask my question again? Yeah, sure. Go ahead. 22 Α. 23 I'm going to use the word 0. 24 "agreement" to refer to the agreement that

Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero entered into

25

```
Page 69
 1
                  WATERHOUSE -10-19-21
     where you understood that certain milestones
 3
     had to be reached.
                         Okay?
 4
          Α.
                Uh-huh.
 5
                MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.
 6
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
 7
          form.
 8
                MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,
 9
          what is the objection.
10
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection --
11
                MR. MORRIS: I will move on.
12
          move on.
13
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John --
14
          Q.
                Sir, are you okay with that
15
     definition of agreement?
16
          Α.
                Okay.
17
                Okay. So you don't recall who --
          0.
     who informed you of the existence of the
18
19
     agreement; is that right?
20
          Α.
                I don't recall.
21
                You don't recall who told you the
          Ο.
22
     terms of the agreement.
23
                Do I have that right?
24
          Α.
                Correct.
25
                And you don't recall if you learned
          Q.
```

Page 70 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. about the agreement in a meeting, through an 3 email, or through a phone call. 4 Do I have that right? 5 I don't recall. Α. 6 Ο. Can you tell me when you learned of 7 the agreement? I don't -- I don't -- I don't Α. 8 9 remember specifically. 10 Can you tell me if you learned of the agreement before or after the petition 11 12 date? 13 Α. It would have been -- it would have been after. 14 Can you tell me if you learned of 15 0. the agreement before or after January 9th, 16 17 2020? 18 It would have been after. Α. Can you tell me if you learned of 19 Ο. 20 the agreement before or after you left Highland 21 Capital Management in February of 2021? 22 I don't -- I don't -- I don't know. Α. 23 It is possible that you learned of Q. it while you were a Highland employee. 24 25 Do I have that right?

Page 71 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21I don't remember the -- I mean, it Α. was sometime in 2021. I don't remember when. 3 4 All right. So to the best of your Ο. recollection, it was in 2021 but you don't 5 6 recall if it was before or after you ceased to 7 be a Highland employee. Do I have that right? 8 9 Α. Yeah, I mean, it was -- it was 10 likely after I was -- after I left Highland because, if I put myself back into the last 11 12 days of -- of 2021, it was -- you know, the 13 communications with Mr. Dondero were -- were --14 were -- there weren't as many communications 15 because of the circumstances. 16 And so based on that you believe 0. that it is most likely that you learned of this 17 agreement sometime after you left Highland 18 19 employment? 20 Α. I wouldn't use the term "most 21 likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't 22 recall. 23 Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery Ο. 24 about this agreement?

No, I don't -- I didn't tell

25

Α.

Page 72 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. Jim Seery. 3 Did you tell anybody at DSI about 0. 4 this agreement? 5 Α. No. Did you tell any of Highland's 6 Ο. 7 independent directors about this agreement? Α. 8 No. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski 9 Q. 10 Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? 11 Α. No. 12 Did you tell any employee of Q. 13 Highland about this agreement? 14 Α. No. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has 16 been an hour and a half. Is this a good 17 time for a break? 18 MR. MORRIS: Sure. 19 Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind Ο. 20 you that during the break please don't speak 21 with anybody about the deposition, the 22 substance of your testimony or anything else 23 concerning the deposition. Okay? 24 Α. Yes. 25 MR. MORRIS: So it is 11:02. We're

```
Page 73
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I
 2
 3
          quess, at 15 -- at 11:15 your time.
 4
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
 5
          record at 11:02 a.m.
 6
          (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)
 7
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
          record at 11:20 a.m.
 8
 9
                Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with
          Q.
10
     anybody during the break about this deposition?
          Α.
11
                No.
12
                MS. DANDENEAU: Other than -- other
13
          than his counsel.
                Did you speak to your counsel about
14
          Q.
15
     the substance of your deposition today?
16
                No, I didn't bring it up.
          Α.
                I didn't ask you if you brought it
17
          Q.
          I asked you if you had any conversation
18
     with your lawyer about the substance of your
19
20
     deposition.
21
                MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.
22
                Can you tell me what the -- you
          0.
     discussed?
23
24
                MS. DANDENEAU: No, I object to
25
          that. He's not going to answer. That is a
```

Page 74 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 privileged conversation. 3 MR. MORRIS: So I just want to make 4 sure that I understand. During the break 5 you spoke with your client about the 6 substance of this deposition; is that 7 right? 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, John. 9 MR. MORRIS: And you refuse -- you 10 refuse to let your client tell me what was discussed; is that right? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: That's correct. 13 MR. MORRIS: You know, I had given the instruction prior to the break not to 14 15 speak with counsel. I would have 16 appreciated --17 MS. DANDENEAU: No, you didn't -actually, that is not true, Mr. Morris. 18 19 You said not to speak with anyone. We 20 never have interpreted that to mean conversations with counsel. That's never 21 22 been -- I have never, ever heard that 23 instruction. MR. MORRIS: Okay. We will -- we 24 25 will -- we will deal with it when and if we

```
Page 75
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          have to.
                Mr. Waterhouse, after learning about
 3
          Ο.
 4
     the agreement, did you ask anybody if the
 5
     agreement was reflected in a writing?
 6
                MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
 7
          Α.
                No.
                Did you ask anybody if the terms of
 8
          Q.
 9
     the agreement were memorialized anywhere?
10
                MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
11
                MR. MORRIS: What is the --
12
          Α.
                No.
13
                MS. DANDENEAU: Well, because you
14
          keep talking about this agreement and I --
15
          I -- I think, Mr. Morris, that is really
          not clear what you mean by "the agreement."
16
17
          And maybe you can just go back and restate
18
          what that is.
19
                MR. MORRIS: Okay. Your client has
20
          agreed with me twice on the definition, but
21
          I will try one more time.
22
                Mr. Waterhouse, do you understand
          Q.
     that when I use the term "agreement," I'm
23
24
     referring to the agreement between Jim and
25
     Nancy Dondero concerning certain promissory
```

Page 76 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21notes where you learned that one of the terms 3 of the agreement was milestones reached? 4 Α. Okay. 5 0. And did you understand that that was 6 the -- the agreement that we were referring to 7 every time we used the word "agreement" in this deposition? 8 I don't know anything about this 9 Α. 10 agreement. So, look, I do -- it -- I don't 11 know whether --12 Let's -- let's try this again. 0. 13 Α. Yeah. Look, I don't know what this 14 agreement relates. 15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, John --16 Q. Let me try --17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, please let 18 the witness finish. 19 MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please 20 stop. Please stop talking. 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you stop. 22 Let the witness --23 MR. MORRIS: Stop talking. 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: -- finish -- you 25 interrupted him.

```
Page 77
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
                MR. MORRIS: You know what, you
 3
          guys, this is really wrong. It is really,
 4
          really wrong. Okay?
 5
                I had the witness agree not once,
          but twice to the definition of agreement.
 6
 7
          Okay? I'm going to try and do it a third
          time.
 8
 9
                MS. DANDENEAU: No, but, please,
10
          John, really --
11
                MR. MORRIS: No, please stop
12
          talking. Please. It is my deposition.
13
          Object to questions.
                MS. DANDENEAU: No, but also you
14
15
          instructed him that -- that if you were
16
          going -- if you were interrupting him, that
17
          he should remind you that you're
18
          interrupting him and -- and --
                MR. MORRIS: Let him do that.
19
20
          him do that.
21
                MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Well, you --
22
                MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.
                Okay. I don't know any of the
23
          Α.
24
     details of these agreements. I don't know
25
     anything about them. I heard -- someone -- I
```

Page 78 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 don't know who, I don't know when, as you asked, sometime in '21, someone told me about 3 this -- or I don't honestly know -- I don't 4 5 even recall exactly how I was made aware of 6 this, but I was. I don't know -- I don't know any of these details, and I'm getting -- again, 7 there is, you know, I -- I -- I had a passing 8 9 conversation with -- with Jim at some point 10 on -- on some -- on the executive comp, and I'm getting confused of what is what, because 11 again, I don't know any of these details. 12 13 0. Okay. Let me try again, Mr. Waterhouse, and I apologize. 14 15 Are you aware of any agreement 16 between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero concerning any promissory note that was given 17 to Highland by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? 18 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 20 form. I've heard of an agreement. 21 Α.

- 22 is -- that is -- I mean, if you are using aware
- 23 as heard, sure.
- Q. And you understand that one of the
- 25 terms of the agreement is that it was based on

Page 79 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21milestones that had to be reached; is that 3 right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 4 5 That was one of the words that was Α. 6 used when I heard about it, yes. 7 And when you heard about this Ο. agreement that had a term in it concerning 8 milestones reached, did you ask the person who 9 10 was telling you about the agreement whether or not it was in writing? 11 12 I did not. Α. 13 Q. Did you ask any questions at all? 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 15 Α. Not that I recall. But do you understand that going 16 Q. forward, we're going to refer to the agreement 17 as the agreement that you just described that 18 19 you were --20 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. You don't have any personal Ο. 23 knowledge concerning the terms of the 24 agreement; correct? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the

Page 80 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. You can answer. 3 0. I don't -- I heard about the 4 Α. 5 agreement. I don't know anything -- I heard 6 there was an agreement. That is -- again, as I 7 testified before -- I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was 8 9 sometime this year. 10 Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 Α. Other than what I have previously 14 discussed, I don't -- I don't know. 15 Q. Did -- did Mr. Dondero tell you about the existence of the agreement? 16 17 I don't recall. Α. Do you recall the source of your 18 Q. 19 information when you learned about the agreement? 20 21 No, I don't -- I don't recall. I Α. 22 don't remember. I just -- I heard about it 23 generally. I don't remember -- I don't remember who, how, if, how. I don't remember. 24 25 You know, Mr. Waterhouse, I just Q.

Page 81 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 want to be clear that I never would have asked you to appear at this deposition if your name 3 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 4 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the -- who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 8 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put through this today. I had no intention of 11 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 12 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody --16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have --MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the 18 19 I really do. guy. 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do. 21 MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop. 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop. 23 MR. MORRIS: I'm allowed. 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you're not 25 allowed to have a chat with the witness.

Page 82 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Okay. Well, I hope that you Ο. appreciate what I'm saying here, 3 4 Mr. Waterhouse. 5 MS. DANDENEAU: All right. Let's go 6 ahead and ask questions, and again, you're 7 entitled to probe his -- his knowledge of -- whatever knowledge he has about 8 9 this -- this agreement and --10 That is what I'm doing. MR. MORRIS: 11 MS. DANDENEAU: -- he will answer 12 the questions to the best that he can. 13 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing. 14 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I take it you do not know which promissory notes issued by which 15 affiliates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of 16 this agreement; do I have that right? 17 18 Yes, I don't -- I don't know. Α. 19 Do you know of any way to determine Ο. 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 23 Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 25 I don't know. Α.

Page 83 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21Ο. Did you ever make --3 I don't know anything about these Α. 4 agreements. 5 Did you ever make any effort to Ο. 6 determine which promissory notes are subject to 7 this agreement? Α. No. 8 9 Did you ever ask anybody which Q. 10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement? 11 Α. No. 12 Do you know if there is a list Ο. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes that are 14 subject to this agreement? 15 Α. I'm not aware. Have you ever seen the terms of the 16 0. 17 agreement written down anywhere? 18 Α. No. 19 Have you ever asked anybody whether Ο. the terms of the agreement were written down 20 21 anywhere? 22 Α. I have not. 23 Did learning about the agreement 0. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

Page 84 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. No. 3 Did anybody ever describe to you the 0. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to earlier? 5 6 Α. No, I don't -- I don't have any 7 details of this. That is fine. Q. 8 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 10 Highland's outside auditors prior to the petition date; correct? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers as PwC? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 PricewaterhouseCoopers audited 0. Highland's financial statements on an annual 17 18 basis; correct? 19 During my -- during my time as -- as Α. 20 CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the 21 auditor. 22 Do you know why Highland had its Ο. 23 annual financial statements audited each year? 24 Α. Generally. 25 Tell me your general understanding Q.

Page 85

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual
- 3 financial statements audited each year.
- 4 A. From -- from time to time, they were
- 5 used -- or asked for, as part of diligence or
- 6 transactions or -- or things of that nature.
- 7 Q. And were they given to third parties
- 8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from
- 9 time to time?
- 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes.
- 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the
- 12 CFO that the third parties who received the
- 13 financial statements in diligence or
- 14 transactions was going to rely on those?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 16 A. I don't know -- I don't know gen --
- 17 I don't know specifically what they were going
- 18 to rely on. You know, we would get requests
- 19 for audited financial statements. I don't know
- 20 what they were relying on.
- 21 Q. And --
- 22 A. You would have to ask them.
- Q. Did you personally play a role in
- 24 PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the
- 25 audit?

Page 86 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 Α. During my tenure as CFO, I played a 4 very minimal role. What was the minimal role that you 5 Ο. 6 played? 7 You know, again, it was -- it was to Α. check in with the team, to make sure that, you 8 9 know, audit -- the deadlines were being hit, 10 information was being presented to the auditors in a -- in a timely fashion, but, you know, 11 other than that, it was a very capable team 12 13 that are still current employees of Highland 14 and, you know, they -- they conducted 99 15 percent of -- look, I don't want to give percentages. I mean, this is -- but I -- I --16 I played a minimal role towards the end. 17 18 Before during my earlier years as 19 CFO, I did more, and then as time went on, I 20 did less in it. 21 Ο. Okay. Was there a person at 22 Highland who was responsible for overseeing 23 Highland's participation in PwC's audit during 24 the time that you were the CFO?

I mean, there was -- there

25

Α.

Yeah.

Page 87

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 was a -- there was a point -- it varies. It
- 3 varies by year, in function, in time and, you
- 4 know, depending on the request, but yes, I
- 5 mean, there is -- there is -- there is
- 6 generally a point person of communication.
- 7 Q. And who was the point person from
- 8 2016 until the time you left Highland?
- 9 A. I don't -- I don't know
- 10 specifically, but it would have been, you
- 11 know -- you know, someone on the corporate
- 12 accounting team.
- 13 Q. And was there a head of the
- 14 corporate accounting team?
- 15 A. Yes, so -- yes.
- 16 Q. Who was the head of corporate
- 17 accounting for the five years prior to the time
- 18 you left Highland?
- 19 A. I don't -- if you're asking from
- 20 2016 on, I don't -- it was Dave Klos, but,
- 21 again, there was -- there was changes to the
- 22 team and the reporting structure. I don't
- 23 remember exactly when that happened during --
- 24 you know, over the last -- since 2016.
- Q. Did the folks who participated and

Page 88

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 ran the audit all report to you, directly or
- 3 indirectly?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And did you have any responsibility
- 6 for making sure that the audit report was
- 7 accurate before it was finalized?
- 8 A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that --
- 9 that is -- my responsibility to the auditors
- 10 was -- again, is -- and the CFO is to -- we are
- 11 providing accurate financial statements; right?
- 12 And -- and -- and as part of any
- 13 audit, we disclose all relevant information as
- 14 part of any audit.
- 15 Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take
- 16 steps to make sure that the audit report was
- 17 accurate?
- 18 A. I mean, I would say in a general
- 19 sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a
- 20 very -- I had a very capable and competent
- 21 team. I wasn't managing them.
- You know, part of what I do is I let
- 23 the team -- I want managers to grow. I want
- 24 managers to have rope. And that is -- you
- 25 know, I'm not a stand-behind-you type of guy.

Page 89

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 If you -- if you talk to my team members, I'm
- 3 not micromanaging people. I want people to
- 4 learn and grow in their function so they can go
- 5 on and do bigger and better things with their
- 6 careers.
- 7 And so, yes, generally I was
- 8 responsible for it, but I wanted the team to
- 9 learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk
- 10 of the audit.
- 11 Q. Did you personally review each audit
- 12 report before it was finalized to satisfy
- 13 yourself that it was accurate?
- 14 A. I don't -- I don't recall, you know,
- 15 for every single -- we're talking 2016, there
- 16 would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18.
- 17 I don't -- we're -- we're going back
- 18 five years-plus. I don't -- you know, I don't
- 19 recall.
- 20 Q. Did you have a practice that you
- 21 employed to make sure that you were satisfied
- 22 that Highland's audit reports were true and
- 23 accurate to the best of your knowledge?
- A. I mean, our -- the practice was set
- 25 up with our -- the -- the practice to put

Page 90 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 together accurate audited or accurate financial statements is to your control environment. 3 So, you know, the -- so the practice 4 was to maintain a stable control environment 5 6 which then the output is -- is accurate 7 financial statements. So -- so, you know, if I was 8 9 comfortable that the control environment was 10 operating, then, you know, that would dictate how I would -- you know, what I might or might 11 not do in a given year. 12 13 Okay. Do you recall ever being uncomfortable with the control environment 14 15 during the period that you served as CFO? 16 Yeah. I mean, look, yes, there are Α.

- times -- you know, nothing is perfect. So 17
- there were -- there were times when, yes, you 18
- know -- there are times I learned I was 19
- 20 uncomfortable with the control environment, and
- 21 that is part of the management of the process
- 22 and having, you know -- and -- and working
- 23 through whatever obstacles present themselves.
- 24 Okay. Were you ever uncomfortable 0.
- 25 with the control process as it related to

```
Page 91
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
     reporting and disclosures of loans to
 3
     affiliates and Mr. Dondero?
                MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
 4
 5
                I don't -- I don't recall --
          Α.
 6
          0.
                So you don't recall --
 7
                -- the --
          Α.
                MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris --
 8
 9
          Α.
                I don't recall being uncomfortable.
10
     But, again, we're going back several years.
     don't -- you know, the practice in an audit is
11
     to disclose all information to the auditors.
12
13
     And I don't -- I don't recall.
14
                As part of the process of the audit,
          0.
15
     did you sign what is sometimes referred to as a
16
     management representation letter?
17
          Α.
                Yes.
18
                MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the
19
          screen a document that we have premarked as
20
          Exhibit 33.
21
                (Exhibit 33 marked.)
22
                MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, that is
23
          not in the binder; correct?
24
                MR. MORRIS: Correct.
25
                So you will see, Mr. Waterhouse,
          Q.
```

Page 92 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we 3 could go to the signature page. 4 And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 That is your signature; right? Ο. Α. 8 Yes. 9 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back 10 to the top. 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you 12 have somebody post this in the chat so that 13 we have can have a copy of this, please. MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can 14 15 you do that, please. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of 16 Ο. the second paragraph there is a reference to 17 materiality? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. It says, Materiality used for Q. purposes of these representations is 21 22 \$1.7 million. 23 Do you see that? 24 Α. I do. 25 And did PwC set that level of Q.

Page 93 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. materiality? 3 Α. Yes. And for purposes of the audit, did 4 Ο. PwC set the level of materiality each year? 5 Α. 6 Yes. 7 Did that number change over time? Ο. I'm not aware of what materiality is 8 Α. 9 every single year, so -- but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 11 question I asked you earlier today. And that 12 is in connection -- this letter is issued in 13 14 connection with the audit for the period ending 15 12/31/2018; correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. And is it fair to say that if 0. any -- actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take 18 it outside of this. 19 20 If Highland ever forgave the loan to 21 any affiliate or any of its officers or 22 employees, in whole or in part, to the best of your knowledge, would that forgiveness have 23 24 been disclosed in the audited financial

statements if it exceeded the level of

25

Page 94 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. materiality that PwC established? 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. So, again, during my tenure as CFO, 4 Α. and -- Highland -- it was -- it is required to 5 6 disclose any affiliate loans that are in excess 7 of materiality. Now, the forgiveness of those loans 8 9 may or may not -- I mean, since materiality 10 fluctuates every year, a -- you know, if a loan was forgiven, it may or may not, you know --11 and, look, I would want to consult the guidance 12 13 around this. 14 It is not something we do -- you 15 know, it is not -- you know, GAAP can be and 16 disclosures can be very specialized so, again, we want to consult the guidance. But we would 17 see if and what would need to be disclosed if 18 it were deemed immaterial. 19 20 Did you and Mr. Dondero sign 0. 21 management representation letters of this type 22 in each year in which you served as Highland's 23 CFO?

I signed them. There may have been others that

I -- I -- I will speak for myself.

24

25

Α.

Page 95

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 signed as well. I don't -- I don't recall.
- 3 Q. But to the best of your knowledge,
- 4 you, personally, signed a management
- 5 representation letter in connection with
- 6 Highland's audit each year that you served as
- 7 the CFO; correct?
- 8 A. I would say generally speaking,
- 9 Mr. Morris. I don't recall for every single
- 10 year, you know, generally, but I would want to
- 11 refer to all the rep letters and see who signed
- 12 them.
- Q. Do you recall Highland having its
- 14 financial statements audited in any year during
- 15 the period that you were a CFO where you didn't
- 16 sign the management representation letter?
- 17 A. I don't recall. But, John, we're
- 18 going back five, six, seven, eight, nine,
- 19 decade. I don't -- I don't remember.
- 20 Q. I don't want to go back that many
- 21 decades, but I'm just asking you if you recall
- 22 that there was you didn't sign it?
- 23 A. I -- I -- I don't, but my memory
- 24 is -- again, I -- I -- I can't tell you what I
- 25 did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 but I don't -- I don't know for sure, and I
- 3 would want to rely on the document.
- 4 Q. Let me ask the question a little bit
- 5 differently then.
- 6 Do you have any reason to believe
- 7 that Highland had its annual financial audit
- 8 and you did not sign a management
- 9 representation letter in connection with that
- 10 audit?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 12 A. I don't believe it would, but,
- 13 again, I would want to -- I don't recall and I
- 14 would want to confirm it to -- to make, you
- 15 know, an affirmative -- to give an affirmative
- 16 answer.
- 17 Q. Do you know whether PwC required
- 18 management to sign management representation
- 19 letters?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 21 A. Yes. I mean, it -- management
- 22 representation letters are signed by
- 23 management.
- Q. Okay. And do you know -- do you
- 25 have any understanding as to why PwC requires

Page 97 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. management to sign management representation 3 letters? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 5 form. 6 Α. I don't know why PwC's -- what PwC's 7 specific practice is. I know generally what management representation letters are. 8 9 Q. Okay. Do you personally -- I'm not 10 asking about PwC. I'm asking for you -- I'm asking about you, do you have an understanding 11 as to why the auditor asks for management 12 13 representation letters? 14 Α. Okay. So you're asking me in my 15 personal capacity, yes, I have a general 16 understanding of why. 17 Can you give me the general 18 understanding that you have as to why 19 management representation letters are required? 20 Α. They are -- they are required to --21 they are -- they are one of the items required 22 in an audit to help verify completeness. 23 Ο. Do you have any -- any other 24 understanding as to why management 25 representation letters are required?

Page 98 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 That is -- that is -- other than Α. what I said, it is -- it is -- it is required 3 so -- to ensure that the -- you know, there 4 5 is -- there is completeness in what is being audited. 6 7 Did you -- did you have a practice whereby you and Mr. Dondero conferred about the 8 9 management representation letters before you 10 signed them? 11 Α. No. 12 Did you have a practice --Ο. 13 withdrawn. 14 Do you see just the next sentence after the materiality, there is a sentence that 15 16 states: We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, as of June 3rd, 2019, the 17 date of your report, the following 18 19 representations made to you during your audit. 20 Do you see that sentence? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. Did you understand when you Ο. signed this letter that you were confirming the 23 24 representations that followed? 25 When I signed this management Α.

Page 99 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. letter -- representation letter, yes. 3 Okay. Did you discuss this letter 0. 4 with Mr. Dondero before you signed it? 5 I don't recall. Α. Do you recall if Mr. Dondero asked 6 Ο. 7 you any questions before he signed the letter? I don't recall. Α. 8 9 Do you recall if you asked Q. 10 Mr. Dondero any questions before you signed 11 this letter? 12 I don't recall. Α. 13 0. Is it fair to say that Mr. Dondero 14 did not disclose to you the existence of the 15 agreement that we have -- as we've defined that 16 term prior to the time you signed this letter? 17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't think I understand the 18 Α. 19 So, again, you are saying, did 20 Mr. Dondero not disclose to me the existence of 21 this letter?

- Q. No, I apologize.
- Did Mr. Dondero disclose to you the
- 24 existence of the agreement prior to the time
- you signed this letter on June 3rd, 2019?

Page 100 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. The agreement -- the agreement that 3 we talked about earlier? 4 Correct. Ο. 5 Look, as I said earlier, the first Α. 6 time I heard of this agreement was sometime 7 this year. Okay. Can we turn -- let's just 8 Ο. 9 look at a couple of items on the list. If we 10 can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or 11 12 disclosure in the financial statements of ND 13 relationships and transactions with related 14 parties. 15 Do you see that? 16 I do. Α. As the CFO, do you have any 17 0. understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a 18 related party? 19 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 Do you know whether any of the Q. 22 affiliates are related parties? 23 If -- if it was NexPoint, HCMFA, Α. 24 HCMS, HCRE, yeah, if -- if that is the 25 affiliate definition, and there. In ASC 850 --

Page 101

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in
- 3 quite some time, but, you know, if -- if there
- 4 is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would
- 5 that -- that section in GAAP would -- would
- 6 pick up and define what are related parties.
- 7 So, you know, like I said, if -- one
- 8 of the four entities I just described, if -- if
- 9 they are in that control definition of ASC 850,
- 10 they would be picked up in 35D.
- 11 Q. Do you -- do you have any reason to
- 12 believe that they would be picked up in that
- definition, based on your knowledge and
- 14 experience?
- 15 A. I -- I believe that entities
- 16 controlled under GAAP are -- are affiliates.
- 17 Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also
- 18 qualify as a related party for purposes of
- 19 Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge?
- 20 A. Yeah, I don't -- I don't know. I
- 21 would think -- I would have to read the code
- 22 section to see if someone personally -- is it
- 23 talking about related parties. So, look, if
- 24 your own in control, yeah, I mean, I would have
- 25 to read the section.

Page 102 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 To the best of your knowledge, was Q. 3 the existence of the agreement ever disclosed 4 to PwC? 5 Α. I'm not -- I'm not aware. 6 Ο. Do you recall if the agreement was 7 ever disclosed in Highland's audited financial statements? 8 9 I don't -- I don't remember if it Α. 10 was in every Highland's audited financial statements during my tenure. We would have to 11 read the financial statements to see what was 12 13 disclosed, but I'm not -- I mean, as I sit here 14 today, I'm not aware. 15 Q. That is all I'm asking for. 16 Α. I'm not aware. 17 0. Can we go to the next page, please, and look at 36. 36 says, we have disclosed to 18 19 you the identity of the partnership's related 20 party relationships and all the related party relationships and transactions of which we are 21 22 aware. 23 Do you see that? 24 Α. Yes.

25

Q.

To the best of your knowledge, as of

Page 103 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 June 3rd, 2019, did Highland disclose to PwC 2. the identity of the partnership's related 3 parties and all the related party relationships 4 and transactions of which it was aware? 5 6 Α. I mean, I can speak for myself as signer of this representation letter. 7 disclosed what -- what, you know, what --8 9 what -- what I knew. Sorry, look, yes, so I --10 I disclosed what I knew. Okay. Can we go to page 419. Do 11 Ο. you see at the end there is a reference to 12 13 events that occurred since the end of the 14 fiscal year and the date of the letter? 15 Α. Yes. And were you aware of that -- of 16 Ο. that provision of the management representation 17 letter before you signed the document? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Do you have an understanding as to Q. why PwC asked for that confirmation of that 21 22 particular part of the management 23 representation letter?

is a typical audit request.

It is -- it is -- it is just -- it

24

25

Page 104 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. And do you understand -- do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that 3 4 as of the date of the audit whether any 5 material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of 6 materiality that is in this particular 7 management representation letter? 8 9 Α. It -- it is -- it is a --10 it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. 11 12 If I asked you this, I apologize, Q. 13 but did you ever learn when the agreement was 14 entered into? 15 Α. I don't -- I don't -- like I said 16 before, I don't know or have any details of the 17 agreement. 18 Ο. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when 19 the agreement was entered into? 20 Α. I did not. 21 Let's look at the audited financial Ο. 22 statements. We will put up on the screen a 23 document that has been premarked as Exhibit 34. 24 (Exhibit 34 marked.) 25 MS. DANDENEAU: And again, if Ms. La

Page 105 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. 3 4 MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we 5 will put every document in the chat room. 6 Ο. Now, I'm just going to ask you 7 questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, 8 9 but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part 10 of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to 11 refresh your recollection to answer any of my 12 questions, will you let me know that? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Because this is a pretty lengthy Q. 16 document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial 17 statements for the period ending December 31st, 18 19 2018? 20 Α. Yes. 21 If we can go to -- I think it is the Q. 22 next one, looking for PwC's signature line. I'm sorry, John, did you 23 MS. CANTY: 24 say something? 25 MR. MORRIS: Yes, can we turn the

Page 106 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I think it is 215. Yes, stop right page. 3 there, just above -- I'm sorry, I want to 4 see just the date of the report. 5 Okay. Do you see at the bottom of Ο. 6 that page there, Mr. Waterhouse, 7 PricewaterhouseCoopers has signed this audit 8 report? 9 Α. Yes, I see their signature. 10 Okay. And it is the dated same day Q. 11 as your management representation letter; is 12 that right? 13 Α. It is -- yes, it is the same day. 14 Q. Was that the practice to sign the 15 management representation letter on the same 16 day that the audit report was signed? 17 Yes, that is typical in every audit. Α. Can we just scroll down to the 18 Q. 19 balance sheet on the next page. 20 Do you see that there is a line 21 there that says, Notes and Other Amounts Due 22 from Affiliates? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Does that line, to the best of your Ο. 25 knowledge, include the amounts that were due

Page 107
WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 under the affiliate under the notes signed by
- 3 the affiliates and Mr. Dondero?
- 4 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the
- 5 extent that calls for a legal conclusion.
- 6 A. I mean, I would want to see the
- 7 detail and the build to this \$173,398,000, but,
- 8 yes, I mean, if -- if -- given what we
- 9 discussed before, you know, it -- it should
- 10 capture that.

1

- 11 Q. And -- and while you were the CFO of
- 12 Highland, were all notes held by Highland that
- were issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero
- 14 carried as assets on Highland's balance sheets?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.
- 17 A. I don't -- I don't know how else
- 18 they would be carried.
- 19 Q. Okay. Can you think of any -- are
- 20 you aware of any promissory note issued by an
- 21 affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not carried
- 22 on Highland's audited financial balance sheets?
- 23 A. I'm -- I'm -- I'm not aware.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of any category
- of asset on Highland's balance sheet in which

Page 108 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21any of the promissory notes issued by an 3 affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been 4 included? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 Α. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an 7 affiliate being included --That -- let me -- let me try again. 8 Q. 9 Do you see there is a number of 10 different assets that are described on this 11 balance sheet? 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. One of the assets that is described 14 is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; 15 right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And it is reasonable to conclude Ο. that the notes from the affiliates and 18 Mr. Dondero are included in that line item; 19 20 right? 21 Yes, based on this description. Α. Again, I would want to see a build of this to 22 23 100 percent confirm, but based on the 24 description, the asset description, it is -- it 25 is likely.

Page 109 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Now, does that mean absolute? 3 don't know. 4 Do you have any reason to believe 5 that the promissory notes would have been 6 carried on the balance sheet in a category 7 other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? 8 9 If they were deemed -- no. If they Α. 10 were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. 11 12 Otherwise, it would go into another line. Okay. And do you see the total 13 Ο. asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was 14 15 approximately \$1.04 billion? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Is my math correct that the Notes 0. and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates 18 19 constituted approximately 17 percent of 20 Highland's assets as of the end of 2018? 21 Α. Well, so how are you defining 22 Highland? 23 Highland Capital Management, L.P., 0. 24 the entity that this audit is subject to -- or 25 the subject of.

Page 110 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 On a consolidated or unconsolidated Α. 3 basis? 4 I'm looking at the balance sheet. 0. It is a consolidated balance sheet. Okav? 5 6 Does the Notes and Other Amounts Due 7 from Affiliates constitute approximately 17 percent of the total assets of Highland 8 9 Capital Management, L.P., on a consolidated 10 basis? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 I don't have a calculator in front Α. 13 of me but I will take your math, if you are taking the 173 divided by the billion. 14 15 Q. Okay. 16 Α. If that is accurate, yes. But, again, on a consolidated basis. 17 18 And on an unconsolidated basis the Q. 19 percentage would be higher; correct? 20 Α. I -- no. I don't know. 21 Q. Well, okay. That is fair. 22 MR. MORRIS: Can we turn to 23 page 241, please. 24 Do you see that this is a section of 0. 25 the audit report that is entitled Notes and

Page 111 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?
- 3 A. Sorry, I can't see the -- the --
- 4 Q. It is at the top.
- 5 A. Notes and Other Amounts Due from
- 6 Affiliates, yes, I see that. I don't -- I
- 7 don't have a page number, but I'm on a page
- 8 that says at the top: Notes and Other Amounts
- 9 Due from Affiliates.
- 10 Q. Okay. And that is the same title of
- 11 the line item on the balance sheet that we just
- 12 looked at; right? Notes and Other Amounts Due
- 13 from Affiliates?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And is it your understanding, based
- on your experience and knowledge as the CFO,
- 17 that this is the section of the narrative that
- 18 ties into the line item that we just looked at?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. And is this section of the audit
- 21 report intended to describe and disclose all of
- 22 the material facts concerning the Notes and
- 23 Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.
- 25 A. This -- these notes -- these notes

Case 21-03005-sqi Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:58 Page 162 of 396 Page 112 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21of the financial statements are -- the purpose 3 is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. 4 Okav. And all of the information, Ο. to the best of your knowledge, that is set 6 forth in this section of the audit report was 7 provided by Highland; correct? 8 9 Α. Yes, it would have been provided by 10 the corporate accounting team. Okay. And the corporate accounting 11 Ο. 12 team, did that team report to you in the 13 organizational structure? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And did you have any concerns about Q. the controls that were in place to make sure 16 that the information provided with respect to 17 Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was 18 19 accurate and complete?

- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 21 A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being
- 23 concerned that any portion of the Notes and
- 24 Other Amounts Due from Affiliates in any audit
- 25 report was inaccurate, incomplete, or not

Page 113 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. reliable? Α. I didn't -- I had concerns about, 3 you know, like I talked about before, of there 4 5 were -- there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates 6 to the audited financial statements, any -- the 7 team would work with the auditors to disclose 8 9 all -- all notes in Highland's possession. 10 And any -- any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these 11 were disclosed in these -- in this section, you 12 13 know, in -- in the notes to the consolidated 14 financial statements as you presented. 15 Ο. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time 16 concerning the accuracy of the section of audit 17 reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts 18 Due from Affiliates? 19 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 You know, as -- as -- I didn't have Α. 22 direct conversations with PricewaterhouseCoopers as I had, you know --23 24 I -- I had the team that managed this. 25 Again, I wasn't anywhere chose to

Page 114

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 being the point person of this audit. And I
- 3 can't recall, you know, when -- you know, I
- 4 don't even know if I was ever the point person
- 5 during my tenure as CFO.
- I don't know if PwC had any concerns
- 7 when they were performing those audit
- 8 procedures. They may have and they may have --
- 9 and it may not have been communicated to me. I
- 10 don't know.
- 11 MR. MORRIS: All right. I move to
- 12 strike.
- Q. And I'm going to ask you to listen
- 14 carefully to my question.
- 15 Did you -- do you recall ever having
- 16 a conversation with anybody at any time
- 17 concerning the accuracy of the reporting
- 18 provided in the audited financial statement on
- 19 the topic of Notes and Other Amounts Due?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 21 A. I don't recall for this, but that
- 22 doesn't mean that it didn't exist.
- Q. Okay. But you have no reason to
- 24 believe, as you sit here right now, that you
- 25 ever discussed with anybody concerns over the

Page 115 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. accuracy of the section of the audit reports 3 called Notes and Other Amounts Due from 4 Affiliates; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to 7 form. Α. I don't recall having any 8 9 conversations. But, again, I mean, this is --10 this is two years ago. I'm just asking for your 11 Q. 12 recollection, sir. 13 Α. Yes. If you don't recall, this will --14 Q. 15 Α. Yeah. 16 (Overspeak) -- if you don't 0. recall --17 18 Yeah, I don't -- I don't recall. Α. 19 Do you know who was responsible for Ο. 20 drafting the audit report? 21 Are you asking the actual Highland Α. 22 employee responsible? I mean, it was 23 Highland's responsibility, so, I mean, that 24 is --Right. 25 Q.

Page 116 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 A. -- Highland's responsibility.
- 3 Highland's responsibility.

1

- 4 Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible
- 5 for drafting this section of the audit report?
- 6 A. I -- I don't know the answer to
- 7 that. Again, there was a team who worked on
- 8 this. And I don't know, you know, whether it
- 9 was the staff or the manager.
- 10 Again, this is where I let the teams
- 11 manage. And, you know, there may be a
- 12 corporate accountant who worked on this. I
- 13 just -- you know, I wasn't part of that process
- 14 to give that person experience. I don't know.
- 15 Q. Do you recall having any
- 16 communications with anybody at any time
- 17 concerning this section of the report?
- 18 A. Yeah, I don't recall.
- 19 Q. Do you recall whether you ever told
- 20 anybody at any time that any aspect of this
- 21 section of the report was inaccurate or
- 22 incomplete?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you have
- 25 any reason to believe that this section of the

Page 117 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any 3 way? And I'm happy to give you a moment 4 to -- to look at it, if you would like. 5 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same. I mean, I would have to look at -- I 8 Α. 9 would have to look at the bill to the note 10 schedule to make sure I know you presented me with materiality, but again, there might be a 11 note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was -- was 12 13 under materiality not disclosed. I don't -- I 14 don't know. I would need more information. 15 Okay. But without more information, Ο. 16 you have no reason to believe anything this section is inaccurate; correct? 17 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 19 I don't. I mean, you know, this was Α. 20 part of the audit. 21 Thank you. Now, you will see if we Ο. 22 could scroll just a little bit more that each of the first five paragraphs concerns 23 24 specifically the four affiliates that we've 25 been discussing and Mr. Dondero.

Page 118 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MR. MORRIS: If we could go the 3 other way, La Asia. We don't need Okada. 4 We're going to have to thread the needle. 5 Okay. Good, perfect. 6 Ο. Do you see those five paragraphs 7 certain the four affiliates and Mr. Dondero as we've been referring to today? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Okay. And do you see at the end of every paragraph it states, quote: A fair value 11 of a partnership's outstanding notes receivable 12 approximates the carrying value of the notes 13 14 receivable? 15 Α. Yes, I see that. Do you have an understanding of what 16 0. that means? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 What is your understanding of that 0. 20 sentence? 21 It is the -- again, the -- the fair Α. 22 value, right, which is -- which is what the --23 what Highland could sell that asset for. 24 statement is comparing the fair value of the 25 notes to the carrying value, so the carrying

Case 21-03005-sqi Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:32:38 Page 169 of 396 Page 119 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21value is the line item that you showed me earlier that is in Notes and Other Amounts Due 3 4 from Affiliates. 5 Okay. Is another way to say this is Ο. 6 that the fair market value of the notes equals 7 the principal amount and -- withdrawn.

Is the fair way to interpret this 8

9 that the fair market value of the notes equals

10 all remaining unpaid principal and interest due

under the notes? 11

12 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.

13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.

14 Α. I don't know the answer to that,

15 because I don't recall where -- where any --

where -- in what line item was the interest 16

17 component reported.

All right. Well, if we look in this 18 0.

19 audit report, you will see in the middle of the

20 first paragraph, for example, it states that as

of December 31st, 2018, total interest and 21

22 principal due on outstanding promissory notes

23 was approximately \$5.3 million.

24 Do you see that?

25 Α. I do.

Page 120 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 0. Is that the carrying value or the 3 fair value? That would be the carrying value --4 Α. 5 Ο. And is the last --6 Α. -- in my opinion. 7 Okay. And it is in your opinion as Ο. the chief financial officer of Highland during 8 9 the period of time that you described; right? 10 It is an educated opinion? I'm reading this at face value. 11 taking that as that is carrying value. 12 13 Ο. Okay. And does the last sentence 14 say that the carrying value is roughly 15 approximate to the fair market value? 16 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. Again, this note to the financial 18 Α. 19 statement is specific to notes and other amounts due from affiliates. 20 21 Ο. Correct. 22 If the interest component is Α. 23 reported elsewhere on the balance sheet, you 24 know, it -- it -- it could be off. Again, I 25 don't have the detail. I don't know, but yes,

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

Page 121

- 2 look, I mean, if you -- I mean, if you are
- 3 saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and
- 4 other amounts due from affiliates, then the
- 5 last statement is saying the fair value
- 6 approximates 5.3 million. That is what that
- 7 last sentence is saying.
- Q. Do you see in the middle of the
- 9 first paragraph -- not in the middle, the next
- 10 to last sentence there is a statement that the
- 11 partnership will not demand payment on amounts
- 12 that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability
- 13 prior to May 31st, 2021.
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not
- 17 to demand payment as described in that
- 18 sentence?

1

- 19 A. I don't know specifically.
- 20 Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not
- 21 to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May
- 22 2021?
- 23 A. Yes.
- O. Why was that decision made?
- 25 A. You know, well, it -- it -- that

Page 122

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 decision was made as to not put HCMFA into a
- 3 position where it didn't have sufficient assets
- 4 to pay for the demand note.
- 5 Q. And at the time the agreement was
- 6 entered into, pursuant to which the partnership
- 7 wouldn't demand payment, did HCMFA have
- 8 insufficient assets to satisfy the notes if a
- 9 demand had been made?
- 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 11 A. I don't have HCMFA's financial
- 12 statements in front of me as of 12/31/18.
- 13 Q. Was there a concern that HCMFA would
- 14 be unable to satisfy its demands under the
- 15 notes if demand was made?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 17 A. Well, there is -- I don't recall --
- 18 I mean, there is something, right, in place to
- 19 basically not demand payment until May 31, 2021
- 20 as detailed here.
- 21 Q. And who made the decision to enter
- 22 into -- who made the decision on behalf of
- 23 Highland not to demand payment until May 31st,
- 24 2021?
- 25 A. I'm trying to remember. I don't

Page 123 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 remember exactly -- I don't remember if it was 2. myself or -- or Jim Dondero who -- who -- there 3 was -- there was something signed, from what I 4 recall, that -- that -- that backed up this 5 6 line item in the -- in the notes I'm -- look, 7 I'm, I'm --We will get to that. 8 Q. 9 Α. You --10 I'm just --Q. You have -- I mean --11 Α. 12 We're going to give that to you. Q. 13 I'm going to give that to you. You -- you -- you have all the 14 Α. 15 documents. I don't have the documents, and that is what makes it so hard. I don't have 16 any documents to prepare for this deposition; 17 right? You have all -- I don't -- I don't -- I 18 don't remember, but, you know, again, it would 19 20 probably be myself or Jim. 21 Do you know if Highland received 0. 22 anything in return for its agreement not to 23 make a demand for two years? I don't -- I don't think it referred 24 Α. 25 anything.

Page 124 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss 0. 3 HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a 4 demand was made at the time this agreement was 5 entered into? 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 I don't -- I don't -- I don't recall Α. having a specific conversation, if I did, or --8 or David Klos. 9 10 Okay. I'm just asking if you recall Ο. any conversations that you had. 11 12 I don't recall. Α. 13 Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject 14 15 of the notes described in this paragraph? I don't remember specifically why 16 Α. 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I -- it would 17 have to be put in the context. 18 19 Do you have any recollection at all 0. as to why Highland ever loaned any money to 20 21 HCMFA? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 24 What do you remember about that? Q. 25 There was a Highland Global Α.

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:38 Page 125 of 396 Page 125 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Allocation Fund, which was a -- a fund managed 2. by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. 3 There was a -- we -- I'm just telling you, 4 5 there was -- there was -- there was a -- a 6 ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there 7 were amounts owed by the advisor in -- in 8 9 relation to that NAV error. 10 There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an 11 open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part 12 13 of that proposal, shareholders who voted for 14 the conversion received compensation from the 15 advisor. All right. Now, the events that 16 0. you're describing occurred in the spring of 17 2019; right? 18 19 These started back -- I think, I Α.

- 20 mean --
- 21 Q. I apologize.
- 22 A. -- that -- I mean, the answer to
- 23 that is no.
- Q. I apologize, the loans that were
- 25 made in connection with the events that you're

Page 126 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. describing occurred in May 2019; right? 3 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. 4 I don't recall specifically what 5 Α. 6 amounts of money were moved when, for what 7 purpose. Okay. Fair enough. Going to the 8 0. 9 next paragraph, do you recall that NexPoint 10 Advisors had obtained a number of loans from Highland, and they rolled up those loans into 11 12 one note in approximately 2017? This is for NexPoint Advisors? 13 Α. 14 Q. Yes. 15 I -- I mean, I don't -- I don't Α. recall the NexPoint Advisors loan being a 16 roll-up loan, but --17 18 0. Do you know why? But, look, if you have documents 19 Α. 20 that show -- I mean, look, I just don't recall. 21 Okay. That is fair. Do you know Ο. 22 why -- do you have any recollection as to why 23 Highland loaned money to NexPoint? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Why did High -- why do you recall --Q.

Page 127 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 what is the reason you recall Highland lending money to NexPoint? 3 I mean, I was just -- I just -- I 4 just recall. I mean, I just -- I don't 5 6 remember why. 7 I understand. And I'm asking you if you recall --8 9 Oh, why -- I thought you say --Α. 10 NexPoint Advisors was launching a fund which is -- I believe that the legal name is NexPoint 11 Capital, Inc. And it -- it provided a 12 co-invest into that fund. 13 14 And, from what I remember, the --15 the -- that NexPoint borrowed money from 16 Highland at the time to make that co-invest. 17 So this was an investment that Ο. 18 NexPoint was required to make; is that right? 19 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 20 Α. I don't know if it was required to 21 make, I don't recall that, or if it just made 22 it. 23 Okay. But your recollection is that Q. 24 NexPoint made an investment and they borrowed

money from Highland to finance the investment.

25

Page 128 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Do I have that right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 How about HCRE? Do you know why Ο. 5 HCRE borrowed money from Highland? 6 Α. I don't remember specifically. 7 Do you remember generally? Ο. Generally, yeah -- I mean, yes. 8 Α. 9 Can you tell me your general Q. 10 recollection as to why Highland loaned money to HCRE? 11 12 For -- for -- for investment Α. 13 purposes. 14 So HCRE made the investment and it 0. 15 obtained a loan, or loans, from Highland in 16 order to finance that investment or those 17 investments. 18 Do I have that right? I mean, I -- you know, generally. 19 Α. Okay. How about Highland Management 20 Q. Services, Inc.? 21 22 Do you have any recollection as to why HCMS borrowed money from Highland? 23 24 Α. Generally. 25 What is your general recollection as Q.

Page 129 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 to why HCMS borrowed money from Highland? 3 Α. For -- for investment purposes. So it is the same thing, HCMS wanted 4 Ο. 5 to make investments and it borrowed money from 6 Highland in order to finance those investments; 7 is that right? 8 Α. I mean, yes, generally. I mean, I 9 can't -- I don't -- on the services, there --10 there are several loans in these schedules. You know, I can't remember why every single one 11 of these were made, but I would say, yeah, I 12 13 mean, generally. 14 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. 15 MR. MORRIS: Let's go to the page with Bates No. 251. La Asia, are you 16 17 there? 18 MS. CANTY: Sorry, John. It went 19 out for a minute. Can you say that again. 20 I don't know what is going on. 21 MR. MORRIS: The page with Bates 22 No. 251, can we go to that. 23 MS. CANTY: Yes, sorry. 24 MR. MORRIS: Keep going to the 25 bottom. Yeah, there you go.

Page 130 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that 3 there is a section there called Subsequent 4 Events? 5 Α. I do. And does this relate to the last 6 0. 7 sentence above the signature line on the management representation letter that we talked 8 9 about earlier where you made the representation 10 that you disclosed subsequent events? I mean, it relates to it, but not in 11 its entirety. 12 13 Q. Okay. 14 MR. MORRIS: If we can scroll up to 15 capture the entirety of this section right 16 here. 17 And what do you mean by that, sir? 0. MR. MORRIS: Yeah, right there. 18 19 Perfect. There are -- there are different 20 Α. subsequent events in -- under GAAP. So there 21 22 are -- and -- and -- so what we see in the 23 notes to the financial statements are one type

24

of subevent.

Page 131

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 subsequent event relating to affiliate loans be
- 3 captured in this section if they were -- if
- 4 they were made after the end of the fiscal year
- 5 and prior to the issuance of the audit report?
- 6 A. Yes, if they were deemed material or
- 7 disclosable.
- 8 Q. Okay. I appreciate that.
- 9 Do you see the next to the last
- 10 entry there? It says, Over the course of 2019
- 11 through the report date, HCMFA issued
- 12 promissory notes to the partnership in the
- aggregate amount of \$7.4 million?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And does that refresh your
- 16 recollection that those are the notes that
- 17 related to the NAV error that you mentioned
- 18 earlier?
- 19 A. I don't -- I don't remember the
- 20 exact. Again, there are -- I mentioned two
- 21 line items; right?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. I mean, it was the GAAP conversion
- 24 process plus the -- the NAV error. I don't
- 25 have the details. I don't recall specifically

Page 132

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 if -- you know, what -- if that 7.4 million was
- 3 solely attributable to the NAV error.
- 4 Q. Okay. But there is no question that
- 5 Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over
- 6 the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory
- 7 notes to the partnership in the aggregate
- 8 amount of \$7.4 million; correct?
- 9 A. In the course of the audit, we would
- 10 have produced all promissory notes in our
- 11 possession, including the ones that are
- 12 detailed here.
- 13 Q. Do you recall that you signed the
- 14 two promissory notes that are referenced in
- 15 that provision?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 17 A. I didn't recall initially but I've
- 18 been reminded.
- 19 Q. Okay. And -- and do you recall that
- 20 those notes are dated May 2nd and May 3rd,
- 21 2019?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So that was just a month before the
- 24 audit was completed; correct?
- 25 A. Yes. I think we had a June 3rd

Page 133 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. date, right, if -- if my memory serves me 3 right. 4 Yes, I will represent to you that Ο. 5 your memory is accurate in that regard. 6 Did anybody ever instruct you as the 7 CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? 8 9 Α. So let me understand. You're saying 10 when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the -- over the course 11 of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued 12 13 promissory notes, this statement? 14 Q. Right. 15 Α. Not that I'm aware. 16 While you were the CFO of Highland, 0. did anybody ever tell you that that sentence 17 18 was wrong? 19 Not that I'm aware. Α. 20 Highland -- withdrawn. Q. 21 HCMFA disclosed these notes in its 22 own audited financial statements; right? 23 Objection, form. MR. RUKAVINA: 24 Α. I assume that these would be

material -- if these are material financial

25

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 statements, yes, they -- they -- they should be
- 3 and they were likely disclosed.
- 4 Q. Now, there is no statement
- 5 concerning the 2019 notes about the forbearance
- 6 that we looked at in the affiliated note
- 7 section of the report; right?
- 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 9 Q. I'll withdraw. That was bad.
- 10 Do you recall when we were looking
- 11 at the paragraph concerning HCMFA earlier it
- 12 had that disclosure about the agreement whereby
- 13 Highland wouldn't ask for demand on the -- on
- 14 the HCMFA notes?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. That forbearance disclosure is not
- 17 made with respect to the 2019 notes; right?
- 18 A. Not -- look, not that I can recall,
- 19 unless -- unless it was done at a subsequent
- 20 day.
- 21 Q. Right. And it is not in the
- 22 subsequent event section that we're looking at
- 23 right now where the 2019 notes are described;
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Right. But this is through

```
Page 135
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2.
     June 3rd. It could have been done on June 4th.
 3
     I don't -- I don't -- I don't recall.
 4
          0.
                Okay.
 5
                MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the
 6
          screen the HCMFA audit report. And while
 7
          we're --
 8
                MS. DANDENEAU: What exhibit is
          this?
 9
10
                MR. MORRIS: La Asia, what number is
11
          that?
12
                MS. CANTY:
                            45.
13
                MR. MORRIS: So this will be marked
14
          as Exhibit 45.
15
                (Exhibit 45 marked.)
16
                MS. CANTY: Yeah, and I will put it
17
          in the chat.
18
                MS. DANDENEAU: Thank you.
19
                Okay. All right. Do you see that
          Q.
20
     this is the consolidated financial statements
     for HCMFA for the period ending 12/31/18?
21
22
          Α.
                Yes.
23
                As the treasurer of HCMFA at the
          0.
24
     time, did you have to sign a management
25
     representation letter similar to the one that
```

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

Page 136

- we looked at earlier for Highland?
- 3 A. I would imagine I would have been
- 4 asked to. I don't recall if I did.
- 5 Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an
- 6 auditor to sign a management representation
- 7 letter and then not doing it?
- 8 A. No.

1

- 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down
- 10 again. I just want to see the date of the
- document.
- 12 A. I mean, let me -- you know, there
- 13 are different versions to management
- 14 representation letters I will qualify.
- 15 Yes, there are certain -- from time
- 16 to time auditors can make representations
- 17 that -- in the rep letter that is being
- 18 proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or
- 19 things like that and they've asked for
- 20 signature.
- In that context, yes. I mean, you
- 22 know -- I mean, if I have been asked to sign
- 23 and make those representations and those
- 24 representations are invalid, yes, I would not,
- 25 I mean, I -- I wouldn't sign that.

Page 137 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 0. Okay. PricewaterhouseCoopers served as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 Do you see that this audit report is Ο. signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 6 7 Highland audit report? Α. That is correct. 8 9 And did the process of -- of Q. 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did 11 its audit report at this time? 12 13 Α. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it --15 it -- it is -- as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. is different, so it is under the same control 17 environment and team. 18 19 Ο. Okay. I appreciate that. So the 20 same control environment and team participated in the preparation of the audit for Highland 21 22 and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct? 23 Α. Yes.

the report. I don't have the Bates number.

MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 17 of

24

25

Page 138 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 O. Okav. Do you see that just like 3 Highland's audited financial report, HCMFA's 4 audited financial report also has a section related to subsequent events? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 And am I reading this correctly that O. just as Highland had done, HCMFA disclosed in 8 9 its audited financial report a subsequent event 10 that related to the issuance of promissory notes to Highland in the aggregate amount of 11 12 \$7.4 million in 2019? 13 Α. That is what I see in the report. 14 Q. And you were the treasurer of HCMFA 15 at the time; right? 16 Yes, to the best of my knowledge. Α. And did anybody ever tell you prior 17 Ο. to the time of the issuance of this audit 18 19 report that that sentence relating to HCMFA's 20 2019 notes was inaccurate or wrong in any way? 21 Α. Not that I recall. 22 As you sit here right now, has Ο. anybody ever told you that that sentence is 23 24 inaccurate or wrong in any way?

Not that I recall.

25

Α.

Page 139 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21I apologize if I asked you this 0. already, but has anybody ever told you at any 3 time that you are not authorized to sign the 4 promissory notes that are the subject of the 5 6 sentence we're looking at? 7 Not that I recall. Α. Did anybody ever tell you at any 8 Ο. 9 time that you had made a mistake when you 10 signed the promissory notes that are the subject of this sentence? 11 12 Say that again. Did anyone ever say Α. 13 that I made a mistake? 14 Q. Let me ask the question again. 15 Did anybody ever tell you at any time that you made a mistake when you signed 16 the two promissory notes in Highland's favor on 17 behalf of HCMFA in 2019? 18 19 Not that I recall. Α. 20 MR. MORRIS: Let's just look at the 21 promissory notes quickly. Can we please 22 put up Document Number 1, and so this is in 23 the pile that y'all have. We'll just go for a few more minutes and we can take our 24 25 lunch break.

Page 140 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 All right. So I don't know if you Q. have seen this before, sir. Do you see that 3 this is a complaint against HCMFA? 4 5 Yes, I am looking at it on the Α. 6 screen. 7 Okay. And have you ever seen this Ο. document before? 8 9 I went through some of these Α. 10 documents with my counsel here yesterday. 11 MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go 12 to Exhibit 1 of this document. 13 0. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a 14 \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? 15 Α. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. 16 17 And this is a demand note, right, if 0. you look at Paragraph 2? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And this is a note where the maker Q. is HCMFA, and Highland is the payee; right? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll 24 down, can we just see Mr. Waterhouse's 25 signature.

Page 141 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 0. Is that your signature, sir? 3 Yes, it is. Α. And did you sign this document on or 4 0. around May 2nd, 2019? 5 I don't recall specifically signing 6 Α. 7 this, but this is my signature. Okay. And do you recall that 8 Ο. 9 Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at 10 or around the time you signed this document? I don't recall specifically. 11 would want to, as I sit here today, go back and 12 13 confirm that, but again, presumably that --14 that -- that did happen. 15 You wouldn't have signed this 0. document if you didn't believe that HCMFA 16 either received or was going to receive 17 \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? 18 I mean, it -- if -- if there 19 Α. 20 wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you 21 know, I would have no reason to -- to sign a 22 note. 23 And -- and Highland wouldn't have Ο. 24 given this note to PricewaterhouseCoopers if --25 withdrawn.

Page 142 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 HCMFA wouldn't have given this note to PricewaterhouseCoopers if it hadn't received 3 the principal value of -- of the note in the 4 form of a loan; correct? 5 6 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 7 conclusion, speculation and form. Again, we -- what we provided to PwC 8 Α. 9 were, as part of the audit, any promissory 10 notes executed and outstanding. You know, as a part of the audit, they, you know, they -- they 11 have copies of all the bank statements, 12 13 things -- things of that sort. 14 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to 15 Exhibit 2. 16 (Exhibit 2 marked.) Do you see that this is a promissory 17 Ο. note dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of 18 \$5 million? 19 20 Α. Yes. Do you believe this is also a demand 21 Q. 22 note if you look at Paragraph 2? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And do you see that HCMFA is the Ο. 25 maker, and Highland is the payee?

Page 143 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes. And if we go to the bottom, can we 3 0. 4 just confirm that that is your signature? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. And together these notes are the 7 notes that are referred to both in Highland and HCMFA's audited financial reports in the 8 9 subsequent event sections; correct? 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Α. They -- they -- they totaled 11 \$7.4 million, so presumably, yes. 12 13 Ο. Okay. And you were authorized to 14 sign these two notes; correct? 15 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 16 conclusion. 17 Yeah. I mean, I'm -- I was the Α. officer of -- of HCMFA. You know, I -- I'm not 18 19 the legal expert on -- on what that -- what that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean, 20 21 that is my signature on the notes. 22 And you believed you were authorized Ο. to sign the notes; is that fair? 23 24 I signed a lot of documents in my Α. 25 capacity, just because it is operational in

Page 144 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. So, you know, to me this was just 3 another document, to be perfectly honest. Sir, would you have signed 4 Ο. promissory notes with the principal amount of 5 \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were 6 7 authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 8 9 Q. Are you frozen? 10 I'm just -- you know, it is --Α. you know, again, I typically don't sign 11 promissory notes, and I don't recall why I 12 13 signed these, but -- you know, but I did. 14 Ο. All right. So listen carefully to 15 my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of 16 \$7.4 million without believing that you were 17 authorized to do so? 18 19 I mean, I'm -- I'm putting my Α. signature on there, so no. 20 21 Okay. And would you have signed two Q. 22 promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay 23 Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's 24 prior knowledge and approval?

MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the

25

Page 145 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. You know, from -- from what I recall 3 Α. 4 around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. -- Mr. Dondero saying to -- to 5 make this a loan. 6 7 So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as 8 9 related to TerreStar which was a -- a -- I 10 think it was a year and a half process. don't know, it was a multi-month process, very 11 12 laborious, very difficult. 13 When we got to the end, I had a 14 conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you 15 know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money 16 from Highland. 17 18 Q. And so he told you to get the money from Highland; is that right? 19 That is what I recall -- in my 20 Α. conversation with him, that is -- that is what 21 22 I can recall. 23 Do you know who drafted these notes? Q. 24 I don't. Α. Did you ask somebody to draft the 25 Q.

Page 146 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. notes? 3 I didn't ask -- I don't specifically Α. 4 ask people to draft notes really. I mean, 5 again, you know, the legal group at Highland is 6 responsible and has always been responsible for 7 drafting promissory notes. So based on your -- based on the 8 Ο. 9 practice, you believe that somebody from the 10 Highland's legal department would have drafted these notes. Do I have that right? 11 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 John, I also asked you for the Word versions of these notes so we could look at 14 15 the properties, and you have not provided 16 them. Are you intending to? 17 MR. MORRIS: No. 18 Q. Can you answer my question, sir? 19 Again, I --Α. 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Do you want him to 21 repeat it? 22 Yeah, why don't you repeat it? Α. 23 Sure. Mr. Waterhouse, based on the Q. 24 practice that you have described in your 25 understanding, do you believe that these notes

Page 147 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 would have been drafted by somebody in the legal department? 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 4 5 form. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. And do you know who would have instructed -- do you have any knowledge as 8 9 to who would have instructed the legal department to draft these notes? 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 11 12 form. 13 Α. It was whoever was working -- I 14 mean, it was likely someone on the team. I 15 mean, I don't remember exactly on every note or every document, but, again, a lot of these 16 things of this nature -- they're operational in 17 nature -- were handled by the team. 18 The team knows to -- I mean, we 19 20 don't draft documents. We're not lawyers. 21 We're not attorneys. It is not what I do or 22 accountants do. 23 So they are always instructed to go 24 and -- and go to the legal team to get documents like this drafted. Also, when you go 25

Page 148

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 to the legal team, the -- you know, we always
- 3 loop in compliance. And compliance -- when you
- 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of
- 5 legal team. They're made aware of -- of -- of
- 6 these types of transactions.
- 7 Q. And do you believe that you had
- 8 the -- withdrawn.
- 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero --
- 10 (inaudible) -- did you see those?
- 11 A. Sorry.
- 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear
- the end of that question.
- Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that
- 15 you signed these two notes?
- 16 A. I don't recall ever -- no, I don't
- 17 recall having a conversation with him.
- 18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes
- 19 with him at any time?
- 20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what
- 21 I described earlier. And that is the only time
- 22 I recall ever discussing this.
- Q. Okay. But the corporate accounting
- 24 group had a copy of this -- of these two notes.
- 25 And pursuant to the audit process, the

Page 149 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. corporate accounting group gave the two notes 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 Α. Yes. I mean, that is -- yeah, I 7 mean, they -- unless the legal team can also retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 8 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they had documents as part of audits, turn that over 12 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. All right. So you didn't -- you 16 Ο. didn't draft this note; right? 17 I -- I -- I did not. 18 Α. 19 But somebody at Highland did; is Ο. 20 that fair? 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 22 form. I don't know. I mean, we can go to 23 Α. 24 the legal team. I don't -- I'm not sitting 25 behind someone in legal. Maybe they went to

Page 150 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 outside counsel. I have no idea. Did you have any reason to believe 3 0. you weren't authorized to sign this note, 4 either of these two notes? 5 6 Α. I think I have already answered that 7 question. Okay. You didn't give these notes 8 Ο. 9 to PricewaterhouseCoopers; correct? 10 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 11 Α. I don't recall giving these to PricewaterhouseCoopers. 12 13 0. And in the practice that you have 14 described, somebody in the corporate accounting group would have given these two notes to 15 16 PricewaterhouseCoopers; correct? 17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I think I've answered that. 18 Α. I said 19 either the corporate accounting team or maybe 20 the legal team. 21 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Why don't we 22 take our lunch break here. 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 24 record at 1:04 p.m. 25 (Recess taken 1:04 p.m. to 1:49 p.m.)

```
Page 151
 1
                   WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
 2
 3
          record at 1:49 p.m.
                Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with
 4
     anybody during the break about the substance of
 5
     this deposition?
 6
 7
                 I spoke to -- to Deb and Michelle.
                About the substance of the
 8
          Q.
     deposition?
 9
10
          Α.
                Yes.
11
                Can you tell me what you talked
          Q.
12
     about?
13
                MS. DANDENEAU:
                                 No. We object on
14
          the basis of privilege.
15
                Okay. You are going to follow your
          Q.
     counsel's objection here?
16
17
          Α.
                Yes.
18
          Q.
                Okay.
19
                 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the
20
          screen Exhibit 35.
21
                 (Exhibit 35 marked.)
22
                Are you able to see that document,
          Ο.
     sir?
23
24
          Α.
                Yes.
25
                Have you ever seen an incumbency
          Q.
```

Page 152 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 certificate before? 3 Α. I have. Do you have a general understanding 4 Ο. of what an incumbency certificate is? 5 6 Α. I have a general understanding. 7 What is your general understanding? 0. You know, those -- my general 8 Α. 9 understanding is that the incumbency 10 certificate basically lists folks that can -are like authorized signers. 11 12 Okay. And do you see that this is Q. 13 an incumbency certificate for Highland Capital 14 Management Fund Advisors, L.P.? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. And if we could scroll down Ο. just a little bit, do you see that it's dated 17 effective as of April 11th, 2019? 18 19 Α. Yes, I see that. 20 Q. Okay. And is that your signature in 21 the middle of the signature block? 22 Α. Yes, it is. And by signing it, did you accept 23 Q. 24 appointment as the treasurer of HCMFA effective 25 as of April 11th, 2019?

Page 153 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Again, I'm not the legal -- I don't 3 know if this makes me the treasurer or the 4 appointment. I don't know -- I don't know 5 that, so I don't -- I don't know if that 6 document -- again, I think -- again, I'm not 7 the legal expert. I think isn't there -aren't there other legal documents that detail 8 9 who the officers are that could be incorporated 10 or things like that? Again, I don't want to play armchair attorney here. 11 12 I'm not asking you for a legal 0. 13 conclusion. I'm asking you for your knowledge 14 and understanding. When you signed this 15 document, did you understand that you were 16 accepting an appointment as the treasurer of 17 HCMFA? 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. 20 Α. Again, I don't think this -- that wasn't my understanding. I don't think this 21 22 makes -- this document makes me the treasurer. 23 What do you think this document --0. 24 why did you sign this document? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to

```
Page 154
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          form.
 3
                MR. MORRIS: You're objecting to the
 4
          form of the question when I asked him why
 5
          did you sign the document? What is the
          basis for the objection?
 6
 7
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Because, John, I
          think that it does call for a legal
 8
 9
          conclusion other than -- with him saying
10
          because somebody told me to sign this
11
          document. But if you want to go there,
12
          that is fine.
13
                MR. MORRIS: Okay.
                MS. DANDENEAU: I don't think --
14
15
          he's already said he's not a lawyer.
16
                MR. MORRIS: I'll allow the witness
17
          to answer this question.
18
                Why did you sign this document, sir?
          Q.
19
                I mean, our -- our legal group would
          Α.
20
     bring by these incumbency certificates from
21
     time to time.
                    I have no idea why they're being
22
     updated, and I was asked to sign.
23
                Did you ask anybody, what is this
          Q.
24
     document?
25
          Α.
                No.
```

Page 155 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Did anybody tell you why they needed Ο. 3 you to sign the document? Not that I can recall. 4 Α. 5 O. You testified earlier that you 6 understood that you served as the acting 7 treasurer for HCMFA; correct? Α. 8 Yes. 9 How did you become the acting Q. 10 treasurer of HCMFA? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 I don't -- I don't know the legal --Α. 13 I don't know the legal mechanic of how I became 14 the acting treasurer. 15 I'm not asking for the legal Q. mechanic. I'm asking you as the person who 16 17 is --18 MS. DANDENEAU: John, you said --19 MR. MORRIS: Stop. 20 MS. DANDENEAU: -- how did you 21 become the treasurer. That is --22 MR. MORRIS: Please stop. That is a legal 23 MS. DANDENEAU: 24 question. 25 MR. MORRIS: I am not asking any

```
Page 156
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking
          for this witness' understanding as to how
 3
 4
          he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA.
 5
          If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't
 6
          know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and
 7
          I really object to it.
                Sir, I'm asking you a very simple
 8
          0.
 9
     question.
10
                MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative.
                You testified -- you testified that
11
          Q.
     you became the acting treasurer of HCM --
12
13
     HCMFA; correct?
14
          Α.
                Yes.
15
          Q.
                How did that happen?
16
                                 Again, object to
                MS. DANDENEAU:
17
          form.
18
                MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this
19
          in a courtroom. Good God.
20
          Q.
                Go ahead, sir.
21
                I don't know the exact process of
          Α.
22
     how that happened.
23
                Do you have any idea whether signing
          Q.
24
     this document was part of the process?
25
                MR. MORRIS: You know what --
```

Page 157 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: -- withdrawn. You guys 3 4 want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. 5 6 MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a 7 legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't 8 9 know, and you persist. 10 MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these 11 12 questions --13 MR. MORRIS: Did anyone -- please 14 stop talking. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: -- at another point -- no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, 16 too; right? If you're going to make these 17 accusations as if we're trying to stonewall 18 19 you, this is not the witness to ask that 20 question. 21 MR. MORRIS: I can't -- I can't wait -- I can't wait to do this in a 22 23 courtroom. I will just leave it at that. 24 MS. DANDENEAU: That's right, I'm 25 sure you can't.

Page 158 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. Did anyone ever tell you, sir, that even though you were the acting treasurer of 3 HCMFA, that you were not authorized to sign the 4 5 two promissory notes that we looked at before lunch? 6 7 I'm not sure I understand the Α. I wasn't -- I mean, I'm -- I'm the 8 question. 9 current acting treasurer. 10 Did anybody ever tell you at any time that even though you were the acting 11 treasurer of HCMFA, that you were not 12 13 authorized to sign the two promissory notes 14 that we looked at before lunch? 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Not that I recall. Α. Did anybody ever tell you at any 17 Ο. time that you were not authorized to sign the 18 19 two promissory notes that we looked at before lunch? 20 21 Not that I recall. Α. 22 Did anybody ever tell you at any Q. time that you should not have signed the two 23 24 promissory notes that we looked at before 25 lunch?

Page 159 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Not that I recall. 3 Did you ever tell anybody at any 0. 4 time that you weren't authorized to sign the 5 two promissory notes that we looked at before lunch? 6 7 Not that I recall. Α. Did you ever tell anybody at any 8 Q. 9 time that you made a mistake when you signed 10 the two promissory notes that we looked at before lunch? 11 12 Not that I recall. Α. 13 As you sit here right now, do you Q. 14 have any reason to believe that you were not 15 authorized to sign the two documents that we looked at before lunch? 16 17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. If -- if this is the -- the valid 18 Α. 19 incumbency certificate, I mean, this does --20 this does detail who the signers are. 21 Ο. Okay. And looking at that document, 22 does that give you comfort that you were authorized to sign the two promissory notes 23 24 that we looked at before lunch? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the

Page 160 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 form. 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. 4 Α. Yes. 5 As of October 20th -- withdrawn. Ο. 6 I'm trying to take your mind back to 7 a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds 8 9 were making inquiries about obligations that 10 were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 Α. I don't -- I don't recall. 14 Q. As of October 2020, you had no 15 reason to believe you weren't authorized to 16 sign the two promissory notes that we just looked at; correct? 17 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to 20 form. 21 I didn't think about it in October Α. 22 of 2020, but I mean --23 Did you have any reason to believe Q. 24 at that time that you weren't authorized to 25 sign the two notes that we just looked at?

Page 161 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Not that I'm aware, no. Did you have any reason to believe a 3 Q. year ago that you made a mistake when you 4 5 signed those two notes? 6 Α. Not that I'm aware. 7 A year ago you believed that HCMFA Q. owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that 8 9 were due under those two notes; correct? 10 They're -- they're promissory notes Α. that were -- as you presented, that were --11 that were executed. Whether they're valid or 12 13 if there's other reasons, I didn't -- I don't 14 know. 15 I'm not asking you whether they're 0. valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of 16 mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA 17 18 was -- was obligated to pay the unpaid 19 principal amount under the two notes that you 20 signed; correct? 21 Yeah, I'm -- I'm -- yes. Α. 22 Q. Thank you. Are you aware -- you're aware that -- that in 2017, NexPoint issued a 23 24 note in favor of Highland in the approximate 25 amount of \$30 million; correct?

Page 162 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. I'm -- I'm generally aware. 3 Okay. And are you generally aware 0. that from time to time, after the note was 4 issued by NexPoint, that moneys were applied to 5 6 principal and interest that were due under the 7 NexPoint note? Α. Yes, I'm generally aware. 8 9 Okay. And did anybody ever tell you Q. 10 that the payments that were made against the NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. And is it the one payment that we 14 talked about earlier today? 15 Α. We talked about a lot of things 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 17 Okay. Who told you that any payment Ο. made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 19 mistake? 20 Α. D.C. Sauter. 21 When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? Q. I don't -- I don't remember 22 Α. specifically. 23 24 Do you remember what payments --Ο.

Sometime -- sometime this year.

25

Α.

Page 163 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Sometime in 2021? 0. Yes. 3 Α. Do you remember what payment he was 4 0. referring to? 5 6 Α. It was the -- the payment made in 7 January of 2021 or -- yeah, January of -- of this -- January of 2021. 8 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 at any time that any payment that was made against principal --11 12 And -- and -- and -- hold on, and it Α. may have been other -- again, it may have been 13 that payment or -- or there may have been what 14 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 payments as well. 17 Can you -- can you give me any specificity -- withdrawn. 18 19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about -about errors in relation to payments made 21 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 NexPoint note? 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just --25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Hold on.

```
Page 164
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          I'm going to object here, and I'm going to
 3
          instruct the witness not to answer
          depending on the discussion that you had --
 4
 5
          Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for
 6
          NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C.
 7
          Sauter is in-house counsel.
                So if you and Mr. Sauter were having
 8
 9
          a factual discussion and him preparing his
10
          affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and
          answer that. But if you were having a
11
12
          discussion as to our legal strategy in this
13
          lawsuit, or anything having to do with
          that, then do not answer that.
14
15
                And if you need to talk to either
16
          your counsel or me about that, then we need
17
          to have that discussion now.
                Okay. Yeah, I don't -- I don't
18
          Α.
19
     really know how to make that distinction, so
     maybe I need to talk to counsel before I
20
21
     answer, or if I can answer.
22
                Let me just ask you this question:
          0.
     Did -- did you have any conversation with
23
24
     Mr. Sauter about any payment of principal and
25
     interest prior to the time that you left
```

Page 165 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 you left Highland's employment? I don't -- I don't recall if -- I 4 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 5 I don't remember if it was before or after I 6 was let go from Highland. 7 Okay. So -- so nobody told you 8 Ο. 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 made in the application of payments against principal and interest due on the NexPoint 11 12 note. Do I have that right? 13 Α. Yeah, I don't -- I don't recall this being in 2020. 14 15 Q. Okay. And it didn't happen in 2019; 16 correct? 17 I don't recall that happened. Α. 18 And it didn't happen in 2018; Q. 19 correct? 20 Α. I don't -- I don't recall that 21 happening. 22 And it didn't happen in 2017; Q. 23 correct? 24 Α. I don't recall. 25 But -- but you believe the Q.

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:38 Page 266 of 398 Page 166 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. conversation took place in 2021. You just 3 don't remember if it was before or after you 4 left Highland's employment. Do I have that 5 right? 6 Α. It was sometime this year. Ι 7 don't -- I don't remember. Okay. Did you report this 8 0. 9 conversation to Mr. Seery at any point? 10 Α. I don't believe so. 11 Did you report this conversation to Q. 12 anybody at DSI at any time? 13 Α. I don't recall. 14 Q. Do you have -- you don't have a 15 recollection of ever doing that; correct? Yeah, that's right. I don't recall 16 Α. 17 doing that.

- Do you recall telling anybody at 18 0.
- 19 Pachulski Stang about the conversation you
- recall with Mr. Sauter? 20
- 21 No, I don't -- I don't recall. Α.
- 22 Did you tell any of the independent O.
- 23 board members about your conversation with
- 24 Mr. Sauter?
- 25 I don't recall. Α.

Page 167 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Did you tell any of the employees at Ο. 3 Highland before you left Highland's employment 4 about this call that you had with Mr. Sauter? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. No, I don't -- no, I don't recall. 6 Α. 7 NexPoint -- to the best of your 0. knowledge, did NexPoint ever file a proof of 8 9 claim against Highland to try to recover moneys 10 that were mistakenly paid against the principal and interest due under the note? 11 12 Hold on. You are saying did Α. Okay. 13 NexPoint Advisors file a proof of claim to 14 Highland for errors related to payments under 15 the NexPoint note to Highland? 16 Q. Correct. 17 I'm -- I'm -- I'm not -- I'm not Α. 18 aware. 19 0. Are you aware --20 I'm not the legal person here, I Α. 21 don't know. 22 I'm just asking for your knowledge, Ο. 23 sir. 24 Α. Yeah, I don't know. I'm not aware. 25 Are you aware of any claim of any Q.

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:38 Page 268 of 398 Page 168 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 2. 3 recover the amounts that it contends were -- or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the NexPoint note? 6 7 I'm not aware. Α. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 8 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 Α. Yes.

- Q. And do you participate in the
- 14 renewal process each year?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. What role do you play in the renewal
- 17 process?
- 18 A. I'm -- I'm asked by the retail board
- 19 to walk-through the advisors financials.
- Q. And do you do that in the context of
- 21 a board meeting?
- 22 A. Yes, it is -- yes, it is typically
- 23 done in a board meeting.
- Q. And do you recall the time --
- 25 does -- does the renewal process happen around

Page 169

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 the same time each year?
- 3 A. Yes, it is -- it is around the same
- 4 time every year.
- 5 Q. And what -- what time period of the
- 6 year does the renewal process occur?
- 7 A. Approximately the September
- 8 timeframe.
- 9 Q. During that process, in your
- 10 experience, does the board typically conduct
- its own diligence and ask for information?
- 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of -- I
- 13 mean, just -- I mean, lots of information as a
- 14 part of that -- that -- as part of that board
- 15 meeting and that process.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall that the
- 17 process in 2020 spilled into October?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And as part of the process in
- 20 2020, the retail board asked -- asked what are
- 21 referred to as 15(c) questions; right?
- 22 A. I guess I don't want to be -- they
- 23 asked 15(c) -- are you saying they asked 15(c)
- 24 questions and this is why it went into October
- 25 or --

```
Page 170
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2
          0.
                No, I apologize.
 3
                Do you have an understanding of
 4
     what -- of what 15(c) refers to in the context
 5
     of the annual renewal process?
 6
          Α.
                Yes, generally.
 7
                All right. What is your general
          0.
     understanding of the term "15(c)" in the
 8
 9
     context of the annual renewal process?
10
                I -- I think 15(c) is the section
          Α.
     that -- that -- you know, that -- that the
11
     board has to evaluate every year, the retail
12
13
     board.
             They have to, you know, go through,
14
     evaluate, and go through that approval process
15
     on a yearly basis.
16
          Q.
                Okay.
17
                MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the
          screen Exhibit 36, please.
18
                 (Exhibit 36 marked.)
19
20
                MR. MORRIS: I quess let's just
21
          start at the bottom so Mr. Waterhouse can
22
          see what is here.
23
                You see this begins with an email
          Q.
24
     from Blank Rome to a number of people.
25
                MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll
```

Page 171 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 up -- keep going just a little bit. You will see that there is an email 3 0. 4 from Lauren Thedford to Thomas Surgent and 5 others where she reports that she was attaching 6 and reproducing below additional 15(c) 7 follow-up questions from the board. Do you see that? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 And do you see Question No. 2 asks 0. whether there are any material outstanding 11 amounts currently payable or due in the future 12 13 (e.g., notes) to HCMLP by HCMFA or NexPoint 14 Advisors or any other affiliate that provides 15 services to the funds? 16 Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes. And -- and did you -- do you recall 18 Q. that in -- in October of 2020 the retail boards 19 20 were asking for that information? 21 I don't recall it, but there --Α. 22 they're obviously asking in this email. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll up a 25 little bit, please.

Page 172 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. And then do you see that 3 Ms. Thedford includes you on the email string 4 on Tuesday, October 6th, at 5:52? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. And she asks you and Dave Klos and 7 Kristin Hendrix for advice on that particular Request No. 2 that I have just read; right? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Okay. Can you tell me who Ο. Ms. Thedford is? 11 12 Α. She was an attorney that was in the 13 legal group. At Highland Capital Management, 14 Q. 15 L.P.? I'm -- I'm -- I'm -- I don't 16 Α. remember if she was an employee of Highland or 17 any of the advisors. 18 19 Okay. Do you know if she served as 0. 20 the corporate secretary for both HCMFA and 21 NexPoint? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And -- okay. 24 Do you know whether Ms. Thedford 25 held any positions in relation to the retail

Page 173 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21funds as we defined that term? 3 Α. Yes. What is your understanding of the 0. positions that Ms. Thedford held at the retail 5 funds? 6 7 I -- I recall her being an officer. I don't recall her title. Is she still an officer at 9 Q. Okay. 10 any of the retail funds today? 11 Α. No. 12 Do you know when she ceased to be an O. officer of the retail funds? 13 14 Α. Approximately. 15 Q. And when did she approximately cease to be an officer of the retail funds? 16 17 It was in -- it was in early of Α. 18 2021. 19 Okay. Do you know when she became Ο. an officer of the retail funds? 20 21 I don't recall. Α. 22 To the best of your recollection, Q. was she an officer of the retail funds in 23 24 October of 2020? 25 I believe so. Α.

Page 174 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 O. Okay. Do you know what title she 3 held in her capacity as an officer, if any? 4 I told you I don't remember. Α. Okay. So she sends this email to 5 0. 6 you at 5:52 p.m. on October 6th. 7 And if we can scroll up to the response, you responded a minute later with a 8 9 one-word answer: Yes. 10 Do you see that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And -- and yes is -- yes was in Q. 13 response to the retail board's Question No. 2, 14 right, whether there are any material 15 outstanding amounts currently payable or due in the future? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll up to 19 see what happened next. 20 So Ms. Thedford writes back to you a 0. 21 few minutes later and she asks whether you 22 could provide the amounts. 23 Do you see that? 24 Α. Yes. 25 And then you respond further and you Q.

Page 175 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21refer her to the balance sheet that was 3 provided to the board as part of the 15(c) 4 materials. 5 Do you see that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And -- and did the advisors provide Ο. to the board certain balance sheets in 2020 in 8 connection with the 15(c) review? 9 10 Α. Yes, they did. 11 Okay. And were the amounts that Q. were outstanding or that were to be due in the 12 future by the advisors to Highland included in 13 the liability section of the balance sheet that 14 15 was given to the retail board? Notes would be reflected as 16 Α. Yes. 17 liabilities. 18 Ο. Okay. And --19 If I'm understanding your question Α. 20 correctly. 21 You are. And -- and -- and those Ο. 22 liabilities you -- you were -- you believed were responsive to the retail board's question; 23 24 correct? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 176 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. Okay. And then if we can scroll up, you see Ms. Thedford responds to you 3 nine minutes later with a draft response. 4 5 Do you see that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And she says that she is taking from 0. the 6/30 financials certain information about 8 9 amounts that were due to HCMLP and affiliates 10 as of June 30th, 2020. 11 Do you see that? 12 I do. Α. Okay. And did you believe, as the 13 Q. 14 treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO 15 of Highland, that the information that Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials 16 was accurate and responsive in relation to the 17 retail fund board's question? 18 19 I just want to make sure I Α. 20 understand the question. 21 Are you saying that the financial 22 information provided to the retail board as part of the 15(c) process, which included 23 24 financial statements as of June 30th of 2021, 25 did I feel like those were responsive to their

```
Page 177
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2.
     questions?
 3
          Q.
                Yes.
 4
          Α.
                Yes.
 5
                Thank you.
          Q.
 6
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, it is not
 7
          in the chat yet. Can you just make sure it
          gets put in there.
 8
 9
                MR. MORRIS: Sure.
10
                MS. CANTY: I put it in there.
          think maybe I just sent it directly, so let
11
12
          me make sure it says to everyone. But I
13
          did put it in there. I will try again.
14
                MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.
15
                MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.
16
                MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?
17
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the --
18
          this -- yeah, 36 is not in the chat.
19
                MR. MORRIS: Okay. We'll get it.
20
                MS. DANDENEAU: I think that
21
          Ms. Canty just sent it to me originally.
22
          Sorry.
23
                MR. MORRIS: Okay. We will get it
24
          there.
25
                MS. CANTY: Okay. It is there now
```

Page 178 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 for everyone. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Got it. Thank 4 you. 5 Do you recall if the proposed 0. 6 response that Ms. Thedford crafted was 7 delivered to the retail board with the -- with the yellow dates having been completed? 8 9 Α. I don't know. 10 MR. MORRIS: Davor, I'm going to ask 11 that the advisors and -- the advisors of 12 both HCMFA and NexPoint produce to me any 13 report that was given to the retail board 14 concerning the promissory notes at issue, 15 including the obligations under the notes. 16 Ο. Do you know -- do you know if ultimately NexPoint informed the retail board 17 in response to its question that NexPoint owed 18 19 Highland approximately 23 or \$24 million? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the 21 form. 22 Sorry, are you asking, did NexPoint Α. 23 tell the retail board that it owed Highland? 24 Let me ask a better question, Ο. 25 Mr. Waterhouse.

Page 179 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21Did -- do you know if anybody ever 3 answered the retail board's question that was 4 Number 2? 5 Α. I don't -- I can't say for sure. 6 Ο. Okay. Do you recall -- I think you 7 testified earlier that you walked through the advisors' financials with the retail board; 8 9 correct? 10 Yes. Α. 11 And as part of that process, did you Q. 12 disclose to the retail board the obligations 13 that NexPoint and HCMFA had to Highland under 14 promissory notes? 15 Α. The retail board, as I stated earlier, receives financial information, 16 balance sheet, income statement information 17 from the advisors. That information is 18 provided to the retail board in connection with 19 the 15(c) process. 20 21 So any notes between the advisors 22 and the Highland would be -- anything would be detailed in those financial statements. 23 24 Do you recall in 2020 ever speaking Ο. 25 with the retail board about the advisors'

Page 180 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 obligations under the notes to Highland? 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Object to the 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: 5 form. 6 Α. I don't recall specifically. 7 Do you have any general recollection 0. of discussing with the retail board the 8 9 advisors' obligations to Highland under the 10 notes that they issued? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. 14 Α. I just recall generally just -- it 15 is just -- I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their 16 questions and walk them through. 17 I don't recall what they asked. 18 Ι don't recall where the discussion went. 19 20 don't recall anything of that nature. 21 Okay. Do you know if anybody on Ο. 22 behalf of HCMF -- HCMFA ever told the retail 23 board that HCMFA had no obligations under the 24 two 2019 notes that you signed? Withdrawn. 25 Do you know whether anybody on

Page 181

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards
- 3 that you weren't authorized to sign either of
- 4 the two 2019 notes?
- 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 6 A. I'm not aware.
- 7 Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf
- 8 of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that
- 9 your execution of the two 2019 notes was a
- 10 mistake?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 12 A. I'm not aware.
- 13 Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf
- of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that
- 15 HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected
- 16 in the two notes that you signed in 2019?
- 17 A. I'm not aware.
- 18 Q. Do you know whether anybody ever
- 19 told the retail boards -- withdrawn.
- 20 Do you know whether anybody ever
- 21 told the retail boards that Highland has
- 22 commenced a lawsuit to recover on the two notes
- 23 that you signed in 2019?
- 24 A. I'm not aware.
- 25 Q. Are you aware of anybody informing

Page 182

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 the retail boards that Highland has sued to
- 3 recover on the NexPoint note?
- 4 A. I'm not aware.
- 5 Q. Do you know whether anybody ever
- 6 told the retail board that Highland had
- 7 declared a default with respect to the two
- 8 HCMFA notes that you signed in 2019?
- 9 A. I'm not aware.
- 10 Q. Are you aware of anybody ever
- 11 informing the retail boards that Highland had
- 12 declared a default under the NexPoint note?
- 13 A. I'm not aware.
- 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the
- 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for
- 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on
- 17 behalf of HCMFA?
- 18 A. I'm not aware.
- 19 O. Let's -- let's see if there is a
- 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can
- 21 scroll up.
- Do you see you responded to
- 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided
- 24 the draft response to you?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. Okay. And do you see that Dustin
3 Norris is copied on this email?

- 4 A. Yes, he is.
- 5 Q. Great. Do you know whether
- 6 Mr. Norris held any positions at either of the
- 7 advisors as of October 6, 2020?
- 8 A. I will go back to -- I'm not the
- 9 legal expert of what appoints you or how or
- 10 why, but you did see Dustin's name on the
- incumbency certificate that you produced
- 12 earlier.
- Q. Do you know what his title was in
- 14 October of 2020?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 16 A. I don't -- I don't recall.
- 17 O. Was he -- did he have a title with
- 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your
- 19 recollection?
- 20 A. I don't recall.
- 21 Q. Do you know why he is included on
- 22 this email string?
- 23 A. I didn't add Dustin. It looks like
- 24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or
- 25 not. You would have to ask her.

Page 184

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in
- 3 formulating the advisors' responses to the
- 4 questions asked by the retail board in
- 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review?
- 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 7 A. He -- Dustin Norris is there in the
- 8 board meetings. But -- so he has a role, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any
- 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in
- 11 relation to any of the retail funds?
- 12 A. I don't -- I don't believe he does.
- Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know
- 14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either
- 15 of the advisors?
- 16 A. I mean, he -- he -- yes.
- 17 Q. What is your understanding of the
- 18 positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to
- 19 the advisors?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 21 A. He is an employee of NexPoint
- 22 Advisors. He is also the chief compliance
- 23 officer for -- for NexPoint.
- Q. Who is the chief compliance officer
- 25 for HCMFA, if you know?

Page 185 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. That would be Jason as well. 3 Α. 4 Okay. Now, looking at your Ο. 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was owed under shared services. Do I have that 6 right in substance? 7 Α. I think I'm being responsive 8 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 9 shared service invoices are outstanding. 10 11 Right. Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. And that is because -- and that is 14 because the retail the retail board has asked 15 for the disclosure of all material obligations that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the 16 future; isn't that right? 17 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 19 We can go back down and look. Ο. 20 Look, I don't know if that's a Α. 21 material item, I mean, again, but sure. 22 Okay. But there were no shared Ο. 23 services outstanding; correct? 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 That is what this email seems to Α.

Page 186 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. indicate. 3 And you wouldn't have written it if 0. 4 you didn't believe it to be true at the time; 5 correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And when you referred to shared services outstanding, what you meant there was 8 9 that neither NexPoint nor HCMFA owed Highland 10 any money under the shared services agreements that they had with Highland as of October 6th, 11 12 2020; right? 13 Α. I don't know if it is as of October 14 6, 2020 or if it was from -- like through the 15 financials -- through the date of the 16 financials as of June 30. 17 Okay. And then you noted that Ο. HCMA -- the HCMFA note is a demand note; right? 18 19 Α. Yes. And then you referred Ms. Thedford 20 Q. to Kristin Hendrix for the term of the NexPoint 21 22 note. Do I have that right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And then you refer to that agreement 0.

that is referenced in the 2018 audited

25

Page 187 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. financials about Highland's agreement not to 3 make demand upon HCMFA until May 2021; correct? Α. Correct. 4 5 And then -- and then the next thing 0. 6 you write is that the attorneys think that BK doesn't change that, but don't know for sure at 7 the end of the day. 8 9 Do you see that sentence? 10 Α. Yes. Which attorneys were you referring 11 Q. 12 to? 13 Α. I don't remember. 14 Q. Did you have a conversation with 15 attorneys concerning whether the bankruptcy would change or alter in any way the agreement 16 not to make a demand under the HCMFA note? 17 Look, yeah, I mean, I don't 18 Α. 19 specifically remember, but generally, I mean, it is in this email. I don't -- I don't -- I 20 don't -- I don't remember who I talked to or, 21 22 you know, was it inside counsel, outside 23 counsel, but obviously I talked to somebody. 24 Do you have any recollection --Ο.

Well, I don't even know if it's --

25

Α.

Page 188

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- 2 actually, it may not even have been me. I say
- 3 the attorneys in, you know, a lot of -- like I
- 4 talked about the team.
- 5 It could have been someone on the
- 6 team, like, hey, we need to run this down, and
- 7 maybe they talked to attorneys again and
- 8 relayed that information to me.
- 9 So I really don't know if I spoke or
- 10 someone else did or -- or, I mean, and maybe it
- 11 wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe
- 12 it was, you know, other -- I'm kind of
- 13 summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really
- 14 know -- I can't really say for sure. I don't
- 15 remember how I came about of this knowledge.
- 16 Q. I appreciate your efforts,
- 17 Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that
- if I ask a question and you don't know the
- 19 answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept
- 20 that. I don't -- I don't want you to
- 21 speculate, so I want to be clear about that.
- 22 So I appreciate it.
- Let me just ask you simply: Do you
- 24 know what attorneys -- can you identify any of
- 25 the attorneys who thought that the bankruptcy

Page 189 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 process didn't change the agreement? I don't recall. 3 Α. Okay. Perfect. 4 Ο. 5 And then let's look at the last 6 sentence. It says, quote: The response should 7 include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and 8 9 backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge 10 that hasn't changed. 11 Do you see that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, Q. had you told the retail board that HCMFA and 14 15 NexPoint have the full faith and backing from 16 Jim Dondero? 17 Α. Yes. Do you remember in the context in 18 Q. which you told the retail board that? 19 20 Α. I mean, generally, yes. 21 Tell me what you recall. Q. 22 So we were walking through the Α. 23 financials from the advisors; right? So as I 24 described to you, you have got HCMFA and NPA. 25 And these -- the financials, you know, show

Page 190 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21they have liabilities on them that exceed 3 assets. So the retail board has asked, okay, 4 you know, how -- you know, if -- if these 5 6 liabilities come due or they're payable, you 7 know, how does that come about? And, you know, the response is, 8 9 well, the advisors have the -- the full faith 10 and backing from -- from Jim Dondero. And how did you know that the 11 advisors had the full faith and backing from 12 13 Jim Dondero? What was the basis for that 14 statement that you made to the retail board? 15 Α. I talked to Jim about it at some 16 point in the past. And did you tell Mr. Dondero that 17 you were going to inform the retail board that 18 the advisors had his full faith and backing 19 20 before you actually told that to the retail 21 board? 22 I don't recall having that conversation. 23

Q. Do you recall if you ever informed

Mr. Dondero that you had disclosed or told the

Page 191 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21retail board that the advisors had the full 3 faith and backing of Mr. -- Mr. Dondero? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 5 form. 6 Α. I don't recall discussing that with 7 him at the time. When you told this to the board, was 8 Ο. 9 Mr. Dondero participating in the discussion? 10 Α. Not that I recall. 11 Withdrawn. Was it not -- withdrawn. Q. 12 Do you recall whether -- when you 13 covered this issue with the board, was that in 14 a -- a Zoom call or a Webex call? Was it a 15 telephone call? Was it in-person? Like where were you physically in relation to the board? 16 17 I believe I was at home. Α. 18 Q. Okay. Can you identify every person 19 that you recall who was present for this 20 disclosure other than -- other than the board 21 members themselves? 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 23 form. 24 I don't recall everyone on the call. Α.

Can you identify anybody who was on

25

Q.

Page 192

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 the call?
- 3 A. Other than the board members?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there
- 6 are -- there are many -- my section is just one
- 7 of many sections that are just -- you know, as
- 8 you can appreciate, this is a long board
- 9 meeting.
- I can't recall specifically, really
- 11 even generally, or who was on when this was
- 12 discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the
- 13 entire time.
- 14 Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but
- 15 do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any
- 16 positions relative to the retail funds?
- 17 A. I think you asked me this already,
- 18 John.
- 19 Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you
- 20 just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact,
- 21 ask you the question?
- 22 A. I don't believe -- if we can go
- 23 back. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title
- 24 at the retail funds. Mr. -- and Mr. Post is
- 25 the CCO of the advisor, the advisors.

Page 193 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Okay. Do you know if either of them Ο. have a position with the retail board -- with 3 the retail funds? 4 5 I don't believe Mr. Norris has a Α. 6 position with the retail funds. 7 All right. What about Mr. Post? 0. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Α. 8 9 Okay. Does he hold any position --Q. 10 I don't believe so. Α. -- with the retail funds? 11 Q. 12 I don't believe so. Α. 13 Q. Okay. I don't know if being the CCO for 14 Α. 15 the advisor conveys something for the retail 16 funds. Again, I am not -- that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. 17 Why did you tell the retail board 18 0. that the advisors have the full faith and 19 20 backing from Mr. Dondero? 21 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 22 It is -- it is -- it is what has Α. been discussed with them prior. 23 24 And were you -- were you trying to 0.

give them comfort that even though the

25

Page 194 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 liabilities exceeded the assets that the 2. advisors would still be able to meet their 3 4 obligations as they become due? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object form. 7 I -- I can't -- I don't remember Α. specifically the conversation, but generally --8 9 you know, generally, yes. And that is why -but, you know, again, in this email saying, you 10 know, I am sure I qualified it with the retail 11 board, you know, as I said I like -- you know, 12 13 to my knowledge, that hasn't changed. But, 14 again, generally -- generally that is what I 15 remember. 16 Okay. Do you recall if in the 0. advisors' response to the retail board's 17 question if the response included any statement 18 concerning Mr. Dondero and -- and the full 19 20 faith and backing that he was giving to the 21 advisors? 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 23 form. 24 I don't -- I don't remember Α. 25 specifically what was provided.

Page 195 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 0. Okay. And I don't really -- I don't really 3 Α. 4 remember generally either. 5 Ο. Okay. 6 MR. MORRIS: So -- so, again, I'm 7 just going to ask Mr. Rukavina if your clients can produce as soon as possible the 8 9 15(c) response, the written response that the advisors made, if any, to the board's 10 11 Question No. 2. 12 I'm not looking for the whole 13 response, but I certainly want the response to Question No. 2. 14 15 Do you have a general understanding Q. as to the amount by which -- withdrawn. 16 17 Did -- did the assets of --18 withdrawn. 19 Did the liabilities of HCMFA exceed 20 its assets in 2020? 21 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. 23 I believe I have already answered Α. 24 that question earlier, I think. I believe I 25 said yes.

```
Page 196
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2
                Okay. And did the liabilities of
          O.
 3
     NexPoint exceed its assets in 2020?
 4
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to
 5
          form.
                I don't believe so.
 6
          Α.
 7
                Okay. So -- so it was only one of
          Ο.
     the two advisors who had liabilities that
 8
     exceeded the value of the assets.
 9
10
                Do I have that right?
11
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to
12
          form.
13
                MS. DANDENEAU:
                                 Form.
14
          Α.
                Yes.
15
                And do you know, ballpark, the
          Q.
     amount by which the value of HCMFA's
16
     liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020?
17
18
                MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
19
                I don't -- I don't recall.
          Α.
20
                MR. MORRIS: I had specifically
21
          requested in discovery the audited
22
          financial reports for both advisors and
23
          NexPoint. I think I may have gotten one
24
          for NexPoint but I'm still waiting for the
          balance. And I'm going to renew my request
25
```

```
Page 197
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          for those documents too.
                Let's go to the next exhibit, which
 3
          0.
 4
     is Number 10. So I think it is in your stack,
 5
     Mr. Waterhouse.
 6
                MR. MORRIS: And we can take the one
 7
          down from the screen and put up Number 10
          for everybody.
 8
 9
                (Exhibit 10 marked.)
10
                And I don't know if you have ever
          Ο.
     seen this before, but I'm really putting it up
11
     on the screen for purposes of turning to the
12
13
     very last page of the document.
14
                So this is a document that we have
15
     been -- that we premarked as Exhibit 10.
16
     we're turning to the last page of the document,
     which is a document that was filed in the
17
     adversary proceeding 21-3004. And -- no, I
18
     apologize, I think we -- right there. Perfect.
19
20
                And it is page 31 of 31.
21
                MR. MORRIS: I think there may have
22
          been some something erroneously stapled to
          the hard copy that I gave you folks, but
23
24
          I'm looking for page 31 of 31 in the
25
          document that begins with the first page of
```

Page 198 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Exhibit 10. 3 Do you have that, Mr. Waterhouse? Q. 4 I don't have it yet. I'm looking. Α. 5 All right. If you look at the top Ο. 6 right-hand corner, you will see it says page 7 hopefully something of 31? Α. Yes, I've got it now. 8 9 Okay. You have got 31 of 31. You Q. 10 can take a moment to read that, if you would 11 like. 12 (Reviewing document.) Okay. Α. 13 Q. Have you ever seen this before? 14 Α. I don't know if I have seen this specific document, but, you know, I've --15 I'm -- I'm aware of it. 16 17 And is this the document that you Ο. 18 had in mind when you sent that email to 19 Ms. Thedford that we just looked at where you 20 said that Highland had agreed not to make a 21 demand upon HCMFA until May 2021? 22 Honestly, I don't -- it wasn't this Α. I mean, it's something like this, 23 document. 24 yes. I mean, yes. 25 Well --Q.

Page 199

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. It is something like this, but I
- 3 don't think it was this specific document.
- 4 Q. Well, but this document does say in
- 5 the last sentence that Highland agreed not to
- 6 seek -- not to demand payment from HCMFA prior
- 7 to May 31, 2021; right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And are you aware of any other
- 10 document that was ever created pursuant to
- 11 which Highland agreed not to demand payment on
- 12 amounts owed by HCMFA before May 31, 2021?
- 13 A. Hold on. Are you asking, am I aware
- of a document that by HCMFA that basically says
- 15 otherwise?
- 16 Q. No. Let me try again.
- 17 Are you aware of any other document
- 18 pursuant to which -- pursuant to which Highland
- 19 agreed not to make a demand on HCMFA until May
- 20 31st, 2021?
- 21 A. I'm -- I think there was something
- 22 in connection with -- with the -- with the
- 23 audit that basically says the same thing.
- Q. Okay. And do you think that the
- 25 audit is referring to this particular document?

Page 200 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. I don't know. 3 All right. This document is dated Q. 4 April 15, 2019. Do you see that? 5 Α. I do. 6 Ο. And do you remember that the audit 7 was completed on June 3rd, 2019? Α. Yes. 8 9 And do you recall that the audited Q. financials -- and I'm happy to pull them up if 10 you would like, but do you recall that the 11 12 audited financials included a reference to the 13 agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? 14 15 Α. Yes, I remember. 16 Ο. And as part of the process, would 17 you have expected the corporate accounting team to have provided a copy of this document to 18 19 PwC? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 Yes, I would have expected something Α. 22 like this, or again, you know, some document 23 that basically states -- states the deferral 24 till May 31 of 2020. 25 Okay. Q.

Page 201 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Α. 3 And this document states the 0. 4 deferral that you just described; correct? 5 It does. Α. And this document states the 6 Ο. 7 deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; 8 9 correct? 10 Α. It does. 11 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll 12 down just a little bit to see who signed on 13 behalf of the acknowledgment there. 14 Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this 0. 15 document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; 16 do you see that? 17 I do. Α. Okay. Did you discuss this document 18 Q. or the -- withdrawn. 19 20 Did you discuss the concept of the 21 deferral with Mr. Dondero in the spring of 22 2019? I think I testified I don't recall. 23 Α. 24 Okay. Do you know whose idea it was Ο. 25 to issue the acknowledgment in this form?

Page 202 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. I don't recall. 3 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll back up 4 to the document, please. 5 Do you see in the beginning it says, 0. reference is made to certain outstanding 6 7 amounts loaned from Highland to HCMFA for funding ongoing operations. 8 9 Do you see that? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And were you aware as the CFO of Q. 12 Highland and as the treasurer of HCMFA that as 13 of April 15, 2019, Highland had made certain 14 loans to HCMFA to fund HCMFA's ongoing 15 operations? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And were you aware that those loans were payable on demand and remained outstanding 18 as of December 31st, 2018? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 And were you aware that those Ο. 22 amounts were payable on demand, and they 23 remained outstanding as of April 15, 2019? 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 25 form.

Page 203

- 2 A. Well, this -- this document dated
- 3 April 15, 2019 says they have been deferred to

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

4 May 31, 2021.

1

- 5 Q. Right. But I'm just sticking to the
- 6 first paragraph where they refer to the
- 7 outstanding amounts. And in the end it says
- 8 the -- it remained outstanding on December
- 9 31st, 2018, and I think you told me that you
- 10 understood that, and then I'm just trying to
- 11 capture the last piece of it.
- 12 Did you understand that there were
- 13 amounts outstanding from the loan that Highland
- 14 made to HCMFA to fund ongoing operations as of
- 15 April 15th, 2019?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Thank you. Let's look at the next
- 18 sentence. HCMFA expects that it may be unable
- 19 to repay such amounts should they become due
- 20 for the period commencing today and continuing
- 21 through May 31st, 2021.
- Do you see that?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 24 A. I do.
- 25 Q. As the CFO -- withdrawn.

Page 204 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 2 3 believe that -- do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? 5 6 Α. I mean, it -- it -- the answer to that is I really didn't have any -- I didn't 7 have an opinion really. 8 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 10 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 11 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 Α. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any 15 opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all 16 amounts due to Highland should they become due? 17 Not really. I guess I don't... Α. Well, you told the retail board that 18 Q. HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 19 20 2020; correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Based on the work that you did to 0. 23 prepare for the retail board, did you form any 24 view as to whether HCMFA would be unable to 25 repay the amounts that it owed to Highland

Page 205 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. should they become due? 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I mean, I -- when you look at that, 4 Α. 5 to answer you, completely, you know, again, 6 if -- the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the -- the advice -- HCMFA advisors 7 have the -- have the full faith and backing of 8 9 Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of 10 whether the advisor could pay it or not. Did you form any view as to whether 11 the advisors could repay the amounts that it 12 13 owed to Highland should they become due without 14 the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. I mean, if you -- if you -- if you 17 Α. 18 take that last statement out, I mean, it would 19 be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes 20 at that time. And it was precisely for that reason 21 Ο. 22 that you told the retail board that -- that the retail -- that the advisors had the full faith 23 24 and backing of Mr. Dondero; correct? 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. I mean, yes, as the mouthpiece, I
- 3 was relaying information.
- 4 Q. Okay. And you relayed that
- 5 information with the knowledge and approval of
- 6 Mr. Dondero; correct?
- 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
- 8 form.
- 9 A. As I stated in the email, I don't
- 10 believe, and I think I testified I don't
- 11 believe I had conversations with Mr. Dondero at
- 12 the time of that board meeting.
- 13 Q. Did you tell the retail board that
- 14 the advisors had the full faith and backing of
- 15 Mr. Dondero without Mr. Dondero's prior
- 16 approval?
- 17 A. Yeah, I -- I -- yes, I'm -- like I
- 18 said, I think I testified earlier, I'm sure I
- 19 qualified it as well.
- Q. What do you mean by that?
- 21 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 22 A. Again -- again, like I said in the
- 23 email, it has the full faith and backing of Jim
- 24 Dondero unless that has changed.
- 25 Q. Actually that is not what you said,

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 so let's put the email back up.
- 3 A. It is -- it is -- it is in the
- 4 email.
- 5 Q. Let's put the email back up. You
- 6 didn't say unless it has changed. You said you
- 7 believe it hasn't changed; right?
- 8 A. Okay. And to my knowledge that
- 9 hasn't changed, that is what it says.
- 10 Q. That's right.
- 11 A. But, again, I mean, that is -- I
- 12 don't know everything. And I'm not in every
- 13 conversation. I'm not -- to presume that I am,
- 14 is -- and you have to put myself -- as you
- 15 started this out, Mr. Morris, I was at home in
- 16 October of 2020 with COVID -- or, you know,
- 17 under these COVID times that we described is
- 18 very difficult.
- 19 We have all been working at home for
- 20 really the first time ever, undergoing
- 21 processes, procedures, control environments
- 22 that have been untested, and there is poor
- 23 communication.
- So I am relaying, as I'm telling you
- 25 now, what is in the email. And unless

Page 208 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 something has changed -- to my knowledge, it hasn't changed, but it could have changed. 3 When you say that the advisors have 4 5 the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero, 6 did you intend to convey that, to the extent 7 the advisors were unable to satisfy their obligations as they become due, Mr. Dondero 8 would do it for them? 9 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 12 form. 13 And, John, we have given you a lot 14 of leeway here but this does not seem 15 relevant to this case. You seem sort of 16 taking a complete sort of diversion into the allegations and the complaint just 17 filed on Friday, and so I would ask you to 18 move on because --19 20 MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you --21 I will tell you that I have never read that 22 complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing 23 to do with the prosecution of those claims. 24 And this issue that we're talking about 25 right now is related solely to the

```
Page 209
 1
                   WATERHOUSE -10-19-21
          promissory notes that your clients refuse
 3
          to pay.
                So I'm going to continue to ask my
 4
 5
          questions, and I would ask the court
 6
          reporter to read back my last question.
 7
                            (Record read.)
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And then I
 8
 9
          believe there were objections to form.
10
                You can answer the question.
          Q.
11
          Α.
                Yes.
12
          Q.
                Thank you very much, sir.
13
                MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to the
14
          other document, please?
15
                Mr. Waterhouse, do you know if this
          Q.
     document was ever shared with the retail board?
16
17
                I don't recall.
          Α.
                Did you ever share it with the
18
          Q.
     retail board?
19
20
          Α.
                I don't recall.
21
                Did you ever tell the retail board
          Q.
22
     about the substance of this document?
23
                I don't recall.
          Α.
24
                Did you ever tell the retail board
          Ο.
     that Highland had agreed not to make a demand
25
```

Page 210 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. against HCMFA until May 2021? 3 Α. I don't recall. Do you know whether anybody on 4 Ο. 5 behalf of the advisors ever informed the retail 6 board that Highland had agreed on April 15, 7 2019, not to make a demand against HCMFA under the promissory notes? 8 9 Α. I don't recall. 10 Did you instruct Ms. Thedford or 0. anybody else responding to the retail board's 11 12 15(c) inquiry to disclose this document? 13 Α. Did I instruct Ms. Thedford or 14 anyone else to -- to -- to produce this, to disclose this document? Is that what you -- I 15 just want to make sure. 16 17 Uh-huh. Q. 18 Yeah, I don't -- I don't recall. Α. 19 Did you instruct anybody to inform 0. 20 the retail board, in response to their question as part of the 15(c) process, to -- to tell the 21 22 retail board about Highland's agreement not to make a demand until 2021? 23 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 I don't recall. Α.

Page 211 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21Did you ever inform PwC that HCMFA's Q. 3 liabilities exceeded its assets? 4 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 5 I don't -- I don't think I told Α. 6 I mean, they -- they audited the 7 financial statements. Did -- do you know if anybody on 8 0. 9 behalf of Highland ever informed PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA may be unable 10 to repay amounts owing to Highland, should they 11 12 become due? 13 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Again, I think I testified 14 Α. 15 earlier that -- that this was communicated to 16 the auditors. 17 Ideally --0. I don't know who exactly did that. 18 Α. I don't recall doing it, but, yeah, it was --19 20 it was communicated. And that is why -- I mean, there is a disclosure in the financial 21 22 statements; right? 23 There is, and that disclosure Ο. 24 relates to the last sentence of this document; 25 correct?

Page 212 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes. 3 Do you recall looking in the 0. 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? 5 6 Α. No. 7 Do you know whether anybody on Ο. behalf of Highland ever informed 8 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing to Highland should they become due? 11 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 I think that is the third time. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 14 Α. 15 we -- all of this was given to the auditors. Do you know if Highland received 16 0. anything of value in exchange for its agreement 17 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA 19 prior to May 31st, 2021? 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 That is the second time. form. 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 23 I have answered this question. Α. 24 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Object to 25 legal conclusion. Go ahead.

Page 213 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 Α. I have answered this question 3 before. 4 And the answer was no? Ο. 5 Α. I'm not aware. 6 0. Now, this acknowledgment can't 7 possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were 8 9 signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that 10 right? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 Unless there is a drafting error. Α. 13 Okay. Are you aware of a drafting Q. 14 error? I'm not aware. I didn't -- I wasn't 15 Α. part of -- I didn't sign this note or this 16 acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. 17 But you do see it is dated April 15, 18 Q. 19 2019; right? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And this was a document that was Ο. 22 actually included by the advisors in a pleading 23 they filed with the Court; right? 24 MR. RUKAVINA: Well, I don't know 25 that so I object to form.

```
Page 214
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2
          Ο.
                Okay. Let's go to the first page of
 3
     the document and just confirm that.
 4
                MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, I just note
 5
          that you already said there was some error
 6
          with the document that is listed as
 7
          exhibit --
 8
                MR. MORRIS: No. No, no, no.
 9
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay.
10
                MR. MORRIS: What I said is that
          there is a few pages that were mistakenly
11
12
          stapled to the end of the document.
13
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.
14
                MR. MORRIS: There is no problem
15
          with this document.
16
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And just so
17
          we're clear that the document -- the pages
          that start with defendant's amended answer
18
19
          are not intended to be part of this
20
          document?
21
                MR. MORRIS: That's correct.
22
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And that the --
          but it is your representation that the rest
23
24
          of the document is -- is -- is correct
25
          because we don't -- we don't have any way
```

```
Page 215
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          of verifying that, we're just --
 2
 3
                MR. MORRIS: You do, actually.
                                                 You
 4
          could just go to Docket No. 21-3004.
 5
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: If you want to
 6
          stop this deposition so we can go and pull
 7
          that document up, we're happy to do it. So
          I am just asking you for your
 8
 9
          representation.
10
                MR. MORRIS:
                             Sure.
                                    I gave that.
11
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:
                                     Okay.
12
                So do you see that this is a
          Q.
13
     document that was actually filed with the Court
14
     by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?
15
          Α.
                     I get with the first page in
                No.
     the section. Maybe I'm looking at the wrong
16
             It says, Highland Capital Management.
17
     thing.
                Don't worry about it. Don't worry
18
          Q.
     about it.
19
20
                Maybe I went back -- okay.
          Α.
21
                MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we put
22
          up on the screen Exhibit 2.
23
                (Exhibit 2 marked.)
24
                MR. MORRIS: I think it is
25
          Exhibit 1.
```

```
Page 216
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
                MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did
 3
          you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1?
                MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the
 4
 5
          binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And
          now I'm asking -- right there -- going to
 6
 7
          Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked
          as Exhibit 2.
 8
 9
                MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the
10
          binder there is no --
11
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no
12
          Exhibit 1.
13
                MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at
14
          the one on the screen.
15
                Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that
          Q.
     this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017,
16
     in the approximate amount of $30.7 million?
17
18
          Α.
                Yes.
19
                And do you see that the maker of the
          Ο.
20
     note is NexPoint?
21
          Α.
                Yes.
22
                And that Highland is the payee; is
          0.
23
     that right?
24
          Α.
                Yes.
25
                Okay. And do you see in Paragraph 2
          Q.
```

Page 217 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21this is an annual installment note? 3 Α. Can you scroll down. 4 0. Sure. 5 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down --6 yeah, there you go. 7 Right there, yeah. Α. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down 8 9 to the signature line. 10 And do you recognize that as 0. Mr. Dondero's signature? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 And is this the promissory note that Ο. 14 we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made 15 certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and 16 17 interest? I don't recall discussing the 18 Α. 19 aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, 20 but -- so I don't -- I don't recall that prior 21 discussion with those amounts. 22 All right. Let's take a look. Ο. NexPoint always included this promissory note 23 24 as a liability on its audited financial 25 statements; right?

Page 218 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes. 3 And NexPoint had its financial 0. statements audited; isn't that correct? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. And was the process of NexPoint's 7 audit similar to the process you described earlier for Highland and HCMFA? 8 Yes, it is similar. 9 Α. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 12 NexPoint's audited financials and let 13 everybody know what exhibit number it is, 14 La Asia? MS. CANTY: It is going to be 15 16 Exhibit 46. 17 (Exhibit 46 marked.) And do you see, sir, that we've put 18 Q. up NexPoint Advisors' consolidated financial 19 20 statements and supplemental information for the period ending December 31st, 2019? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Did you participate in the process Ο. whereby these audited financial statements were 24 25 issued?

A. I didn't participate directly, as

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 3 I've described before, about the -- the team
- 4 performing the audit.
- 5 Q. Do you recall when the audit of
- 6 NexPoint's financial statements for the period
- 7 ending December 31st, 2019 was completed?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And when do you recall it being
- 10 completed?

1

- 11 A. In January of 2021.
- 12 Q. Do you know why the 2019 audit
- 13 report wasn't completed until January of 2021?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Why was the NexPoint audit report
- 16 for the period ending 12/31/19 not completed
- 17 until January 2021?
- 18 A. Because we had to deal with working
- 19 from home from -- with COVID, and on top of all
- 20 of our daily responsibilities and job duties
- 21 at -- at providing -- at Highland providing
- 22 services to NexPoint, we had to do all of this
- 23 extra work for a bankruptcy that was filed in
- 24 October of 2019.
- MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the

Page 220 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right 3 there. Do you see under the liabilities 4 5 section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? 6 7 Α. Yes. And is that the note that we just 8 0. looked at? 9 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 Withdrawn. Q. 12 Is that the approximately 13 \$30 million note that we just looked at that 14 was dated from 2017? 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 I believe no. Α. Okay. You're not aware of any other 17 Q. note that was outstanding from NexPoint to 18 Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other 19 20 than that one \$30 million note; right? 21 I don't recall. Α. 22 And as of the end of 2019, the 0. principal amount that was due on the note was 23 24 approximately \$23 million; right? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the

Page 221 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. 3 Approximately. Α. 4 And does that refresh your Ο. recollection that between the time the note was 5 executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had 6 7 paid down approximately \$7 million? Α. Yes. If we are just doing the math, 8 9 yes. 10 Okay. Did NexPoint complete its Q. audit from 2020? 11 12 Sorry, you kind of broke up. Α. Do 13 NexPoint complete? The audit of its financial 14 O. 15 statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Q. No, it's not complete? 19 No, it is not complete. Α. 20 Did HCMFA complete its audit for the Q. year ending December 31st, 2020? 21 22 Α. No. 23 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 15, 24 please, the paragraph at the bottom. 25 Do you see that NexPoint has Q.

Page 222 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. included under notes payable to Highland a 3 reference to the amounts that were outstanding as of the year-end 2019 under the note that we 4 5 looked at just a moment ago? 6 Α. Yes. Are you talking about the 7 second paragraph? I'm actually talking about first 8 Ο. 9 paragraph. Do you understand that the first 10 paragraph is a reference to the 2017 note, and the amounts that were -- the principal amount 11 that was outstanding as of the end of 2019? 12 13 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 14 John, do you mean the first paragraph of 15 that page? 16 MR. MORRIS: No, the first paragraph 17 under notes payable to Highland. Yeah, I see the paragraph, and 18 Α. again, this is what I answered earlier. 19 20 believe so, just because I don't -- again, this is a number in a balance sheet, and without 21 22 matching it up and seeing the detail with the 23 schedule like I kind of talked about for

bit more difficult to tie everything in

24

25

Highland's financial statements, it is a little

Page 223 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. perfectly together. Okay. But you're not aware of any 3 0. note that was outstanding at the end of 2019 4 from NexPoint to Highland other than whatever 5 principal was still due and owing under the 6 \$30 million note issued in 2017; correct? 7 Well, it -- I don't -- there is 8 Α. 9 reference in the second paragraph. I don't --10 I don't -- I don't recall what that is referring to, so I don't -- I don't know. 11 12 Well, if you listen carefully to my Q. 13 question, right, I'm asking about notes that 14 were outstanding at the end of 2019, and if we 15 look at the paragraph you just referred to, it says that during the year there were new notes 16 issued totaling \$1.5 million, but by the end of 17 the year, no principal or interest was 18 19 outstanding on the notes. 20 Do you see that? 21 Α. Oh, I do, yes. 22 So does that refresh your Ο. 23 recollection that there were no notes 24 outstanding from NexPoint to Highland other 25 than the principal remaining under the original

Page 224 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 \$30 million 2017 note that we looked at a 3 moment ago? Well, we're at the bottom of the 4 Α. 5 page. Is there anything on page 16? 6 Ο. That is a fair question, sure. That 7 is it. 8 Α. Okay. So it appears that that is 9 the only note that is detailed in the notes in 10 the financial statement. And you don't have any memory of any 11 other note other than the 2017 note, right, 12 13 being outstanding as of the end of the year? 14 Α. I deal with thousands of 15 transactions every year. I don't really have a 16 very specific memory for what exactly was outstanding. 17 18 MR. MORRIS: Why don't we take a 19 break now. We've been going for a little while. It's 3:26. Let's come back at 20 21 3:40. 22 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 23 record at 3:26 p.m. 24 (Recess taken 3:26 p.m. to 3:39 p.m.) 25 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on

Page 225 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the record at 3:39 p.m. All right. Mr. Waterhouse, we -- I 3 Ο. don't think we have a lot more here. 4 5 To the best of your knowledge and recollection, were all affiliate loans and all 6 7 loans made to Mr. Dondero recorded on Highland's books and records as assets of 9 Highland? 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form, 11 asked and answered. 12 To my knowledge, yes. Α. Okay. Can you recall any loan to 13 Q. 14 any affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not 15 recorded on Highland's books and records as an 16 asset? 17 Like during my time as CFO? I don't Α. 18 recall. How about after the time that you 19 Ο. 20 were CFO? Did you recall that there was a loan by Highland to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero 21 22 that hadn't been previously recorded on 23 Highland's books as an asset? 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 I guess I don't understand the Α.

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:38 Page 226 of 396 Page 226 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. I left Highland as of -- I'm not question. 3 aware of -- I left Highland in February --4 probably the last day of February of 2021. 5 0. Okay. 6 Α. I'm not -- I'm not aware of any --7 I'm not aware of anything past that date. While you were the CFO at 8 Ο. Okay. 9 Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary 10 course of business a document that reported operating results on a monthly basis? 11 12 Α. Yes. And are you generally familiar with 13 0. 14 the monthly operating reports? 15 Α. Yeah. You are referring to the reports that we filed to the Court every month? 16 17 I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking 0. you back to the pre-petition period. There was 18 19 a report that I have seen that I'm going to

- 20 show you, but I'm just asking for your
- 21 knowledge.
- MR. MORRIS: Let's put it up on the
- screen, Exhibit 39.
- 24 (Exhibit 39 marked.)
- Q. Do you see this is a document that

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 is called operating results?
- 3 A. Yeah, that's the title of it.
- 4 Q. Okay. And was a report of operating
- 5 results prepared by Highland on a monthly basis
- 6 during the time that you served as CFO?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with a document of
- 9 this type? And we can certainly look at the
- 10 next page or two to refresh your recollection.
- 11 A. I'm just looking at the title. I
- 12 don't really -- again, as I discussed before, I
- don't have any records or documents or emails
- or appointments or anything that I was able to
- 15 use prior to -- prior to this deposition, so
- 16 I'm doing the best I can.
- 17 Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.
- 18 I'm just asking you if you are familiar with
- 19 the document called Operating Results that was
- 20 prepared on a monthly basis at Highland?
- 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
- 22 form.
- Q. If you're not, you're not.
- A. I don't believe this was prepared on
- 25 a monthly basis.

Page 228 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Ο. Okay. Do you see that this one 3 is -- is dated February 2018? 4 Α. Yes. Do you have -- do you believe --5 O. 6 have you ever seen a document that was 7 purporting to report operating results for Highland? 8 Objection to form. 9 MS. DANDENEAU: 10 Α. Yes. Okay. And when you say that you 11 Q. don't believe it was produced on a monthly 12 13 basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to 14 the best of your recollection? 15 Α. I believe it was -- it was prepared on an annual basis. 16 17 Okay. Q. MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next 18 19 page. 20 Do you see that there is a statement 0. here called: Significant items impacting 21 22 HCMLP's balance sheet? 23 And it is dated February 2018. 24 Α. Yes. 25 Do you recall that there was a Q.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 report that Highland prepared that identified
- 3 significant items impacting the balance sheet?
- 4 A. A report that was prepared.
- 5 Q. Let me ask a better question: Did
- 6 Highland prepare reports to the best of your
- 7 recollection that identified significant items
- 8 that impacted its balance sheet?
- 9 A. Well, so Highland prepared a -- a
- 10 monthly close package. And maybe I'm
- 11 getting -- and -- and maybe change names at one
- 12 time or maybe I'm just -- again, just
- 13 misremembering -- but in that, yes, there is a
- 14 page that would detail just changes in -- you
- 15 know, just changes month over month on the
- 16 balance sheet.
- 17 Q. Okay. And maybe it is my fault.
- 18 Maybe I didn't know the proper name for it.
- 19 But let's use the phrase "monthly close"
- 20 package."
- 21 Did Highland prepare a monthly close
- 22 package in the ordinary course of business
- 23 during the time that you served as CFO?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 230 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 And did the monthly close package 0. 3 that Highland prepared include information concerning significant items that impacted 4 Highland's balance sheet? 5 6 Α. Yes, it had a page like that is -that is on the screen that detailed items 7 like -- of that nature. 8 9 And do you know who -- was there Q. 10 anybody at Highland who was responsible for overseeing the preparation of the monthly 11 reporting package? 12 That would have been -- again, it 13 Α. 14 varies over time during my tenure as CFO. 15 It -- it varied over -- over time, but -- but 16 typically a -- a corporate accounting manager. 17 And who were the corporate Ο. 18 accounting managers during your tenure as CFO? It would have been Dave Klos and 19 Α. Kristin Hendrix. 20 21 And did the corporate accounting Ο. 22 manager deliver to you drafts of the monthly 23 close package before it was finalized? 24 Sometimes. Α.

Was that the practice even if there

25

Q.

Page 231 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. were exceptions to the practice? 3 The practice meaning that they Α. 4 sometimes lured them to me? 5 That that was the expectation even Ο. 6 if circumstances prevented that from happening 7 from time to time. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 form. 9 I -- I would say it started out that 10 way but over the years it -- it was not 11 12 enforced. 13 0. Okay. So you were -- you reviewed 14 and approved monthly -- monthly reporting 15 packages for a certain period of time and then over time you stopped doing that. 16 17 Do I have that right? 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Yes, I mean, if you're talking about 19 Α. 20 a formal meeting where we sit down and go through and approve it. I would say that was 21 22 standard practice a decade -- you know, early 23 And as time went on that -- that -- that

25 Q. Okay.

practice wasn't followed.

24

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even
- 3 know if these were -- these were sent to me
- 4 even in any capacity.
- 5 Q. What was the purpose of preparing
- 6 the monthly reporting package -- withdrawn.
- 7 What was the purpose of preparing
- 8 the monthly close package?
- 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
- 10 form.
- 11 A. The -- the original purpose was so
- 12 that it would just -- it would be a report that
- 13 was reviewed monthly with senior management.
- 14 O. Who was included in the idea of
- 15 senior management?
- 16 A. You know, I think originally when
- 17 this was conceived that would have been like
- 18 Jim Dondero and Mark Okada.
- 19 Q. Were monthly reporting -- withdrawn.
- 20 Were monthly close packages prepared
- 21 to the best of your knowledge until the time
- 22 you left Highland?
- 23 A. To my knowledge -- I don't know,
- 24 actually. I mean, to my knowledge, I believe
- 25 it was being -- that was still being done. I

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- 2 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing
- 3 them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for --
- 4 for a long time. But I believe the standard
- 5 practice that was still being carried out.
- 6 Q. Did you ever have any discussions
- 7 with the debtor's independent board concerning
- 8 any promissory notes that were issued by any of
- 9 the affiliates or Mr. Dondero?
- 10 A. I can't -- I can't -- I can't recall
- 11 specifically.
- 12 Q. Did you speak with the independent
- 13 board from time to time?
- 14 A. Yes, from -- from -- from time to
- 15 time I had discussions with the independent
- 16 board members, you know, either -- either, you
- 17 know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as --
- 18 as a -- as a combined work.
- 19 O. Okay. Before we talk about
- 20 Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a
- 21 conversation with Mr. Nelms or Mr. Dubel
- 22 concerning any promissory note that was
- 23 rendered by one of the affiliates or
- 24 Mr. Dondero to Highland?
- 25 A. I don't recall any conversations

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

Page 234

2 specifically.

1

- Q. Do you know if the topic was ever
- 4 discussed, even if you don't remember it
- 5 specifically?
- 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 7 A. It -- it -- it may have. I don't
- 8 know. I don't recall.
- 9 Q. Do you recall ever discussing any
- 10 promissory note issued by any of the affiliates
- or Mr. Dondero with James Seery?
- 12 A. I don't -- I don't recall
- 13 specifically.
- 14 Q. Do you recall generally ever
- 15 discussing the topic of promissory notes issued
- 16 by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero to
- 17 Highland with Mr. Seery?
- 18 A. Nothing -- nothing is really jumping
- 19 out at me.
- 20 Q. Do you recall if you ever told
- 21 Mr. Seery that any of the affiliates or
- 22 Mr. Dondero didn't have an obligation to pay
- 23 all amounts due and owing under their notes?
- 24 A. I don't recall having that
- 25 conversation.

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Seery that you
- 3 had any reason to believe that the amounts
- 4 reflected in the notes issued by the affiliates
- 5 and Mr. Dondero were invalid for any reason?
- 6 A. I don't -- I don't recall.
- 7 Q. Did you tell Mr. Dondero -- did you
- 8 tell Mr. Seery that you thought the promissory
- 9 notes issued by the advisors and Mr. Dondero
- 10 that were outstanding as of the petition date
- 11 were assets of the estate?
- 12 A. I don't recall having a specific
- 13 conversation about those -- you know, those
- 14 notes outstanding as -- as of the petition date
- 15 being assets on the estate. I mean, we put
- 16 together -- you know, they're in the books and
- 17 records of the financial statements. I don't
- 18 recall having a specific conversation.
- 19 Q. Did you ever prepare any documents
- 20 that were delivered to Mr. Seery that concerned
- 21 the promissory notes issued by any of the
- 22 affiliates or Mr. Dondero?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 24 A. Did I produce any that concerned --
- 25 you mean did I just -- did I give Mr. Seery

Page 236 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that -- that said I have concerns over 3 these notes? 4 No. Let me try again. Maybe it was 0. 5 my question. 6 Did you ever give Mr. Seery any 7 information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or 8 Mr. Dondero? 9 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall if I did or not. 11 don't -- I don't remember. I mean, you have my 12 13 emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't --14 I don't know. 15 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the 16 document that has been premarked as Exhibit 17 39? 18 MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this document, isn't it? 19 20 MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be, 21 as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number 22 40. 23 (Exhibit 40 marked.) 24 During the bankruptcy, Ο. 25 Mr. Waterhouse, did you prepare documents that

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 were filed with the bankruptcy court?
- 3 A. I didn't -- I didn't prepare them
- 4 personally.
- 5 Q. Did people prepare them under your
- 6 direction?
- 7 A. Yes. There were members of the team
- 8 that prepared them, and they worked in -- you
- 9 know, there were members of DSI that were
- 10 involved in the process as well.
- 11 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did
- 12 DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the
- information that they used to prepare the
- 14 bankruptcy filings?
- 15 A. Yes. The books and records were
- 16 with the Highland personnel.
- 17 Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen
- 18 here, there is a document that we have marked
- 19 as Exhibit 40 that is -- that is titled Summary
- 20 of Assets and Liabilities?
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall reviewing
- 23 any summary of assets and liabilities before it
- 24 was filed with the bankruptcy court?
- 25 A. Yes, I recall reviewing this at a

Page 238 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. high level. 3 And did you believe that it was 0. accurate at the time it was filed? 4 5 Α. I didn't have any other reason to believe otherwise. 6 7 Okay. Do you see that the total value of all properties listed in Part 1 is 8 9 approximately \$410 million? 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to 11 form. 12 Yes, it is in 1c. Α. 13 Q. Yes. 14 Α. Yes, I see that. 15 Okay. If we go to the second page, Q. now I think I may just have excerpts here, just 16 so everybody is clear, but if we scroll down to 17 the second page, you will see that there is 18 19 a -- a little further. There you go. You will 20 see there is a reference to Item 71, notes 21 receivable. 22 Do you see that? 23 Α. I do. And that was a reference to the 24 0.

notes receivable from the affiliates and

25

Page 239 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 Mr. Dondero, among others; is that right?
- 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 4 A. Yes. The affiliate notes and the
- 5 Dondero notes were in this amount, but they
- 6 weren't -- again, like you said, and among
- 7 others.

1

- 8 Q. Okay. We will look at the
- 9 specificity because I'm not playing gaming
- 10 here, but do you know if the \$150 million of
- 11 notes receivable was included within the
- 12 \$410 million of total value of the debtor's
- 13 assets?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 15 A. I -- I -- I believe so.
- 16 Q. Right. And so is it fair to say
- 17 that as of the date this document was prepared,
- 18 the notes receivable were more than one-third
- 19 of the value of the debtor's assets?
- MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
- 21 form.
- MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.
- A. Again, if you are just taking the
- 24 math, 150 divided by whatever the \$400 million
- 25 number is above, then yes, you get there.

Page 240 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 O. Okay. 3 You know, but as of the time of this Α. 4 filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers --5 6 numbers change, facts and circumstances change. 7 But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this 8 9 number accurately reflected the total amount 10 due under the notes receivable? 11 That is what we had in our books and Α. 12 records. Okay. And did you believe as the 13 0. 14 CFO that the books and records accurately 15 reported the then value of the debtor's assets? 16 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 17 We didn't -- as part of this filing, Α. there was no fair value measurement or 18 19 anything. These were just accounting entries 20 for the promissory notes. There is no analysis for impairment or fair market value adjustments 21 22 or anything of that nature. This is purely

Did you do any impairment analysis

taking numbers and putting them in our form.

at any time while you were employed by

23

24

25

0.

Page 241 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 Highland?
- 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis
- 4 on -- on assets.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment
- 6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that
- 7 were given to Highland by any of the affiliates
- 8 or Mr. Dondero?
- 9 A. Not that I recall.
- 10 Q. Under what circumstances do you
- 11 prepare impairment analyses?
- 12 A. As -- as -- if you're preparing
- 13 financials in accordance with GAAP, generally
- 14 accepted accounting principles, if you're
- 15 preparing full GAAP financials, you should be
- 16 preparing -- you should be undergoing on a
- 17 periodic basis any fair market value
- 18 adjustments to assets.
- 19 As I was instructed at the time of
- 20 the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP
- 21 financials. So this wasn't something I was
- 22 worried about nor concerned about.
- Q. Okay. Were NexPoint and HCMFA and
- 24 Highland's audited financial statements
- 25 prepared in accordance with GAAP?

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:38 Page 292 of 398 Page 242 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 The audited financials -- yes, Α. 3 audited financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. 4 5 Do you recall whether any of Q. 6 Highland or HCMFA or NexPoint ever made a fair 7 market value adjustment to any of the notes issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero 8 9 to Highland? 10 I do not recall that happening, but the -- it is because under -- under GAAP, 11 the -- the treatment of liabilities is 12 13 different than assets. Okay. So then let's just focus on 14 Ο. 15 Highland's audited financial statements. 16 The last audited financial statements were for the period ending December 17 31st, 2018; correct? 18 19 That is my understanding. Α. 20 And you had -- you had an obligation Q. to disclose anything to PricewaterhouseCoopers 21 22 concerning any subsequent events between the

25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:

23

24

end of 2018 and June 3rd, 2019; correct?

MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

Page 243 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Correct. 3 Okay. To the best of your Q. 4 knowledge, as Highland's CFO, did Highland ever make any fair market value adjustments to any 5 6 of the promissory notes that were carried on 7 its balance sheet and that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? 8 9 I think I answered that question Α. 10 earlier. I don't recall doing that for any of the -- those -- those notes. So it would have 11 included the audit for the -- for the 2018 12 13 period. 14 Q. Okay. 15 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next 16 page. Do you see this is a note a list of 17 0. notes receivable? Do you see that? 18 19 Yes, I do. Α. 20 And do you see that this ties into Q. 21 the page that we were just looking? 22 I'm sorry, can we go back to the Α. prior page? I mean, it was at 150,331,222. 23 Ιt 24 was on the prior page. Next page. Yes, it 25 agrees.

Page 244 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Okay. So now let's look at that 0. 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all of the promissory notes that Highland held at 4 the time this document was filed with the 5 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 Α. Yes. There is a footnote there that says, 8 0. doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 9 10 evaluated at year-end. Do you see that? 11 12 I do. Α. 13 Okay. And is it fair to say that as Q. 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed 16 to be doubtful or uncollectible? 17 Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there 18 Α. weren't any -- there weren't any adjustments to 19 fair value. 20 21 Okay. And during the bankruptcy, do Ο. 22 you recall that Highland subsequently reserved 23 for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? 24 Α. Yes.

Why did Highland -- were you

25

Q.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter
- 3 Mountain Investment Trust note?
- 4 A. I was not.
- 5 Q. Do you know why Highland decided to
- 6 reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment
- 7 Trust note?
- 8 A. I don't know yet decision was made.
- 9 I believe it was made by someone at DSI.
- 10 Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know
- 11 why.
- 12 Did you ever ask anyone why they
- 13 reserved for that particular note?
- 14 A. I don't recall.
- 15 Q. Do you know whether the debtor
- 16 reserved for any other note on this list during
- 17 the bankruptcy?
- 18 A. Again, I don't recall. I wasn't
- 19 part of any process of -- again, like any fair
- 20 value adjustments or anything to that degree.
- 21 Like I said, a lot of that was done by DSI and
- 22 it was kind of out of our court.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if any note
- 24 receivable on this list was ever deemed by the
- 25 debtor to be doubtful or uncollectible?

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. I don't -- I don't have a
- 3 recollection of every filing, so I don't know.
- 4 Q. Did you ever have a discussion with
- 5 anybody at any time about whether any of the
- 6 notes receivable on this list should be deemed
- 7 to be doubtful or uncollectible?
- 8 A. No. As I previously stated, we were
- 9 told we didn't have to keep GAAP financials.
- 10 We weren't having -- you know, there is no
- 11 underlying audits being performed, so I mean,
- 12 it wasn't something I worried about.
- MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.
- 14 Q. Did you ever have a conversation
- 15 with anybody about any of the notes receivable
- and whether they should be deemed to be
- 17 doubtful or uncollectible? Did you have the
- 18 conversation, yes or no?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 20 A. I don't recall.
- 21 Q. Do you recall ever telling anybody
- 22 that you believed any of the notes receivable
- 23 on this list should be doubtful -- should be
- 24 deemed to be doubtful or uncollectible?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

Page 247 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 I don't recall. I mean, it may have Α. 3 happened, you know, again, when we initially 4 getting DSI up to speed and going through 5 financials, it may have happened, but I don't recall specifically. 6 7 While you were the CFO of Highland during the time that the company was in 8 9 bankruptcy, did you have any reason to believe 10 that any of the notes receivable on this list other than Hunter Mountain Investment Trust 11 12 should have been characterized as doubtful or 13 uncollectible? 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. 16 I didn't know. I didn't form an Α. Bankruptcy was new to me. It still 17 opinion. is new to me, even after going through this. 18 19 So I really didn't know what to expect nor 20 really -- you know, I didn't know. 21 I move to strike. MR. MORRIS: 22 During the period of Highland's 0. 23 bankruptcy when you were serving as CFO, did

you have any reason to believe any of the notes

on this list were doubtful or uncollectible?

24

25

Page 248 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the 3 fifth time you've asked it. Object to the 4 form. 5 MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, 6 if you haven't noticed, because he's not 7 answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering 8 9 the question, you just didn't like it, like 10 the answer. 11 MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 12 Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. 0. 13 Α. Again, I don't -- we brought up a 14 myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy 15 I don't recall if this was one of them, 16 but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told 17 status quo, blah, blah, right, there is a 18 stay, you can't -- you know, I don't recall 19 20 specifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't 21 happen. 22 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. 23 During the time that Highland was in Q. 24 bankruptcy and you served as CFO, did you have 25 any reason to believe that any of the notes

Page 249 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 receivable on this list were doubtful or 3 uncollectible? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 5 form. 6 Α. Potentially. 7 Did you ever tell anybody that? 0. As I just stated like five times, 8 Α. 9 yes, we -- at the beginning after filing and we 10 were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot 11 of things and this was likely one of them. I 12 13 don't -- but I don't recall specifically we 14 talked --15 0. I don't want to know -- I don't want to know what was --16 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him 18 finish his answer. 19 20 I spoke -- we had -- we were Α. 21 bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic) 22 up to speed on all of these items, contracts, and investments and going through -- we had 23 24 hours and hours and hours of discussion. 25 then not only do I have to repeat this not

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 once, twice, three, four times with -- you
- 3 know, I mean, we -- I don't -- I don't remember
- 4 the sum culmination of all these discussions.
- 5 They all kind of blend together.
- 6 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to strike
- 7 and I will try one more time.
- 8 Q. Did you ever tell anybody at DSI
- 9 that you believed any of the notes receivable
- 10 on this list were doubtful or uncollectible?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.
- 12 A. Potentially.
- 13 Q. Potentially you told them or
- 14 potentially they were doubtful or
- 15 uncollectible?
- 16 A. Potentially I told them that we
- 17 needed to look at the value of these -- of
- 18 these assets.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did you -- okay. It is
- 20 potential that you told them and it is
- 21 potentially that you didn't; right?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- A. I've gone through that. I don't
- 24 recall specifically.
- 25 Q. So you should just -- I don't want

Page 251 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. to tell what you to do. Do you have --3 MS. DANDENEAU: Good. 0. Other than -- other than telling 4 5 them that they should look at the values, do 6 you have any recollection whatsoever of ever 7 having told anybody at DSI that any of the notes receivable on this page were doubtful or 8 uncollectible? 9 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 11 form. 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. 13 Α. I recall having general discussions 14 about everything on our balance sheet which 15 would have included these -- these notes 16 receivable. 17 Ο. Okay. I don't recall specifically where 18 Α. those discussions delved into. 19 20 Do you recall any discussion at all 0. on the topic of whether any of these notes on 21 22 this list were doubtful or uncollectible? 23 MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth 24 is that question different from the 25 question that you just asked for the last

Page 252 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 five times? I mean, really I thought you were -- (overspeak.) 3 4 MR. MORRIS: Because he never 5 answered it. 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you 7 listening to him? MR. MORRIS: You know --8 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically 10 said that he had a conversation with DSI that went over all of this stuff and that 11 conversation could have included the notes 12 13 but he doesn't recall specifically. 14 What more do you want him -- to ask 15 of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him -- I would 16 love him to say -- I would like him to 17 testify to the truth, and that is he has no 18 recollection. 19 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth as you would like to see it, but -- but he 21 is testifying truthfully. And I -- and, by 22 23 the way, I move to strike that comment --24 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: -- because it

Page 253 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21suggests that he has not testified 3 truthfully. 4 MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question 5 again. And if at any time you want to 6 direct him not to answer, that is your 7 prerogative. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any 8 Ο. 9 recollection at all of ever telling anybody 10 from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. 13 Α. I don't remember specifically. 14 Do you remember generally that Q. 15 specific topic? 16 We generally talked about assets, Α. If -- we had discussions of that and 17 collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland, 18 the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We 19 20 had discussions like that, which is, you know, as you look at a billion dollar consolidated 21 22 balance sheet. 23 So I generally remember -- this is 24 billions of dollars, including these assets --25 having discussions of this -- of this type.

Page 254 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Ο. Do you believe that an affiliate 3 loan on this list was doubtful or 4 uncollectible? Would you have told that to 5 DSI? 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. If we had, like -- again, if we --8 Α. 9 if -- if we weren't preparing financial 10 statements in accordance with GAAP, and -- you know, if DSI at that point -- they were --11 12 again, I was new to bankruptcy. 13 The CRO is -- we are delegating 14 everything to the CRO. All the decisionmaking. 15 Remember -- remember when you and I went into 16 Delaware Court and we were saying DSI basically does everything, remember this, Mr. Morris? 17 You were my counsel at the time, and 18 19 basically we're running everything through DSI. 20 That was what this was like in the early part. 21 Everything was communicated through 22 DSI. So DSI says this. DSI says that. 23 is what we're doing, and we're pointing out 24 things to them. 25 Now, they decide what direction this

Page 255 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. goes. 3 Did you point out that any of Q. 4 these --5 I don't recall specifically. Α. 6 Ο. Okay. At any time that you served 7 as Highland's CFO, did you ever point out to DSI that any of these loans were doubtful or 8 uncollectible? 9 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 11 form. 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. 13 Α. If you're asking me if I had a conversation with DSI, if any of these loans 14 15 were doubtful or uncollectible, I don't recall specifically. 16 17 Do you recall that the debtor filed on the docket monthly operating reports? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 You prepared those personally, Q. 21 didn't you? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to 22 23 form. 24 I didn't personally prepare them, 25 the team did with DSI.

Page 256 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 0. But you signed them; correct? 3 My signature is on the MORs. Α. And you signed them as the preparer 4 Ο. 5 of the document; correct? 6 Α. Yes, I did this pursuant to DSI's 7 instructions. Okay. You wouldn't have signed the 8 0. 9 document if you didn't believe it to be 10 accurate; correct? If I had reason to believe it 11 wasn't, presumably I wouldn't have signed it. 12 13 Ο. Okay. And do you have any reason to 14 believe right now that any monthly operating report that has your signature on it was 15 16 inaccurate in any way? 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. 18 My understanding of the monthly 19 Α. 20 operating reports is we were filing them in accordance with the standards set by the Court. 21 It wasn't -- you know, again, I don't -- you 22 know, it wasn't GAAP. It wasn't these other 23 24 standards, so I testified I didn't have experience in this. The CRO was running the 25

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 show. I followed their advice.
- 3 Q. But you assured yourself that
- 4 everything in the report was accurate before
- 5 you signed them; correct?
- 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 7 A. I trusted the guidance from the CRO
- 8 and their team and their experience and their
- 9 quidance for doing this for many, many, many
- 10 years to -- to -- to categorize and put things
- 11 in ways on the form.
- 12 You know, my team had -- had not
- 13 filled out these forms before and needed all of
- 14 this guidance. I'm not an expert in this. I
- 15 have oversight of it. I signed the form. DSI
- 16 told me to.
- 17 Q. And you and your team are the source
- 18 of the information that DSI used to create the
- 19 reports; correct?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 21 A. The books and records reside with
- 22 the -- with -- with the corporate accounting
- 23 team.
- Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting
- 25 team was the corporate accounting team that was

Page 258 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. under your direction; correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 So -- so your team was responsible Ο. for maintaining Highland's books and records; 5 6 correct? 7 I'm sorry, my team was responsible? Α. Correct. 8 Q. 9 They -- they -- they were Α. Yes. 10 the -- the -- the general ledger of Highland, that responsibility was with the corporate 11 12 accounting team. The corporate accounting group 13 Ο. 14 reported to you; correct? 15 Α. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 41, 16 17 please. 18 (Exhibit 41 marked.) All right. You will see that this 19 Ο. 20 is a report that is dated January 31st, 2020, 21 but it is for the month ending December 2019. 22 Do you see that? 23 Α. I do. 24 And you signed this report in your Ο. 25 capacity as the chief financial officer of

Page 259 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. Highland; correct? 3 Α. Yes. And you're the preparer -- you're Ο. identified as the preparer of the report; 5 6 correct? 7 That is correct. Α. Do you recall participating in the 8 Q. 9 preparation of monthly operating reports? 10 Α. As I testified earlier, it was put together, you know, with the team. The team 11 worked with DSI to put these monthly operating 12 13 reports together. We had no experience at this 14 time of the monthly operating reports or things 15 of this nature. 16 MR. MORRIS: Can you turn to the 17 next page, please. Do you see a line item under assets 18 Q. due from affiliates? 19 20 Α. Yes, I do. 21 Okay. And to the best of your Q. 22 knowledge and understanding, as the person who 23 is identified as the preparer of this report, 24 does that line item include the affiliate loans 25 that we've been talking about?

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. Again, I would have to see, just
- 3 like we did with the financial statements of
- 4 Highland and NexPoint, I would have to see a
- 5 detailed build, but, you know, if you look at
- 6 the other line items, you know, the only other
- 7 place it could be would be in -- in other
- 8 assets.
- 9 Q. Okay. And as a matter of
- 10 arithmetic, is it fair to say that is the value
- 11 of the assets due from affiliates was more than
- 12 25 percent of the value of Highland's total
- 13 assets as of 12/31/2019?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 15 A. I'm really not doing the mental math
- 16 right now, so I've been going at this depo for
- 17 hours, so I'm really not -- you know --
- 18 Q. All right. No problem.
- 19 A. -- these are millions of dollars.
- 20 Q. Let's look at the Footnote 1,
- 21 please. Do you see there is a reference to the
- 22 Hunter Mountain note?
- A. Yes, I see that in Footnote 1.
- Q. Okay. And that's the reserve that
- 25 was taken against that note?

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- A. Yes, that is what this indicates.
- 3 Q. Okay. And were you aware that the
- 4 reserve was being taken on that it was?
- 5 A. I was -- I was aware, yeah, at some
- 6 point, yes.
- 7 O. Okay. And are you aware of any
- 8 reserve being taken with respect to any other
- 9 note that was issued in favor of Highland?
- 10 A. Again, as I testified, we didn't go
- 11 through an analysis on -- on -- on the other
- 12 notes.
- 13 O. Can we turn --
- 14 A. I believe -- I believe it says that
- in Footnote 1, fair value has not been
- 16 determined with respect to any of the notes.
- 17 So this footnote -- footnotes, look,
- 18 there has been no determination.
- 19 O. Okay. The determination was made in
- 20 the audited financial statements just six
- 21 months earlier; right? We saw that earlier?
- 22 A. That was as of 12/31/18. I mean,
- 23 things -- circumstances -- there's a bank --
- 24 circumstances change, things change -- things
- 25 change over time, you know, facts and

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 circumstances change. Again, you have to do an
- 3 analysis.
- 4 Q. Okay. And you do recall that in
- 5 Highland's 2018 financial statement, all of the
- 6 notes issued by affiliates and Mr. Dondero that
- 7 were due at year-end had a fair value equal to
- 8 the carrying value; correct? We looked at
- 9 that?
- 10 A. Yes. That was in the -- in the
- 11 disclosure for the -- for the affiliate notes,
- 12 yes.
- 13 Q. And -- and you were obligated to
- 14 share with PwC any subsequent events between
- 15 the end of 2018 and the date that you signed
- 16 your management representation letter on June
- 17 3rd, 2019; correct?
- 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
- 19 form.
- 20 A. Yes. I -- I signed the
- 21 management, you know, my signature is in the
- 22 management representation letter -- I hope I'm
- 23 answering your question -- that is dated in
- 24 June with the representations made in that
- 25 management representation letter.

Page 263 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. Okay. And there was nothing that 3 caused PricewaterhouseCoopers to include in subsequent events any adjustment to the 4 conclusion that the fair value of the affiliate 5 6 notes and the notes issued by Mr. Dondero equaled the carrying value; correct? 7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the 8 form. 9 10 That is correct. That is what was Α. in the -- in the -- in the footnotes. 11 12 Q. Okay. So are you aware of anything 13 that occurred between June 3rd, 2019 and 14 December 31st, 2019 that would have caused the 15 fair value of the notes to differ from the 16 carrying value? Yeah. Highland filed for 17 bankruptcy, things changed -- I mean, there was 18 a bankruptcy filed in October of -- of -- of 19 20 2019, right, the petition date that we've described earlier. 21 22 I mean, I had a -- I guess looking back naively, I thought we were going to get an 23

audit from PwC for year-ended 2019, and when we

had discussions with PwC, they were like, are

24

25

Page 264 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy 3 changes the entire scenario. I mean -- and 4 5 they're like, we're not -- we're not touching this. 6 7 And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. 8 9 I mean, it is -- you know, and -you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP 10 financial statements. 11 12 Again, I didn't know what we were 13 doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at 14 15 the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and 16 others involved changed things dramatically. 17 Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor 18 Ο. under any of the notes that we're talking 19 20 about; correct? 21 No. Α. 22 Ο. So --23 Α. That's right. 24 So can you identify any fact that 0. would cause the fair value to deviate from the 25

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- 2 carrying value during the seven-month period
- 3 between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019?
- 4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 5 A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back
- 6 at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we
- 7 didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a
- 8 fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts
- 9 and circumstances at the time, but asset values
- 10 change. You know, there could have been a
- 11 market crash in hindsight in 2020, which --
- 12 which affected entities' abilities.
- There could have been all of these
- 14 things, right, that -- that happen. It is --
- 15 it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when
- 16 you are looking at this in -- in realtime, the
- 17 analysis is different, and again, we didn't do
- 18 an analysis.
- 19 Q. Okay. You didn't do an analysis.
- 20 Do I have that right?
- 21 A. I don't -- I don't recall doing one
- 22 or maybe -- you know, I don't recall doing one.
- MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm going to
- take a break. I may be done, so the time
- 25 now is -- is 4:30 your time. Let's just

```
Page 266
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          take a short break until 4:40 your time.
 3
                MS. DANDENEAU:
                                 Okay.
 4
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
 5
          record, 4:31 p.m.
 6
          (Recess taken 4:31 p.m. to 4:43 p.m.)
 7
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
 8
          record at 4:43 p.m.
 9
                MR. MORRIS: I have no further
10
          questions.
11
                MR. RUKAVINA: Okay.
12
          Mr. Waterhouse, I will go next.
13
                       EXAMINATION
14
     BY MR. RUKAVINA:
15
                Sir, my name is Davor Rukavina.
          Q.
16
     the lawyer for --
17
                MR. MORRIS: Hey, Davor, just before
18
          you begin, I just want to put on the record
19
          Highland's objection to documents that were
20
          produced to me 10 minutes before the
21
          deposition began.
                MR. RUKAVINA: What the basis of
22
23
          your objection?
24
                MR. MORRIS: That they were due
25
          quite some time ago, and the fact that you
```

```
Page 267
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
          had -- I just think it's appropriate to --
 3
          to dump documents on somebody 10 minutes
          before the deposition. I just think
 4
 5
          that's --
                MR. RUKAVINA: Well, these are
 6
 7
          documents Highland produced. I'm not aware
          of any rule I have to give you advance
 8
          documents when I know for the record that
 9
10
          other than the exhibits that you sent to us
          last week, most of the exhibits you used
11
12
          today you did not provide to me prior to
13
          this deposition.
                MR. MORRIS: No, but the documents
14
15
          were produced by me in -- in litigation,
16
          right?
17
                MR. RUKAVINA: I'm going to use
18
          primarily, John, the documents that you
19
          produced to me today, but you may.
20
                MR. MORRIS: Primarily. I've got --
21
          I've got my objection. You have got your
22
          response. Proceed.
23
          Q.
                Mr. Waterhouse, again, I represent
24
     the advisors, HCMFA and NexPoint Advisors.
25
                Do you understand that?
```

Page 268 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes. 3 You and I have never met or talked 0. 4 before today, have we? 5 Α. No, I have -- I have heard your voice on calls before. 6 7 Ο. Okay. MR. RUKAVINA: Madam Court Reporter, 8 9 I will use a few exhibits today. My 10 associate, Mr. Nguyen, will find some way to get them to you. I don't know how to do 11 12 that, but it looks like you guys do. 13 I am going to use numbers as well. 14 But to differentiate them from Mr. Morris 15 we're going to mark mine with the prefix A 16 for advisors. 17 Do you understand? 18 COURT REPORTER: Yes. 19 MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. Perfect. 20 Q. Okay. So, Mr. Waterhouse, let's 21 start with those two HCMFA notes that you were asked about, one for 5 million and one for 22 2.4 million. 23 24 Do you recall those notes? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 269 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. Were you ever the CFO of HCMFA? I don't recall. 3 Α. So to the best of your recollection, 4 0. you were still an officer of HCMFA in 2019, 5 6 just that your title was treasurer? 7 MR. MORRIS: Object to the form of the question. There is no leading here. 8 9 He works for your client. 10 MS. DANDENEAU: That is not -- that 11 is not true. 12 MR. MORRIS: He's the treasurer --13 he is the treasurer of your client. I 14 don't -- I'm going to object every time you 15 try to lead, so... 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Totally fine to 17 object. 18 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Please answer my question, 19 Ο. 20 Mr. Waterhouse. 21 I'm sorry, could you repeat? There Α. 22 was... Yes. You were -- you testified 23 Q. 24 earlier that in 2019 you were an officer of 25 HCMFA; correct?

Page 270 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Yes, I testified that I was the 3 treasurer and I didn't know if that incumbency certificate, you know, was one that appointed 4 5 me as a treasurer, but yes. 6 Ο. I'm just trying to confirm that sitting here today, to the best of your 7 recollection, at that time you were -- your 8 9 title was treasurer. It was not chief 10 financial officer. I don't recall that being my title. 11 Α. Okay. And in May of 2019, however, 12 O. 13 I think you testified you were the chief 14 financial officer of the debtor; correct? 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 of the question. 17 Α. Yes, I was -- yes. Okay. As such, in May of 2019, did 18 Q. you have the authority, to your understanding, 19 20 to unilaterally loan \$5 million or \$2.4 million to anyone on behalf of the debtor? 21 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 Sorry, can you repeat that? Α.

Yes. So in your capacity as the

25

Q.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 chief financial officer of the debtor, Highland
- 3 Capital Management, L.P., in May of 2019, did
- 4 you believe that you unilaterally, just Frank
- 5 Waterhouse, had the authority to loan on behalf
- 6 of the debtor to anyone \$5 million and
- 7 \$2.4 million?
- 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 9 of the question.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Is it because loans of that amount
- would have had to be approved by someone else?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Who in '20 -- in May of 2019, if
- 15 Highland wanted to loan 5 million or
- 16 \$2.4 million to someone, what would have been
- 17 the internal approval procedure?
- 18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 19 of the question.
- 20 A. If -- if we had loans of that nature
- 21 that needed to be made due to their size, we
- 22 would have gotten approval from the -- the
- 23 president of Highland.
- 24 O. And who that was individual?
- 25 A. It was James Dondero.

Page 272 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Now, I'm going to ask you a O. Okay. similar question but for a different entity. 3 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 7 \$2.4 million loan? 8 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 Α. No. 12 What would -- what would the 0. 13 approval have taken place -- strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 The process would have been similar Α. 20 to what we just discussed on -- for Highland to 21 make a loan to others. So, again, you know, 22 we -- we would have -- either myself or someone on the team would have discussed this with 23

And who was that individual?

the -- the president and owner of -- of HCMFA.

24

25

Q.

Page 273 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 That was James -- Jim Dondero. Α. 3 So do I understand that in May of 0. 4 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have 5 6 had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 You mentioned when Mr. Morris was 0. asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with 11 respect to TerreStar, without writing us a 12 13 novel, unless you feel like you have to, can 14 you summarize what that NAV error was? What 15 happened? 16 There was a -- in the Highland Α. Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an 17 equity interest in a company called TerreStar. 18 And TerreStar is -- at the time was a private 19 20 company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm 21 putting myself back then as a private company. 22 We had -- sorry, I don't mean we --23 the fund and the advisor used Houlihan Lokey 24 to -- to value that investment. And during that time there was some trades that were 25

Case 21-03005-sqi Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:58 Page 223 of 396 Page 274 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21executed at market levels that were much lower than the Houlihan Lokey model. 3 And based on information and 4 5 discussions with the portfolio managers and, 6 you know, principals that were very familiar with TerreStar, it was determined that those 7 trades were non-orderly and they were not 8 considered in the valuation as consulted with 9 10 Houlihan Lokey and PricewaterhouseCoopers at the time. 11 12 Subsequent to a -- I can't remember 13 the exact circumstances of why the SEC got I think it was due to this -- this 14 15 investment became a material position in the It triggered an SEC, kind of, inquiry. 16 fund. And as part of that inquiry, they questioned 17

- 18 the valuation methodology. "They" meaning the
- 19 SEC.
- 20 And at the culmination of that
- 21 process -- this is all summarized -- the value
- 22 that was -- that ultimately had to be used in
- 23 the fund's NAV was different than -- materially
- 24 different than what the original valuation at
- 25 Houlihan Lokey provided.

Page 275 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 And given that there was this fund 3 was, as we discussed -- I don't know if we 4 discussed it, but it was an open-ended fund 5 that was going -- that was converting to a 6 close-end fund. 7 Due to the fact that it was an open-ended fund, you had to recalculate NAV and 8 9 see what the impact was on people -- on 10 investors coming in and out of the fund and if there is a detrimental impact and to calculate 11 what that -- what that impact was and if there 12 13 was any amounts owed to the fund pursuant to 14 the error. 15 Were you personally involved Ο. internally at either Highland or HCMFA with 16 these investigations and discussions with the 17 18 SEC? 19 Α. I was. 20 Which other key people or senior Q. people at Highland were involved, to your 21 22 recollection? Myself, Thomas Surgent, David Klos, 23 Α. Lauren Thedford, Jason Post. 24 25 Mr. Dondero, was he --Q.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying
- 3 to think. And maybe that is -- that is -- that
- 4 is -- that is all kind I can recall at the
- 5 moment.
- 6 Q. Do you recall whether it was
- 7 determined that the fund suffered losses as a
- 8 result of this error?
- 9 A. The -- the fund -- the --
- 10 because the open-ended nature of the fund,
- 11 there were losses that were attributable to
- 12 investors. Meaning they -- they would have
- 13 redeemed and got a less money or -- or they
- 14 subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get
- 15 enough shares and then they later sold and then
- 16 they were harmed in that fashion.
- 17 And there is -- there is -- there
- 18 were very -- there were very detailed
- 19 calculations and, you know, all these different
- 20 scenarios that we had to -- I'm sorry, I keep
- 21 saying "we" -- that the individuals involved
- 22 had to calculate and quantify.
- Q. Well, do you recall whether HCMFA
- 24 admitted certain fault and liability for this
- 25 error?

Page 277 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. I don't recall specifically. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused 3 0. any funds to be paid to the investors and the 4 fund the subject of the NAV error? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the 8 fund? 9 10 It was -- it was approximately Α. \$7 million. 11 12 If I was to suggest 7.8 million, O. 13 would that ring more true or are you sticking 14 with your original answer? 15 Α. It was -- it was approximately 7 --7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the 16 exact number, but it was in that ballpark. 17 So regardless of whether HCMFA 18 Ο. accepted fault or liability, it caused some 19 20 \$7 million or more to be paid out to affected investors in the fund? 21 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 And I want to make sure I'm Α. 25 understanding your question because there is a

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 lot of different entities that are going on to
- 3 my head.
- 4 I think what you are saying is based
- 5 on this error, shareholders were harmed by this
- 6 approximately \$7.8 million -- by approximately
- 7 \$7.8 million. Is that what you are asking?
- 8 Q. Yes, sir.
- 9 A. Yes, that was -- again, I don't have
- 10 the exact numbers. If I take -- it was -- it
- 11 was in that ballpark, and there is a detail
- 12 calculation and write-up that could, that --
- 13 that exists someplace.
- 14 Q. Now, at that time, at the time that
- 15 the NAV error occurred, was there a contract in
- 16 place between HCMFA and the debtor pursuant to
- 17 which the debtor was providing services to
- 18 HCMFA?
- 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Was that contract generally called a
- 23 shared services agreement?
- 24 A. It was generally called that, but
- 25 there were -- there were -- I mean, it -- it --

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 it depends on who you talk to, but yes,
- 3 generally, there were -- there are multiple
- 4 agreements.
- 5 O. Pursuant to one or more of those
- 6 agreements, was the debtor providing certain
- 7 services to HCMFA?
- 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 9 of the question.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And can you at a very high level
- 12 summarize in 2018 and 2019 what those services
- 13 were?
- 14 A. Yes, there was a -- yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. Please -- please go -- go
- 16 through a short summary.
- 17 A. There was a -- a cost reimbursement
- 18 agreement between Highland Capital Management
- 19 Fund Advisors and Highland Capital Management,
- 20 L.P. That agreement was for what we referred
- 21 to as front office services, so investment
- 22 management, things of that nature.
- There was I think what most people
- 24 refer to as the shared services agreement that
- 25 was -- that agreement was between Highland

WATERHOUSE -10-19-21

Page 280

- 2. Capital Management Fund Advisors and Highland
- Capital Management for back office services.
- And can you summarize what you mean 4 Ο.
- by back office services? 5

1

3

- 6 Α. Those services were for accounting,
- 7 finance, tax, valuation, HR, IT, you know,
- legal compliance, things of -- things of those 8
- 9 nature -- or things of that nature, excuse me.
- 10 So in the spring of 2019, do you
- recall whether HCMFA took the position that it 11
- was actually Highland that caused the NAV error 12
- 13 to occur pursuant to the valuation services
- 14 that Highland was providing?
- 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 16 of the question.
- 17 I do not recall. Α.
- Did you ever have any discussions 18 Q.
- 19 with anyone, Jim Dondero or anyone in the first
- 20 half of 2019 as to whether Highland, the
- debtor, that is, had any liability to HCMFA 21
- 22 related to the NAV error?
- 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 24 of the question.
- 25 I do not recall. Α.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- O. And then you mentioned that the fund
- 3 was being closed and some compensation related
- 4 to that. Can you -- can you elaborate? What
- 5 were you referring to?
- 6 A. Right. So the advisor, pursuant to
- 7 board approval, put a proposal in front of the
- 8 shareholders of the Highland Global Allocation
- 9 Fund to convert it from an open-ended fund to a
- 10 closed-end fund.
- So an open-ended fund, when
- 12 shareholders subscribe to the fund or redeem
- into the fund, they do it at NAV.
- 14 When it is -- when you have a
- 15 closed-end fund, closed-end funds are -- are
- 16 publicly-traded, like on the New York Stock
- 17 Exchange, exchanges like that, and -- and
- 18 shareholders or investors, they're not --
- 19 they're -- they're not subscribing and
- 20 redeeming with the fund. They are like shares
- 21 of Apple.
- Those shares of the Highland Global
- 23 Allocation Fund trade on an exchange, and that
- 24 is how you, you know, that is how, you know,
- 25 you become an equity owner in the fund or you

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- 2 sell your shares and you are no longer an
- 3 equity owner.
- 4 As part of that proposal, the
- 5 advisor told shareholders if you -- if you vote
- 6 for this proposal to -- to convert it from an
- 7 open-ended fund to a closed-end fund, we will
- 8 pay you some amounts of money. I forgot -- a
- 9 certain number of points. I think it was
- 10 like -- it was like two to three points or
- 11 something -- something like that.
- 12 Q. Okay. You mentioned when Mr. Morris
- 13 was asking you, going back to those two
- 14 promissory notes, you will recall the 5 million
- and 2.4 million, you mentioned something to the
- 16 effect that Mr. Dondero told -- told you to pay
- 17 some moneys out of Highland. Do you remember
- 18 that discussion with Mr. Morris?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 Q. So, to the best of your
- 21 recollection, did you have a discussion with
- 22 Mr. Dondero about making some payments in May
- 23 of 2019 out of Highland?
- 24 A. I recall, as I testified earlier,
- 25 that I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 for -- for these amounts attributable to -- it
- 3 was either the error -- you know, the error,
- 4 and in that conversation he said, go get the
- 5 money from Highland. I believe that is what I
- 6 testified earlier, and that -- that is my
- 7 recollection.
- 8 Q. Do you recall if that was an
- 9 in-person meeting or some other mode for the
- 10 meeting?
- 11 A. I -- I recall that being
- 12 in-person.
- Q. Do you recall if anyone else was
- 14 present, or was it just you and Mr. Dondero?
- 15 A. I recall just he and I.
- 16 Q. And the moneys that he told you to
- 17 find from -- or get from Highland, was that in
- 18 the amount of \$5 million and \$2.4 million?
- 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 21 A. I believe so, but I would have to go
- 22 back and look and see when those moneys were
- 23 actually paid into the -- into the fund and,
- 24 you know, when those transfers were done. If
- 25 they were all done around that same time, then

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- 2 yes, I would say it was -- it was all related
- 3 to that.
- 4 Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those
- 5 funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA?
- 6 A. I don't recall.
- 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 8 of the question.
- 9 Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably
- 10 the only non-American born here, but I speak
- 11 reasonably well in English. I don't recall,
- does that mean you don't remember or does that
- mean it didn't happen?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 16 A. It -- it means I don't -- I don't
- 17 remember.
- 18 Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have
- 19 those two promissory notes prepared?
- 20 A. I don't recall.
- 21 Q. When you -- again, when you say, I
- 22 don't recall today, that means that sitting
- 23 here today, you just don't remember one way or
- 24 the other. Is that accurate?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 0. Is it possible that you, having
- 3 heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds
- 4 being transferred, assumed that that would be a
- 5 loan without him actually telling you that
- 6 would be a loan?
- 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 8 of the question.
- 9 A. Sorry, I want to make sure -- did I
- 10 ask the amounts that were transferred that I --
- 11 that -- that I assumed that that was a loan?
- 12 Q. Well, let me -- let me take -- let
- 13 me try again.
- 14 So you have established already that
- 15 there were quite a number of promissory notes
- 16 back and forth -- I'm sorry, quite a number of
- 17 promissory notes with affiliated companies and
- individuals owing Highland money; right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And you have established that there
- 21 were many transactions and transfers going back
- 22 and forth over the years; right?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 24 A. In -- yes, in my capacity as CFO and
- 25 my employment, yes, that is -- yes.

Page 286 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Ο. And that's part of the reason why you just can't remember some of the details 3 today because this -- this happened years ago, 4 and there were a number of transactions. 5 6 that accurate? 7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the form. 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 9 10 of the question. I mean, I deal with thousands of --11 Α. of -- of -- of transactions, you know, whether 12 13 it has -- the processing of transactions, you 14 know, if it has got, you know, more -- more zeros, you know, behind it than others. 15 16 When you look at thousands of transactions over the years for funds and 17 advisors and -- and, you know, financial 18 19 statements, I mean, it is -- it is very hard 20 going back in -- in -- in my -- you know, 21 14-ish year career at -- at Highland to 22 remember a lot of those details, especially 23 when I don't have any records or books or 24 anything like that, and -- and going back many 25 years.

Page 287 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 And that is fine. That -- that --Ο. that is why I asked the question. 3 4 Is it possible in May of 2019 when 5 Mr. Dondero told you to transfer the funds from 6 Highland, you just assumed on your own that 7 those would be loans without him actually telling you that those would be loans? 8 9 Objection to the form MR. MORRIS: 10 of the question. I don't know. 11 Α. 12 Q. I'm sorry, you --13 Α. I said I don't know. 14 Okay. Well, as the -- as the CFO Q. 15 for Highland, if you saw \$7.4 million going 16 out, you would feel some responsibility to account for that, wouldn't you? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 19 of the question. 20 Α. Yes. Is it fair to say that those would 21 Q. 22 be in the range large enough to rise up to your 23 level? 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 25 of the question.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- A. If -- I don't know if I understand
- 3 your question. Those amounts would arise to my
- 4 level where I would be involved or...
- 5 O. You would want to know what a
- 6 transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all
- 7 about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you?
- 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 9 of the question.
- 10 A. Yes, I make it -- I mean, I -- I
- 11 review all sorts of payments, I mean, even
- 12 smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis,
- 13 you know, to -- to -- to understand and to make
- 14 sure that we are paying things in a -- you
- 15 know, in -- in -- in an informed way. And, you
- 16 know -- and we're -- and we're paying things
- 17 pursuant to vendor contracts and things like
- 18 that.
- 19 Q. So as part of that, is it possible
- 20 that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have
- 21 promissory notes made in order to keep a paper
- 22 trail, assuming that those were loans, when
- 23 perhaps they were never intended to be loans by
- 24 Mr. Dondero?
- 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- of the question.
- 3 A. I don't know. As I testified
- 4 earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero
- 5 about -- about the -- the moneys that
- 6 were needed for the NAV error. And I recall
- 7 him saying go get it from Highland -- or get it
- 8 from Highland.
- 9 Q. Well, why did you sign those
- 10 promissory notes and why didn't you have him
- 11 sign them?
- 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 14 A. I don't know. I don't know.
- 15 Q. You mentioned earlier that you
- 16 typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I
- 17 remembering your testimony correctly?
- I mean, promissory notes on behalf
- 19 of the entities. Not yourself, obviously.
- 20 A. Yes, that is what I said earlier.
- 21 Q. Do you recall any other promissory
- 22 notes in the million-plus range that you had
- ever signed before on behalf of any entity?
- 24 A. There is -- there has been a lot of
- 25 transactions over the years. I don't -- I

Page 290 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 don't -- I don't recall generally. I don't --I don't recall. 3 So -- but to the best of your 4 5 recollection, it was on your initiative, 6 following your discussion with Mr. Dondero, that you had someone draft those two promissory 7 notes; is that correct? 8 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. Yes, we would have -- the team, as I 11 Α. stated earlier, we don't draft promissory 12 13 notes. "The team" meaning the accounting and finance team. 14 15 So the team would have worked with the legal group at Highland to draft any notes. 16 17 Do you believe or do you have any recollection as to whether you would have done 18 19 that pursuant to an email or telephone call or 20 in-person meeting? 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 22 of the question. Are you asking if I would have -- if 23 Α. 24 those notes would have been drafted pursuant to 25 an email or phone call?

Page 291 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Ο. Strike that. Do you recall whether you sent an 3 email to anyone asking them to draft those two 4 5 promissory notes? 6 Α. I don't recall because, again, 7 once -- I would have instructed -- likely instructed the team to -- to work with the 8 9 legal group to draft these documents. 10 I -- I -- I -- yeah, I didn't -- I mean, that is more an operational-type 11 procedure. So, you know, a manager or a 12 13 controller or working with legal. You know, they -- they can certainly handle that task to 14 15 get that -- you know, to request that from 16 legal. And who on your team do you think 17 Ο. you would have asked to do that? 18 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection --20 Who would have been the logical Q. person or people, if you don't remember their 21 22 name today? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 24 of the question. It -- it -- there is only two 25 Α.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 managers of the group. That would have been
- 3 Dave Klos or Kristin Hendrix.
- 4 Dave was the -- one of his duties
- 5 was managing the valuation team, and so he was
- 6 intimately involved with this process. So, you
- 7 know...
- Q. Okay.
- 9 A. I don't recall specifically but, I
- 10 mean, my general -- you know, I -- I -- I
- 11 likely would have talked to Dave first about it
- 12 versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been
- 13 intimately involved.
- 14 Q. And -- and do you have a view as to
- 15 whether it is most likely that you would have
- done that by email or in-person or how would
- 17 you believe you would have communicated that to
- 18 Mr. Klos?
- 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 21 A. I likely would have done that in
- 22 person. Again, if things of this nature
- 23 that -- again, you have to put ourselves back
- 24 to, we have been working on this very stressful
- 25 project for many, many months. And once the

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- 2 go-ahead was to -- you know, we see the light
- 3 at the end of the tunnel with wrapping this up
- 4 and making shareholders whole -- sorry to say
- 5 "we" -- you know, the -- so the folks that are
- 6 involved in it.
- 7 I like to talk to people
- 8 face-to-face and -- and -- and go to -- and go
- 9 to their desk, because that shows if I'm going
- 10 to their desk that -- that is something that I
- 11 want done, you know.
- 12 Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,
- 13 getting those two promissory notes in paper
- 14 format or by email before they were executed?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 17 A. I don't recall.
- 18 Q. For whatever was the ordinary course
- 19 back then in May 2019, would you expect to have
- 20 received them only on paper or would you have
- 21 expected to have received them in Word document
- 22 or PDF document by email?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 25 A. I -- I didn't sign -- I signed very

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 few documents via email. I can't say that it
- 3 never happened, but people either stopped by my
- 4 office and physically walked in documents for
- 5 signature that we discussed face-to-face.
- 6 Or documents were -- if -- if --
- 7 if -- if -- let's say I wasn't there or I
- 8 wasn't available, documents were dropped off.
- 9 I had -- I had some in- and outboxes in front
- 10 of my -- my office there at the Crescent.
- 11 Documents would be dropped off for
- 12 signature. There would be a cover sheet that
- 13 would be -- have been applied to those
- 14 documents detailing, you know, who dropped it
- off, the purpose, why, what time.
- 16 And then, you know, as I stated, I
- don't draft documents and I always go to the
- 18 legal group and the compliance group to make
- 19 sure that they're in the loop. And there is
- 20 a -- a box or section that says, Has legal
- 21 reviewed or approved, or something to that
- 22 nature.
- 23 Again, I don't -- I don't have
- 24 access to that cover sheet anymore, but it
- 25 was -- it was something to that effect.

Page 295 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. And my assistant, you know, if she was there, she would review that -- you know, 3 whatever was being dropped off. And if that 4 has legal, you know, reviewed or -- reviewed or 5 6 approved it, if that wasn't -- if that stuff hadn't been done, it was like she would just 7 tell them like, go -- go -- go to the legal 8 9 group, because --10 Let me -- let me pause --Q. 11 Let him finish. MS. DANDENEAU: 12 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Go ahead. I take -- go to the legal group 13 Α. 14 because that -- that was my -- you know, I 15 didn't -- I didn't review anything that -- that they weren't -- you know, or there wasn't some 16 representation made to me that they had 17 reviewed, approved in some capacity. 18 Again, my -- my -- my goal, as CFO, 19 20 is to provide transparency and make sure that groups like compliance and other things -- and 21 22 the other group in legal are -- are in -- you 23 know, their -- they're made aware of 24 transactions of -- you know, that are crossing 25 my desk.

Page 296 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Because I'm not in every 3 conversation. They're not in every conversation -- meaning legal compliance -- and 4 5 I just want to make sure that -- that everyone 6 is in sync to, you know, to -- to the extent possible. 7 So if we summarize, you don't 8 0. specifically remember signing these two notes, 9 but most likely it would have been that they 10 would have presented -- been presented to you 11 physically on paper? 12 13 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 14 15 They would -- they would have been Α. presented physically on paper most likely or 16 someone would have left it. But, I mean, 17 again, I don't -- I don't recall. 18 19 I understand. Understand. Ο. 20 When you signed -- when you signed documents, when you personally signed 21 documents, did you typically use a ink pen or 22 23 did you use a stamp? 24 No, I -- I -- I use a -- an -- an Α. 25 ink pen.

Page 297 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Ο. Do you know -- was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed 3 4 originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? 5 6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 7 of the question. Sorry, I just want to make sure I 8 Α. 9 understand your question. Are you saying is 10 there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? 11 12 Q. Yes. I would -- I would assume they're 13 Α. some place. 14 I mean --15 Q. Well, was there a -- was there a place where Highland generally kept originals 16 of promissory notes owed to it? 17 I wouldn't -- no. 18 Α. 19 MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you 20 please pull up my A7, alpha 7. 21 These are the two promissory notes, Ο. 22 Mr. Waterhouse. 23 (Exhibit A7 marked.) 24 And please -- Mr. Waterhouse, please Ο. 25 command my associate to scroll down as you need

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 to, but I want you to take a very close look at
- 3 your two signatures here and tell me whether
- 4 you believe, in fact, that you ink signed them
- 5 or whether you --
- 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Rukavina,
- 7 Mr. Waterhouse has the copies.
- 8 MR. RUKAVINA: Perfect. Then you
- 9 can take this down, Mr. Nguyen.
- 10 A. These -- these signatures
- 11 are identical, now that I stare at them, and I
- 12 mean, they are so close -- I mean, they're
- identical that, I mean, even with my chicken
- 14 scratch signature, I don't know if I can -- you
- 15 know, I do this 100 times, could I do that
- 16 as -- as precisely as I see between the two
- 17 notes.
- 18 Q. Well, that is why I ask.
- 19 Mr. Waterhouse, now that you have examined
- 20 them, does it seem like it is more likely that
- 21 you actually electronically signed these?
- 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 24 A. Is -- I don't -- I don't recall
- 25 specifically. As I said before, my assistant

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 did have a -- an electronic signature, and that
- 3 was used from time to time. It wasn't as
- 4 common practice back in 2019. It definitely
- 5 was more common practice when we had to work
- 6 from home and remotely for COVID because it
- 7 that made it almost impossible to, right,
- 8 provide wet signatures since we're all working
- 9 from home remotely.
- 10 Q. Well, going just for these two
- 11 promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse, in light of
- 12 your inability to remember any details, are you
- 13 sure you actually signed either or both of
- 14 those notes?
- MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 16 A. I don't recall specifically
- 17 signing -- actually physically signing these
- 18 notes. As I said before, I don't recall doing
- 19 that. This -- this looks like my signature,
- 20 but yet these two signatures are identical.
- 21 Q. So you don't recall physically
- 22 signing them, and I take it you don't recall
- 23 electronically signing them either?
- A. I don't recall. You know, Highland
- 25 has all my emails. If that occurred, you know,

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 you know, I don't have any of these records is
- 3 what I'm saying. I don't have any of those
- 4 records.
- 5 Q. That is why I'm asking you these
- 6 questions in great detail because I don't have
- 7 those emails. I'm trying to -- I'm hoping that
- 8 you will give me some names or some details so
- 9 I can go look for more emails, but again, you
- 10 don't remember any -- any individual, other
- 11 than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you
- don't remember any individual with whom you
- 13 discussed these promissory notes prior to their
- 14 execution?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 17 A. I don't recall discussing it with
- 18 anybody else.
- 19 O. Okay.
- 20 A. I mean, prior --
- 21 Q. I understand.
- 22 A. You know, there was no one else --
- 23 there was no one else in that meeting that I
- 24 recall with Mr. Dondero.
- Q. Now, when you established that by

Page 301

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

May of 2019 --

- A. And -- and from what I recall, and
- 4 the reason why I was by myself is -- is, you
- 5 know, I don't -- I don't want to speculate, I'm
- 6 sorry.
- 7 Q. Okay. We have established that by
- 8 May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of
- 9 HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct?
- 10 A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have
- 11 financial statements in front of me, but I
- 12 think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the
- 13 testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was
- 14 the case.
- 15 Q. In fact, you will recall that in
- 16 April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document
- 17 that extended the demand feature of two prior
- 18 notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that?
- 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you
- 20 might -- maybe have the court reporter read
- 21 that back. You might have misspoke.
- 22 (Record read.)
- MR. RUKAVINA: And I did misspeak.
- 24 Q. I meant to say to May 31, 2021. Do
- 25 you recall that, sir?

Page 302 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 3 of the question. 4 Yes. Α. 5 MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, just so that the record is clear, will you please 6 7 pull up my Exhibit Alpha 10, A10. (Exhibit A10 marked.) 8 You don't have this one in front of 9 Q. 10 you, Mr. Waterhouse? This is the one that Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you see that 11 12 document, sir? 13 Α. Yes, I do. And this is what you were testifying 14 O. about before when Mr. Morris was asking you. 15 Do you remember that? 16 17 Α. Yes. So here is my question for you, 18 Q. Mr. Waterhouse: As the chief financial officer 19 20 of Highland, was it prudent for Highland less 21 than three weeks later to be lending 22 \$7.2 million to an insolvent entity that 23 couldn't even then pay its debts back to 24 Highland? 25 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU:

Page 303 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 3 of the question. 4 Sorry, I just want to make sure --Α. are you asking me, did you say, was it prudent 5 for Highland to loan \$7.4 million to HCMFA a 6 7 few weeks after this document was executed? Yes, and at a time when HCMFA's 8 Ο. liabilities exceeded its assets. 9 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 11 of the question. 12 I don't -- it is odd. I don't know. Α. 13 MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this 14 exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen. 15 Q. Do you recall asking anyone, Mr. Dondero or -- or anyone outside as to 16 whether Highland ought to be lending 17 \$7.4 million to HCMF regarding HCMF's 18 creditworthiness? 19 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 21 of the question. 22 I don't recall. Α. 23 Did you receive personally any of Q. 24 that \$7.4 million? 25 Α. No.

```
Page 304
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2
          0.
                Did you even --
                MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that
 3
 4
          question, sir.
                MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he
 5
 6
          answered, John, or my new one?
 7
                MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question,
 8
          Davor.
                MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him
 9
10
          whether he received any of the
11
          $7.4 million. He said no.
12
                MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there
          was a question after that. Maybe I was
13
          mistaken. I apologize.
14
15
                MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new
          question, so here, let me start the new
16
17
          question again.
                Did you personally receive any
18
          0.
     direct benefit from those two notes for
19
     $7.4 million?
20
21
          Α.
                No.
22
                Did you ever personally consider
          0.
     yourself obligated to repay either or both of
23
24
     those notes?
25
          Α.
                No.
```

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:38 Page 365 of 396 Page 305 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes 3 again, Mr. Nguyen. 4 You can have them in front of you, 5 Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier 6 for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include 7 your title as treasurer by your name, Frank 8 9 Waterhouse? 10 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Α. I didn't -- I didn't draft this 11 12 document. 13 0. So you relied on whoever drafted it 14 to draft it correctly? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. But back then when you signed 0. this, did it ever cross your mind that you were 17 the maker on these notes? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Back then when you signed this Q. 21

- document, did it ever cross your mind that you
- 22 could be a co-obligor on these notes?
- 23 No. I didn't receive \$7.4 million, Α.
- 24 I mean...
- 25 But can you say that HCMFA received Q.

2 \$7.4 million?

1

3 A. I would have to go back and look and

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 4 check in, you know, the -- the financial
- 5 records and the bank statements.
- 6 MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this
- 7 exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen.
- Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I'm not trying to be
- 9 a smart-ass, but if the law says that because
- 10 of the way that you signed this promissory
- 11 note, if that is what the law says, that that
- 12 made you personally -- personally liable, then
- 13 you would agree with me that that was never
- 14 your intent?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 17 A. That was never -- I wouldn't sign a
- 18 note and not get consideration in return.
- 19 Q. So putting all other issues aside,
- 20 if the law -- if the law says that you were
- 21 liable for those notes because of how you
- 22 signed them, then would you agree with me that
- 23 these notes are a mistake?
- 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.

```
Page 307
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
                MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the
 3
          form.
 4
          Α.
                Yes.
 5
                So do you agree with me that it's
          0.
 6
     odd -- I think that is the word you used --
 7
     that Highland would be loaning $7.4 million a
     few weeks after that extension to an entity
 8
 9
     whose liabilities exceeded its assets, and you
10
     would agree with me that it was never your
     intention to be in any way liable for these two
11
     promissory notes; correct?
12
13
                MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
14
          of the question.
15
                Sorry, you -- you asked a lot there.
          Α.
16
                MR. RUKAVINA: I will strike it and
     I will move on.
17
18
                Let's go to -- pull up Exhibit 9,
     please Mr. Nguyen -- Alpha 9, I'm sorry, Alpha
19
     9, A9.
20
21
                 (Exhibit A9 marked.)
22
                Sir, take a moment to look at this,
          0.
     but this is an email, and you will see attached
23
24
     July 31, 2020 affiliate notes.
25
                Do you see that attachment?
```

Page 308 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Yes. 3 Okay. And do you see an entry for Q. 4 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? 5 MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. 6 Where are you looking? 7 MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the 8 9 screen? 10 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page 11 12 there. 13 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? 14 Q. 15 Α. Yes. 16 About \$10.5 million. Q. 17 Do you see that? 18 I do. Α. 19 And, now, do you have any 0. 20 explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million, plus the 5.3 million that had been extended, 21 22 why that amount was only 10.5 million? 23 Α. I don't know. Okay. MR. RUKAVINA: Close this one and 24 25 pull up, Mr. Nguyen, the schedules,

Page 309 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this 3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen? This is All. 4 MR. NGUYEN: 5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be All. (Exhibit All marked.) 6 7 You don't have this in front of you, 0. Mr. Waterhouse? 8 9 Α. Okay. 10 This is what Mr. Morris used 0. earlier. Do you remember looking at this with 11 12 Mr. Morris? 13 Α. Yes. 14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. 15 16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and 17 С. 18 Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted? 19 20 Α. I don't. I testified earlier that, you know, the team worked with DSI in providing 21 22 these. I -- I don't -- I don't know. 23 Can we deduce -- is it logical to Ο. 24 deduce that Affiliate Note A would be NexPoint 25 given its size of \$24.5 million?

Page 310 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 3 of the question. I mean, it -- it is a -- it is -- it 4 Α. 5 is approximate. 6 Ο. Well, can we -- can we deduce -- or, 7 I'm sorry, strike that. Can you, sitting here today, 8 9 logically conclude that Affiliate Note B or C 10 represents HCMFA? 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 12 of the question. 13 Α. I don't know. I don't know. 14 can't. 15 Okay. As of the petition date, we Ο. have established that HCMFA, under promissory 16 notes, owed \$7.4 million and \$5.3 million to 17 the debtor; correct? 18 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. And by my reckoning, that Q. would be somewhere approaching \$13 million. 23 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 25 of the question.

Page 311 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 It would be \$12.7 million. Is that Q. 3 generally correct? 4 Sorry, the amounts were 7.4, 5.3. Α. 5 Yes. Ο. 6 Α. Okay. Yeah, that -- I can 7 do that math, yes. Do you have any explanation or any 8 Ο. 9 understanding of why there is no similar entry 10 listed here on the schedule of assets filed with the bankruptcy court? 11 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 13 of the question. 14 Α. I don't know. We have to look at 15 the supporting schedules, like I talked about other -- presumably there is -- there is a 16 build to the schedule that would provide the 17 18 detail. 19 Well, that was going to be my next Ο. 20 question. You anticipated it. 21 MR. RUKAVINA: You can -- you can 22 take this down, Mr. Nguyen. 23 Do you believe that whenever you and Q. 24 your team provided the underlying data to the

financial advisor that the actual names of the

25

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would
- 3 have been listed there?
- 4 A. Are you asking we provided the names
- 5 to the financial advisor? I don't -- I don't
- 6 understand who the financial advisor is.
- 7 Q. I'm sorry, DSI.
- 8 Let me ask the question this way,
- 9 Mr. Waterhouse.
- 10 Whenever you provided information
- 11 about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you
- 12 believe that you would have included the actual
- 13 names of the affiliates, you or your team, or
- 14 that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,
- 15 Note B, Note C?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the
- 19 form.
- 20 A. We -- like I testified earlier, when
- 21 we were -- we gave everything to -- to DSI. We
- 22 were giving all of our records, all of our
- 23 files, everything to DSI. We weren't redacting
- 24 information or saying, hey, here is a note,
- 25 here is Affiliate Note A or B.

Page 313 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I mean, it was -- our job and our 3 focus -- and I testified in court back in 2019; 4 right -- was -- was to be transparent and, you 5 know, get DSI up to speed on -- on the matters 6 at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at 7 that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you 8 9 please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. 10 Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. 11 12 Now, you recall being asked about 0. 13 this by Mr. Morris? Yes, I do. 14 Α. 15 Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a 16 demand note. 17 You wrote that; right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And, in fact, weren't there by that Ο. 20 point in time several notes? 21 Yes, there were. Again, I don't --Α. 22 I don't remember everything specifically. I 23 mean --I understand. I understand. 24 Ο. 25 So this is an example where -- where

Page 314 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you might have made a mistake by referring to a 3 singular instead of a plural; right? Α. Yes. 4 5 0. Okay. And you -- you wrote -- a 6 couple of sentences later, you wrote: There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the 7 earliest they could demand is May 2021. 8 9 You wrote that; right? 10 Α. Yes. But I think you -- you agreed with 11 Q. Mr. Morris that that can't possibly apply to 12 13 the May 2019 notes, can it? 14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 15 of the question. That is not what he 16 testified to. Let me ask -- let me ask a different 17 Ο. question. 18 19 Sitting here today -- or if you can 20 answer me from your memory on October 6, 2020 -- did the April acknowledgment that 21 22 extended the maturity date apply to the 23 May 2019 notes also? 24 I don't recall specifically. Α. 25 Well, you recall that the notes that

Q.

Page 315 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you signed were demand notes; right? Α. Yes. 3 Do you find it logical, based on 4 Ο. 5 your experience, that had they intended to have a different or a set maturity date, you would 6 have instructed that that set maturity date be 7 included instead of a demand feature? 8 9 Objection to the form MR. MORRIS: 10 of the question. Sorry, just want to make sure I 11 Α. understand. You are saying that -- that the 12 13 \$5 million note, the \$2.4 million note, if 14 those were supposed to be a term note, that I would have made sure that those were a term 15 16 note? I'm saying -- I'm saying, 17 0. Mr. Waterhouse, that on May the 2nd and May the 18 3rd, 2019, if you intended that those two 19 20 promissory notes could not be called until May 2021, would you have included such language in 21 22 those two promissory notes? 23 Objection to the form MR. MORRIS:

of the question.

24

Page 316 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. putting language in those May notes. I don't 3 remember what language you are referring to. 4 Well, let's read this again. Ο. 5 There was an agreement between HCMLP 6 and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 7 2021. Do you recall that agreement? 8 9 Yes, that was the agreement we Α. 10 looked at earlier; correct? 11 Q. Okay. Yes. 12 Do you -- do you understand now that 13 that agreement that we looked at earlier also 14 applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? 15 Α. I don't -- I don't know. 16 But as of October 6, 2020, you're 0. writing that there is one demand note and 17 you're categorizing that demand note as not 18 19 being demandable on May 2021; correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And you know now that you made at Q. 22 least one mistake in this email; correct? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 24 of the question.

25

Α.

Yes.

Page 317 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this 3 down, Mr. Nguyen. 4 So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't 5 remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these 6 loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million 7 to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. 8 9 We don't see on the July list of 10 notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, 11 and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are 12 13 confused. 14 Are you prepared to tell me, sir, 15 today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? 16 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. I -- I don't know. 19 Α. 20 And if it turns out that you're Q. personally liable for those promissory notes, 21 22 it would certainly be a mistake, wouldn't it? 23 Objection to the MS. DANDENEAU: 24 form. 25 MR. MORRIS: Join.

Page 318 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes. 3 If Mr. Dondero testifies that he Ο. 4 never told you to make these loans, would you 5 disagree with his testimony? 6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 7 of the question. Like I testified earlier with my 8 Α. conversation with Mr. Dondero, all I recall is 9 10 he said, get the money from Highland. And if Mr. Dondero testifies that 11 Ο. he, in consultation with other senior personnel 12 13 at Highland, decided that Highland needed to 14 pay HCMFA \$7.4 million as compensation for the 15 NAV error and not a loan, would you have any reason to disagree with Mr. Dondero? 16 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 18 If that was -- if that was his 19 Α. 20 intent, yes, it would -- I would --21 Ο. Do you have any reason to disagree 22 with him? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 24 of the question. If that was his intent, I don't 25 Α.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 know. I don't know how I disagree with that.
- 3 Q. And just to confirm, you don't
- 4 remember ever asking Mr. Dondero whether you
- 5 should have two promissory notes prepared?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And you don't remember discussing
- 8 with Mr. Dondero what the terms of those two
- 9 promissory notes should be?
- 10 A. I don't recall -- I testified all I
- 11 recall is he said, get the money from Highland.
- 12 I don't -- the -- the terms of the note, I
- don't recall ever having a discussion around
- 14 the terms of the note, but since I don't draft
- 15 the notes, that -- there could have been a
- 16 conversation with other people later.
- 17 Q. Do you have any memory of whether
- 18 after the notes were drafted, but before you
- 19 signed them, that you communicated with
- 20 Mr. Dondero in any way to just confirm or -- or
- 21 get his blessing or ratification to signing
- 22 those notes?
- 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 25 A. I don't recall.

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:38 Page 309 of 396 Page 320 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. Again, the only thing you remember, sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get 3 the money from Highland, and that is it, that 4 is all you remember? 5 6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 7 of the question. I testified to that several times. 8 Α. 9 This was over two years ago. A lot has 10 happened. That is all I recall. And help me here. I'm not very 11 Ο. technologically astute. When you -- and I -- I 12 13 recognize that you do it rarely, but when you 14 sign a document electronically, do you believe 15 that there is an electronic record of you 16 having authorized or signed a document electronically? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 19 of the question. 20 I -- I don't know the tech answer to Α. that, but, you know, since I don't have -- I 21

- 20 A. I -- I don't know the tech answer to
 21 that, but, you know, since I don't have -- I
 22 don't ever attach my signature block
 23 electronically, my assistant would have done
 24 that, and if that is done over email like we
- 25 did several times -- you know, multiple,

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my
- 3 signature block and then email it out to
- 4 whatever party.
- 5 Q. What was your assistant's name in
- 6 May 2019?
- 7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.
- 8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was
- 9 she your only assistant that would have maybe
- 10 facilitated logistically something like you
- 11 just described?
- 12 A. You know, she was out on maternity
- 13 leave at some point. I don't -- I don't recall
- 14 those dates where she was out for maternity
- 15 leave. There was -- there were folks backing
- 16 her up. I don't recall specifically who
- 17 those -- who those, you know, administrative
- 18 assistants were, and I don't recall
- 19 specifically if she was out during this time on
- 20 maternity leave.
- I do know that that she was out for
- 22 a period of time, or who knows, or she could
- 23 have been on vacation that day or, you know, I
- 24 don't know.
- Q. Switching gears now, the two

Page 322 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. complaints that have been filed that is against HCMFA and NexPoint, did you see any drafts of 3 4 those complaints before they were filed? 5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 6 of the question, and to the extent that you 7 had any communications with counsel or you were shown drafts of the complaints by 8 9 counsel while you were employed by 10 Highland, I direct you not to answer. I -- I reviewed documents yesterday 11 with counsel here. I believe that is the first 12 13 time I have ever seen those. 14 Okay. Did you ever discuss with Ο. Mr. Seery these two lawsuits before or after 15 they were filed? 16 17 I don't recall. Α. Were you ever interviewed by legal 18 Q. 19 counsel, to your knowledge, about these 20 promissory notes before the complaints were Without going into what was said, were 21 filed? 22 you ever interviewed by legal counsel? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 24 of the question. 25 I don't recall. Α.

Case 21-03005-sqi Doc 86-4 Filed 10/29/21 Entered 10/29/21 27:38:58 Page 323 of 396 Page 323 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Obviously with COVID, it changed, Ο. but -- but before COVID, did you used to meet 3 with Mr. Seery from time to time in-person? 4 Yeah, I mean, so before COVID -- so 5 Α. 6 we're talking kind of late March, early April, right, there was about -- I don't remember the 7 specific date when the board for Highland was 8 9 appointed. I believe it was around February of 10 2020, so maybe there was a month-and-a-half, two-month window where we were meeting 11 in-person or, you know, like we were actually 12 13 in the office, excuse me, we were in the 14 office. 15 And, you know, when they were first appointed, the board members and Mr. Seery 16 were -- were definitely down here more 17 18 in-person. 19 Did you ever see Mr. Seery taking Ο. 20 written notes of -- of his meetings with you or 21 others? 22 Α. I don't recall.

- 23 Do you recall on any Zoom or video 0.
- 24 conference with Mr. Seery, seeing him take
- 25 notes, written notes?

Page 324 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. The Zoom calls we had, I don't recall having seen video or, you know, or if it 3 was on Zoom, I just remember it being -- well, 4 5 no, you know what, there were some -- you know, I take that back. 6 7 So there were -- there were some times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery 8 9 on -- on some of the Zoom calls. 10 Well, let me --Q. I don't -- sorry, I'm thinking. 11 Α. thinking -- I'm going back. I'm trying to 12 13 process this. I can make it much quicker, 14 0. 15 Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard -- I have heard 16 that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker. 17 Do you have any knowledge about 18 that? 19 Α. No. 20 Okay. Switching gears yet again, Q. and this will be last theme. Do you need a 21 restroom break, or are you good to go for 22 23 another half an hour? 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I need a 25 restroom break.

```
Page 325
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
                MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five
 3
          minutes?
                THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be
 4
 5
          great.
 6
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
 7
          record at 5:53 p.m.
          (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.)
 8
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
 9
10
          record at 5:59 p.m.
                Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you
11
          Ο.
12
     earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the
13
     debtor, and now I'm going to talk about
     contracts between the debtor and NexPoint
14
15
     Advisors.
                Okay?
16
          Α.
                Okay.
17
                Now, were there contracts similar to
          Ο.
     the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the
18
19
     nature of employee reimbursement and shared
     services?
20
21
                Yes, they -- NexPoint Advisors and
          Α.
     Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had
22
     cost reimbursement and shared services
23
24
     agreements with Highland Capital Management,
25
     L.P.
```

Page 326 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21And was that shared services Ο. agreement, to the best of your understanding, 3 in place as of December 31, 2020? 4 It was -- it was terminated at some 5 Α. 6 point, and I remember the contracts had 7 different termination dates, but I think the -the date of termination was January 31st of 9 2021, after the termination was put in. 10 So yeah, it would be in place at the end of the year of December -- it would be in 11 place at December 31st, 2020. 12 13 0. And pursuant to that agreement as of 14 December 31st, 2020, was the debtor providing 15 what you would describe as back office services 16 to NexPoint? 17 Α. Yes. Would those have included accounting 18 Q. services? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And as part of those accounting services, would the debtor have assisted 22 NexPoint with paying its bills? 23 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

of the question.

25

Page 327 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes. 3 So let's break that up. You were a 0. treasurer of NexPoint as well in December of 4 5 2020? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 6 7 of the question. Α. Yes. 8 9 Okay. And in December of 2020, did Q. 10 NexPoint have its own bank accounts? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Ο. And did it use those bank accounts 13 to pay various of its obligations? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Did employees of the debtor have the ability to cause transfers to be made from 16 17 those bank accounts on behalf of NexPoint? 18 Α. Yes. And is that one of services that the 19 Ο. 20 debtor provided NexPoint, basically ensuring 21 that accounts payable and other obligations 22 would be paid? 23 Α. Yes. 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 25 of the question.

Page 328 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 0. You answered yes? 3 Α. Yes. And the payments, though, whose 4 Ο. 5 funds would they be made from? From the bank account of NexPoint 6 Α. 7 If they were NexPoint advisor Advisors. obligations, it would be made from NexPoint 8 Advisors' bank account. 9 10 So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that -- it is my Tab 1 or my 11 12 Exhibit 1. 13 (Exhibit A1 marked.) 14 O. So this is a -- this is a series of 15 emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between 16 Kristin Hendrix and yourself. 17 18 Do you see that, sir? 19 Α. I do. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix 20 Q. 21 writes: NPA. 22 Do you know what NPA stood for? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And what does it stand for? Q. NexPoint Advisors. 25 Α.

Page 329 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Ο. And was that how you-all internally 3 at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? 4 I mean, yes, amongst other things. Α. And she writes at the bottom of her 5 Ο. 6 email: Okay to release? 7 Do you see that? Α. Yes, I do. 8 9 Q. So what --10 MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. 11 Okay. Go ahead. 12 MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. 13 Ο. So what is -- what is Ms. Hendrix 14 here on November 25 asking of you? 15 She is asking me -- so she -- these Α. 16 are -- these are payments -- typically we would 17 do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date, 18 19 it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend. 20 21 So this is the day before 22 Thanksgiving, so this is the last kind of --23 kind of day before the holidays and vacation 24 and things of that nature. So it is 25 effectively the Friday of that week.

Page 330 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 So she is -- she is putting in all the payments for the week because we batch 3 4 payments weekly. And these are the payments 5 that go out that week, and she is informing me 6 of the payments and -- you know, again, at the bottom of the email, she is asking for my okay 7 to -- to release these payments in the wire 8 9 system. 10 So these would be accounts payable Ο. of NexPoint? 11 12 I mean, it would be accounts payable Α. 13 for all of these entities listed on this email. 14 Q. And who was Ms. Hendrix employed by in November and December of 2020? 15 16 Α. Highland Capital Management. 17 Okay. So -- so part of the services 0. that NexPoint had contracted with was for 18 Highland to ensure that NexPoint timely paid 19 20 its accounts payable; is that accurate? 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 22 of the question. You have got to be 23 kidding me. 24 Is that accurate? Ο. 25 Α. Yes.

Page 331 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 0. And did NexPoint rely on employees 3 of the debtor to ensure that NexPoint's accounts payable were timely paid? 4 5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 6 of the question. 7 Α. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: Let's flip to the 8 9 next page, Mr. Nguyen, if you will please 10 scroll to the next page. So this is an email similar to the 11 Ο. prior one, November 30th. 12 13 Do you see where it says, NPA HCMFA, 14 USD \$325,000 one-day loan? 15 Do you see that, sir? 16 I do. Α. Do you have any memory of what that 17 Q. 18 was? I don't recall what that -- what 19 Α. 20 that payment was for. 21 Did it sometimes occur that one Ο. 22 advisor would, on very short-terms, make loans to another advisor? 23 24 Α. Yes. This -- this -- this occurred 25 from -- from -- from time to time. It actually

Page 332 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212. looking at -- I'm -- I'm looking at the date of this email. It is November 30th. It is the 3 last day of the month. 4 5 HCMFA has obligations it needs to pay to its broker-dealer, which is HCFD. 6 it likely was short funds to make those 7 obligations under that -- under its agreement, 8 9 and so it provided a one-day loan because on 10 the next business day on 12/1 -- or the next business day in December, it would receive 11 management fees from the underlying funds that 12 13 it managed and it would be able to pay back that loan to NexPoint Advisors. 14 15 0. So -- so here Ms. Hendrix was 16 seeking your approval to transfer \$325,000 from NexPoint to HCMFA for a one-day loan; is that 17 18 correct? 19 Α. That is correct. 20 Let's flip to the next page, sir. Q. 21 MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, if 22 you will please scroll down. 23 Ο. Now we have as an entry for 24 \$325,000, 11/30 loan payment. 25 Do you see that, sir?

Page 333 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes. And that is probably the loan that 3 Ο. 4 was approved on the prior page? 5 Α. Yes, most likely. 6 Ο. So is it also true, sir, that in 7 addition to accounts payable debtor employees would be assisting NexPoint with respect to 8 9 paying back its debt? 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 11 12 I mean, yes, for loans of this Α. 13 nature, yes. Well, what about long term loans? 14 Ο. 15 Was it reasonable for NexPoint to expect debtor employees to ensure that NexPoint timely paid 16 its obligations under long-term notes? 17 18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 19 of the question. 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 I mean, that is one of the things Α. 22 that the Highland personnel did provide to the 23 advisors. Yes, we would -- we would -- over 24 the years, yes, we -- we -- we did do 25 that generally. Again, I don't remember

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 specifically but, yes, generally we -- you
- 3 know, we did do that.
- 4 Q. So do you recall -- and we can pull
- 5 it up, if need be -- that under the NexPoint
- 6 note that Mr. Morris asked you about earlier,
- 7 the one for more than \$30 million, that
- 8 NexPoint was obligated to make an annual
- 9 payment of principal and interest?
- 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 12 A. Yes, it was -- yes, it -- it was an
- 13 amortizing note. It was -- you know, from what
- 14 we reviewed earlier, it was payable by
- 15 December 31st of each year. So -- but are --
- 16 are you asking me --
- 17 Q. I'm just asking you, sir, if you
- 18 recall the note.
- 19 A. Yes, the \$30 million note, yes, we
- 20 reviewed it earlier, yes.
- 21 Q. And do you recall Mr. Morris had you
- 22 go through the fact that NexPoint had made
- 23 payments in years prior to 2020 on that note?
- 24 A. I do.
- Q. And do you believe that employees of

Page 335 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. the debtor would have played any role in NexPoint having made those prior payments? 3 Objection to the form 4 MR. MORRIS: of the question. 5 6 Α. Yes. And what role in years prior to 2020 7 Ο. would employees of the debtor have had with 8 9 respect to NexPoint making that annual payment? 10 We -- we -- we would have -- I keep saying "we." The team would have calculated 11 any amounts due under that loan and other 12 loans, as -- as standard course. 13 14 We would -- since we provided 15 treasury services to the advisors, we would inform the -- the -- we informed 16 Mr. Dondero of any cash obligations that are 17 forthcoming, whether we do cash projections. 18 19 If, you know, any of these payments would have -- or, you know, the sum total of 20 all of these payments, including any note 21 22 payments, if there were any cash shortfalls, we would have informed Mr. Dondero of any cash 23 24 shortfalls. We could adequately plan, you

know, in instances like that.

25

Page 336 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Or, sorry, we -- I say "we" -- I keep saying "we" -- I keep wearing my -- again, 3 my -- my treasurer hat. 4 5 But, yes, it is to -- it is to 6 inform Mr. Dondero of the obligations of the advisors in terms of cash and obligations that 7 are -- are upcoming and that -- and that are --8 9 are scheduled to be paid. 10 And would those obligations that are upcoming and scheduled to be paid prior to 2020 11 have incurred the annual payment on that 12 13 NexPoint \$30 million note? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 14 15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Davor, I think you misspoke. You might want to just 16 17 repeat the question. 18 Q. Okay. Let me repeat the question, sir. 19 20 Prior to 2020, those services that you just described, would that -- on behalf of 21 the debtor, would that have included NexPoint's 22 payments on the \$30 million note? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 So someone at the debtor in treasury Q.

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- 2 or accounting would have sent some schedule or
- 3 a reminder that a payment would be coming due
- 4 in the future. Is that generally the practice?
- 5 A. Yes, we would -- you know, again, I
- 6 didn't -- I didn't micromanage the teams, but
- 7 we had a -- a corporate accounting calendar
- 8 that we use as kind of a tickler file to keep
- 9 track of payments.
- I actually, you know, don't know how
- 11 actively they're using that in -- in prior to
- 12 2020, but it was actively used at some point.
- We did look at NexPoint cash
- 14 periodically and cash for the other advisors as
- 15 well and payments. You know, we -- payments
- 16 like this would have appeared in our cash
- 17 projections, in the advisor's cash projections.
- 18 And, again, as like I said earlier,
- 19 they would have appeared there, so there would
- 20 be time to plan for making any of these
- 21 payments.
- Q. And based on your experience, would
- 23 it have been reasonable for NexPoint to rely on
- 24 the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an
- 25 upcoming payment due on the \$30 million

Page 338 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. promissory note? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to form of the question. 4 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Yes. Yes, they did. I mean, but I 6 Α. 7 mean, but I don't think these -- these notes were any secret to anybody. 8 9 I understand, and I'm not suggesting Q. 10 otherwise. MR. RUKAVINA: Please pull up Alpha 11 2, Mr. Nguyen. 12 13 (Exhibit A2 marked.) 14 O. Now, this document is similar to the 15 ones we've seen before as of December 31, 2020, 16 and I don't see under NTA anything there for paying the promissory note to Highland. 17 18 Do you see anything like that? 19 Α. I do not. 20 MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull that --21 that exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen. 22 You are aware, of course, by now 0. that, in fact, NexPoint failed to make the 23 payment due December 31, 2020, are you not? 24 I am aware, and yes, I do understand 25 Α.

Page 339 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. it. Were you aware that Highland 3 0. 4 accelerated that \$30 million promissory note? 5 Α. I am aware. 6 Ο. Were you aware of that acceleration 7 at the time that it occurred? I don't remember specifically. 8 Α. 9 Do you recall whether anyone asked Q. 10 you -- prior to the acceleration, anyone asked you at Highland, what Highland should do with 11 respect to the missed payment? 12 13 Α. Did anyone ask me what Highland 14 should do about the missed payment? 15 Q. Yes, before acceleration. 16 Objection to the form MR. MORRIS: 17 of the question. I mean, what -- what I recall is 18 Α. 19 there was the -- sorry, are you asking me --20 MS. DANDENEAU: Why don't you just 21 repeat the question, Mr. Rukavina. 22 Let me try again, Mr. Waterhouse, Ο. 23 let me try again. 24 I am saying you're the CFO of 25 someone, in this case, Highland, and the

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 borrower failed to make the required payment.
- 3 Are you with me so far?
- 4 A. I am.
- 5 Q. Did anyone then ask you, what should
- 6 we do with respect to our rights against the
- 7 borrower that missed the payment?
- 8 A. Not that I recall.
- 9 Q. Did you play a role in the decision
- 10 to accelerate that \$30 million promissory note?
- 11 A. I did not.
- 12 Q. Do you recall whether Mr. Seery ever
- 13 asked you before the acceleration as to whether
- 14 he should accelerate the note?
- 15 A. I don't recall.
- 16 Q. And you don't recall when you
- 17 learned of the acceleration itself?
- 18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 19 of that question.
- 20 A. It was -- it was sometime in
- 21 early -- in early 2021. I don't remember
- 22 specifically.
- Q. But do you recall whether it was
- 24 after the acceleration had already been
- 25 transmitted?

Page 341 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the 3 form of the question. 4 I don't recall. Α. 5 Do you recall in early to mid 0. January of 2021, after the default, discussing 6 7 the default with Mr. Dondero? Α. I do recall discussing with 8 9 Mr. Dondero after December 31, 2020? 10 Yes, the fact of the default. Q. 11 I don't recall. Α. 12 MR. RUKAVINA: Let's pull up my 13 Exhibit 6, Alpha 6. (Exhibit A6 marked.) 14 15 MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, if 16 you will please scroll down. 17 This email chain begins with you 0. writing to Ms. Hendrix on January the 12th: 18 NexPoint note to HCMLP. 19 20 Do you see that, sir? 21 Α. I do. 22 Were you discussing this same 0. \$30 million note we're talking about right now 23 24 with Ms. Hendrix? 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- Q. Okay. Do you recall what prompted
- 3 you to send that email to her?
- 4 A. Yes, I had -- I had a conversation
- 5 with Jim.
- 6 Q. Okay. And what -- what did you
- 7 discuss with Jim that led to this email chain?
- 8 A. He -- he called me and he said he
- 9 wanted to make payment on the NexPoint note,
- 10 and I didn't -- I didn't know the -- the amount
- 11 offhand, so I reached out to Kristin and got
- 12 the details and relayed that to him.
- 13 Q. And you see you sent that email to
- 14 her at 11:15 a.m. Does that help you remember
- 15 when you had this discussion with Mr. Dondero?
- 16 In other words, was it that morning or the day
- 17 before, or can you -- can you --
- 18 A. No, it was -- it was that morning.
- 19 Q. And do you recall how you had that
- 20 conversation with him?
- 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- Q. By telephone, by email, in-person?
- 24 A. Yeah, he -- he called me. I was at
- 25 home. We were working from home here in

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 December of 2020. He called me from home. He
- 3 said he was in court. He wanted to -- he asked
- 4 about, you know, making payment on the note and
- 5 the amount, and so I didn't have those numbers
- 6 in front of me, so I said I would get back to
- 7 him. I wanted all the details, so here is
- 8 this -- so I reached out to Kristin.
- 9 Q. And then she gave you that
- 10 \$1,406,000 figure?
- MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, if you
- 12 will scroll up, please.
- 13 A. Yes. Yeah, she -- the \$1,406,112.
- 14 Q. And do you recall whether you
- 15 conveyed that amount to Mr. Dondero?
- 16 A. Yes. I -- I called him back and
- 17 gave him -- gave him this amount.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of whether NexPoint,
- in fact, then made that 1 million 406 and
- 20 change payment?
- 21 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Dondero at
- 23 that time, either the first conference or the
- 24 second conference that day -- strike that.
- When you conveyed the number to

Page 344 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21Mr. Dondero, was -- was it also on January 3 12th? 4 Sorry, when I conveyed the Α. \$1.4 million number? 5 6 0. Yes. 7 Yes, yes, it was that -- it was --Α. So you had --8 Q. 9 It was that point. Α. 10 Well, to the best of your Ο. recollection, you had a conference with 11 Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, 12 13 and then another conference with him by 14 telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? 15 Α. Yeah, I can't remember -- yeah, it was either that morning or it could have been, 16 you know, early afternoon, but again, I 17 remember calling him back, relaying this 18 information to him, and he said, okay, pay --19 20 you know, make -- make this payment. 21 And during either of those two Ο. 22 calls, did you tell Mr. Dondero anything to the effect that making those -- I'm sorry, making 23 24 that payment would not de-accelerate the 25 promissory note?

Page 345 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. No. 3 Did you tell him anything to the 0. effect that making that payment would not cure 4 5 the default? 6 Α. No. 7 Did you discuss that in any way with Ο. him? 8 9 No, I did not. Α. 10 Did he say why he wanted to have Q. that \$1.4 million payment made? 11 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 13 of the question. He -- he -- he didn't go into 14 Α. 15 specifics. 16 Did he say anything to you to the effect that if NexPoint makes that payment, 17 then the note will be de-accelerated? 18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 19 20 of the question. 21 I don't recall. Α. 22 MR. RUKAVINA: You can put this one 23 down, Mr. Nguyen. 24 And, again, when you say you don't Ο. 25 recall, you mean you don't remember right now

Page 346 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. either way; correct? 3 Yeah, I don't remember. I don't Α. 4 remember us discussing that. 5 Now -- and we're almost done, I Ο. 6 promise. I'm just going to -- I don't know how 7 to ask this question, so I'm just going to try to do my best. 8 9 Prior to the default on December 31, 10 2020, did Mr. Seery ever tell you any words to the effect that you or someone at Highland 11 12 should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its 13 payment? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Did you have any hint or any belief that anyone at NexPoint -- I'm sorry, strike 16 17 that. 18 Did you have any reason to believe 19 that anyone with Highland was actively trying 20 to get NexPoint to make that default by not paying on December 31? 21 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question.

24

Α.

Are you asking, did any Highland

Page 347 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. somehow --3 Let me take a step back. 0. Yes. Let 4 me take a step back. 5 So you are aware now that as a 6 result of that default, what was still some 7 25-year note was accelerated and became immediately due. You are aware of that now; 8 right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 And can you see how someone at Q. Highland might actually have been pleased with 12 13 that development? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form. 14 15 Ο. Not that they were --- not that they were pleased, but you can see how someone at 16 Highland might have been pleased with that 17 development? 18 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. 22 I don't know how they would have Α. 23 reacted to that. 24 Okay. But you're not -- you're not Ο. 25 aware of any instructions or any actions being

Page 348 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the 3 independent board, DSI, that -- that would have 4 basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? 5 6 Α. I'm not aware. 7 In other words, there wasn't a trick Ο. or a settlement; right? 8 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to 10 form. 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. 12 MR. MORRIS: Object to form. 13 Α. I'm not aware. 14 Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in 15 every conversation. I mean, and I'm just --16 again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of 17 the year. Again, I'm not aware. That is a perfectly legitimate 18 Ο. 19 I don't know why -- why you think 20 otherwise. 21 Okay. Just give me one second to 22 compose my thoughts. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're 24 taking your one second, why don't we take

three minutes. I will be right back.

25

```
Page 349
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2
                VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off
 3
          the record?
 4
                MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.
 5
                VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're
 6
          going off the record at 6:27 p.m.
 7
          (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)
                VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
 8
 9
          record at 6:30 p.m.
10
                MR. HORN: Is Deb back?
11
                MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about
               I'm here.
12
          me?
13
                MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see
14
          you, sorry.
15
                Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so
          Q.
16
     when you had --
17
                MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about
          Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there
18
19
          are a lot -- as we talked about, a lot of
20
          Debs. I'm here.
21
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.
22
                MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about
23
          DDP.
24
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.
25
                MR. HORN: Okay. Here we go.
                                                I'm
```

Page 350 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 going back on mute. 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Get the right nomenclature. 4 5 Mr. Waterhouse, on January 12th, Ο. 6 2021, when you had those talks with Mr. Dondero about the \$1.4 million payment, did you have a 7 communication or a conversation with Mr. Seery 8 9 about that payment after January 12th, 2021? 10 Α. I don't recall. 11 Well, in response to Mr. Dondero Q. reaching out to you, do you recall on that day, 12 13 January 12th, talking to Mr. Seery or anyone at 14 Highland other than the email chain we just saw 15 about Mr. Dondero's call with you? 16 Α. Did I talk to -- I spoke with Kristin -- I don't know if I spoke to her. 17 likely spoke to Kristin Hendrix because we had 18 19 to get the wire on NexPoint's behalf to make 20 the payment to Highland. 21 So it is true, then, that -- that Ο. 22 employees of the debtor did actually cause that payment to be made when it was made after 23 24 January 12th? 25 Yes, I mean, we -- we -- as I Α.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 testified earlier, we provided that accounting
- 3 finance treasury function as -- under the
- 4 shared services agreement. And so once I
- 5 got the -- I talked to Jim, got the approval to
- 6 make this payment, we have to then make the
- 7 payment, or the team does, and so the payment
- 8 was made.
- 9 Q. Okay. But -- okay. And -- and
- 10 sitting here right now, after Jim called you,
- 11 you don't remember talking to anyone other than
- 12 the -- the couple of people you mentioned,
- 13 talking to anyone about something to the effect
- 14 that, hey, Jim wants to make this payment now?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 17 A. I don't -- I don't recall.
- 18 Q. And does that include legal counsel?
- 19 Without going into any detail, on
- 20 January 12th or before that payment was made,
- 21 did you consult with legal counsel about
- 22 anything having to do with the \$1.4 million
- 23 payment?
- 24 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Thank you, sir, for your

```
Page 352
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 2.
     time.
 3
                MR. RUKAVINA: Pass the witness.
 4
                MR. MORRIS: I just have a few
 5
          questions, if I may.
 6
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Don't you go at
 7
          the end?
 8
                MR. MORRIS: Oh, I apologize. He is
 9
          your witness. I'm surprised you want to
10
          ask him questions, but go right ahead.
11
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just have a
12
          couple of things.
13
                MR. RUKAVINA: And I will just
14
          object to that, that he's our witness.
          That's not --
15
16
                MR. MORRIS: I'm not talking to you.
17
          I'm not talking to you.
                MS. DANDENEAU: Also, Mr. Morris, it
18
19
          is -- it is --
20
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He is not my
21
          witness. He's been subpoenaed by you.
22
          Okay?
23
                That is no offense, Mr. Waterhouse,
24
          I'm -- I'm not -- okay. Anyway.
25
                       EXAMINATION
```

Page 353 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: 3 Good evening. I'm very sorry to be 0. 4 going last and I know you have had a long and taxing day, so I thank you for indulging me. 5 The kinds of services that you 6 7 describe that the -- that Highland provided for NexPoint, did Highland also provide similar 8 services to that to HCRE and HCMS? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 12 13 0. What kind of services did Highland 14 provide to HCRE and HCMS? 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 of the question. 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What is your 18 objection, John? 19 MR. MORRIS: It is vague and 20 ambiguous. Unlike the advisors and 21 NexPoint, they actually had shared services 22 agreements. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I got -- I 24 understand your objection. That is fine. 25 Let's take them one at a time. Q.

Page 354 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 What kinds of services did Highland 3 provide to HCRE? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 4 5 of the question. 6 Α. HCMS, Highland employees provided 7 accounting services, treasury management services, potentially legal services. 8 9 don't -- but I wouldn't have been directly 10 involved in that. But as far as the teams that I manage, it was accounting, treasury, things 11 12 of that nature. 13 Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP --14 Α. And -- and, sorry, it would also be 15 any asset valuation if needed as well. Okay. We went back and forth on 16 0. each other and I apologize, so just to clarify. 17 You were talking about the services 18 19 that Highland Capital Management provided to 20 HCMS; is that right? 21 HCMS. So, again, yes. Α. And 22 accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax 23 services too. 24 0. Okay. 25 Α. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding

Page 355 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this, their HR services as well. 3 Q. Okay. And did that include bill 4 paying? 5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 6 7 Did the services that HCM provided to HCMS include bill paying? 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 9 10 of the question. 11 Yes. Α. 12 And did the services that HCMLP Q. 13 provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming bills? 14 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 of the question. 17 Yes. Α. And did HCMLP regularly pay -- cause 18 Q. to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from 19 20 HCMLP? 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 22 of the question. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Typically -- if there is a 25 typically, how far in advance of due dates did

Page 356 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 4 5 I mean, it -- it -- it depend -- it Α. 6 depended on the nature of the payment and the 7 vendor, but, you know, if there were -- if there were larger scheduled payments, you know, 8 9 I would like to give at least 30 days notice. 10 And that is -- that is kind of my rule of thumb so no one is surprised. 11 12 Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's Q. 13 practice to timely pay HCMS' bills? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 14 15 of the question. It -- it -- it -- that depended on 16 Α. the nature of the payment. 17 Okay. And can you explain what you 18 Ο. 19 mean by that? 20 Α. Yeah, I mean if -- if it was -- I 21 mean -- if there was some professional fees 22 that weren't -- you know, they were due but 23 they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid 24 as timely as others that have a due date or --25 or things like that.

Page 357 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because 3 of potential consequences of not paying on 4 5 time? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 6 7 of the question. Yes. As I testified earlier, we 8 Α. 9 would want to give, you know, notice on -- on -- on larger payments and -- and things of that 10 nature so we didn't miss due dates. 11 12 Okay. And over the course of time, Q. 13 did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in 14 a timely fashion? 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 of the question. I can't remember specifically, but 17 Α. 18 generally, yes. 19 Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide Ο. 20 similar services to HCRE that you have described it provided to HCMS? 21 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 Yes, but I don't think it -- it Α. 25 provided -- I don't think it provided HR

Page 358 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. services. 3 Can you describe the accounting and 0. 4 treasury services that HCMLP provided for HCRE? 5 Yeah, it -- it would provide Α. 6 bookkeeping services on a -- on a periodic 7 It would make payments, you know, as basis. needed. 8 Okay. So did it provide --9 Q. 10 And -- and I believe it -- it -- it Α. provided tax services as well. 11 12 Okay. And so did it provide the Q. 13 same kind of bill -- did HCMLP provide the same 14 kind of bill-paying services for HCRE that it 15 provided for HCMS and NexPoint? 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 17 of the question. 18 Α. Yes. 19 And over the course of time, did Ο. 20 HCMLP generally cause to be made the loan payments that HCRE owed to HCMLP? 21 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 Α. Yes. Did HCMLP make loan payment -- the 25 Q.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 loan payment that was due from HCMS to HCMLP in
- 3 December of 2020?
- 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- 5 of the question.
- 6 A. I don't believe that payment --
- 7 payment was made.
- 8 Q. Okay. And when HCMLP caused HCMS in
- 9 the past to make loan payments, whose money did
- 10 it use to make those payments?
- 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 13 A. It was the -- the money in HCMS's
- 14 operating account would be made to that --
- 15 those moneys would be used to make payment to
- 16 Highland Capital Management.
- 17 Q. Okay. And Highland -- is it correct
- 18 that Highland Capital Management personnel had
- 19 the access to HCMS's accounts to be able to
- 20 cause such payments to be made?
- 21 A. Yes, Highland personnel had access
- 22 to those accounts.
- Q. Okay. And so now for HCRE, whose
- 24 money was used when HCMLP caused HCRE
- 25 payments -- loan payments to Highland to be

Page 360 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. made? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 4 of the question. 5 It was -- it was cash in HCRE's bank Α. 6 account that would be used to make payments to 7 Highland Capital Management. Okay. And so did Highland Capital 8 Q. 9 Management have access to HCRE's funds in order 10 to be able to make such payments? 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 12 of the question. 13 Α. Personnel at Highland Capital 14 Management had access to HCRE's bank account to 15 effectuate the payments. 16 Okay. And was the payment due from Ο. 17 HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made? 18 Α. It --19 In December of 2020. Ο. 20 Α. It was not. 21 Okay. And was there money in HCRE's Q. 22 account that would have enabled the payment to 23 be made had HCM personnel attempted to make the 24 payment? 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

Page 361 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. 3 Α. I -- I don't recall. 4 Do you have any reason to believe 0. that either HCRE or HCMS simply didn't have the 5 funds on hand to make the December 2020 6 7 payments? Α. I don't know. 8 9 I quess I'm asking, do you have any Q. 10 reason to believe that they didn't have the 11 funds? 12 We managed cash for so many Α. 13 different entities and funds, and I don't 14 recall, you know, where the cash position was 15 for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. 16 Q. Okay. 17 I just don't recall, and I don't -and I don't remember what the loan payment 18 19 obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and 20 from HCMS to Highland. I don't recall. 21 don't recall, I mean... 22 Let me come at it a different way. 0. Were the -- were the payments that would 23 24 otherwise have been due in December of 2020 25 made in January of 2021 for HCMS and HCRE?

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. I believe the HCRE payment was made
- 3 in January of 2021. I don't recall any
- 4 payments being made from HCMS to Highland.
- 5 Q. If it -- how is it the HCRE payment
- 6 came to be made? Why did you make it -- why
- 7 did HCM make the payment in January of 2021?
- 8 A. Jim -- Jim called me and instructed
- 9 me to -- to make the payment on behalf of HCRE,
- 10 Jim Dondero -- Jim Dondero.
- 11 Q. Did he seem upset that -- that the
- 12 payment had not been made?
- 13 A. Yeah. On the note that was, you
- 14 know, that was the term note, yes, he -- he was
- 15 displeased that the -- that the payment had not
- 16 been made by year-end.
- 17 Q. Okay. And did you make the -- cause
- 18 the payment to be made as -- as requested?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And did anyone else from HCM
- 21 participate with you in causing the payment to
- 22 be made to -- on the HCRE loan?
- 23 A. Yes. It would have been Kristin
- 24 Hendrix. I -- again, I don't -- as I testified
- 25 earlier, I'm not an officer of HCRE. I don't

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 believe I'm an authorized signer. So I
- 3 can't -- other personnel have to make payment
- 4 from HCRE to -- to -- to Highland.
- 5 Q. Okay. And in the conversation
- 6 that -- that you had with Mr. Dondero when he
- 7 requested the payment to be made, did you say
- 8 to him words to the effect, Jim, this loan is
- 9 going to stay in default, what are you making
- 10 the payment for, anything like that?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. In fact, did you have the impression
- 13 from him that he thought that the loan would
- 14 be -- the default would be cured by making the
- 15 payment?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 18 A. Did I get the impression from Jim
- 19 Dondero that the loan would be cured if the
- 20 payment from HCRE --
- 21 Q. Yeah, if that is what he thought.
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 24 A. I didn't get any impression from him
- 25 on that at the time.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 Q. Do you know whether there was an
- 3 HCMS term loan that had a payment due in
- 4 December of 2020?
- 5 A. I don't recall.
- 6 Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't
- 7 recall whether or not there was a payment in
- 8 January of 2021 is because you just don't
- 9 remember whether there was such a loan at all?
- 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question.
- 12 A. I don't remember. There is -- there
- is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I
- 14 don't -- I don't remember. It's a lot to keep
- 15 track in your head.
- 16 Q. I understand, and -- and I hear your
- 17 frustration when you have explained that the
- 18 debtor has your documents and you don't, and so
- 19 I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on
- 20 you. It's a knock on somebody else on this
- 21 call.
- MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. That
- was pretty obnoxious, but go ahead.
- Q. Okay. But so, Mr. Waterhouse, if --
- 25 if a payment on the HCMS loan was made in

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 January of 2021, do you think it was part of
- 3 the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,
- 4 hey, why didn't that get paid, please make
- 5 that -- get that payment done?
- 6 MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of
- 7 the question.
- 8 A. Yes. Likely it would have been -- I
- 9 mean, again, I don't recall a payment being
- 10 made, but, you know, again, I don't remember
- 11 everything.
- 12 Q. Okay. Did -- at the time you were
- 13 communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the
- 14 payment being made, whichever payments were
- 15 made in January, did she say anything to you
- 16 about the payments not curing the loan
- 17 defaults?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to
- 20 take you back to very early in the deposition
- 21 when Mr. Morris was asking you about the --
- 22 the -- the -- the agreement with respect to
- 23 the -- the forgiveness element of the loans, so
- 24 that is just to orient you.
- Do you remember that there was a

Page 366 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. time that you and Mr. Dondero were 3 communicating about potential means of 4 resolving the Highland bankruptcy by what was colloquially referred to as a pot plan? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. And can you tell me generally 0. when that was? 8 Like mid -- mid 2020, sometime in 9 Α. 10 2020, mid 2020. Okay. And did the process of trying 11 Ο. to figure out what the numbers should be 12 13 involve looking at what one should pay for the Highland assets? 14 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 of the question. 17 Α. Yes. Okay. And did there come a time 18 Q. 19 when you were proposing some potential numbers 20 and Mr. Dondero said something to you like, well, why are you including payment for the 21 22 related party notes, those, you know, were 23 likely to be forgiven as part of my deferred 24 executive compensation?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

25

Page 367 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. Yes, we did have that conversation. 3 Α. Okay. Was that conversation in 4 Ο. 5 connection with trying to figure out the right 6 numbers for a pot plan? 7 I mean, it was -- it was -- I Α. Yeah. mean, Jim -- Jim would ask for, you know, 8 9 most -- most recent asset values, you know, for 10 Highland, and -- and myself and the team provided those to him, so it was in that 11 12 context. 13 Ο. Okay. And does that refresh your 14 recollection that these communications were in 15 2020 rather than 2021? 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 17 of the question. The -- the -- the executive 18 Α. 19 compensation discussions were definitely in 20 2020. 21 Okay. Now, did you ever make Ο. 22 proposals that took into account Jim's comment 23 that the notes were likely to end up forgiven 24 as part of his compensation? 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

Page 368 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

- 2 of the question.
- 3 A. Yes, we -- the team and myself put
- 4 together, you know, asset summaries of Highland
- 5 at various times for all the assets of
- 6 Highland, and not including the notes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And were those presentations
- 8 communicated to -- to Mr. Seery?
- 9 A. No. Well, look, I didn't tell -- I
- 10 didn't tell Mr. Seery. I don't know what
- 11 Mr. Dondero did with the information.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. I did not have conversations with
- 14 Mr. Seery.
- 15 Q. Okay. Do you know who saw the
- 16 presentations that you put together that didn't
- include the value of the related party notes?
- 18 A. We're talking presentations -- these
- 19 are -- these are Excel spreadsheets?
- 20 Q. Uh-huh.
- 21 A. I don't know who -- these were given
- 22 to -- to Jim Dondero. I don't know what was
- 23 done with them after that.
- O. Okay. You also mentioned earlier
- 25 that sometime during your tenure at Highland

Page 369 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you knew of the practice of giving forgivable 3 loans to executives. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 4 5 of the question. 6 Ο. Can you -- can you tell me what you 7 recall about that practice? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 8 9 of the question. 10 Yes, so there were -- there were -during my tenure at Highland, there were loans 11 or -- given to employees that were later 12 13 forgiven at a future date and time. 14 0. Okay. And when the loans were 15 given, did the notes, to your recollection, say anything about the potential forgiveness term? 16 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 When you say "did the notes," did Α. 20 the promissory notes detail the forgiveness? 21 Q. Yes. 22 Not that I recall. Α. 23 And until such time as whatever was Q.

to trigger the forgiveness occurred, were the

notes bona fide notes as far as you were

24

25

Page 370 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. concerned? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 4 5 Α. Yes, similar to -- yes. 6 Ο. Okay. You were going to say similar 7 to what? Α. Mr. Morris earlier today showed 8 notes of the financial statements about various 9 10 affiliate loans. I -- I -- I do recall these notes because I -- at that time personally 11 worked on the -- the financial statements of 12 13 Highland. That was, you know, in my role as a 14 corporate accountant. 15 And there were -- those loans 16 were -- to the partners were detailed in the notes to the financial statements, similar to 17 what we went through earlier today in the prior 18 testimony about what we saw with Highland 19 20 and -- and -- and the -- and HCMFA. 21 Is it fair to say that on Highland's Ο. 22 balance sheet there were any number of assets 23 that the value of which could be affected by 24 subsequent events? 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

Page 371 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. Yes. I mean, yes, that -- there 3 Α. 4 are. And that is -- yes. 5 Okay. And is it typical accounting 0. 6 practice that until there is some certainty about those potential future events, that asset 7 value listed on -- on the books doesn't take 8 9 into account those potential future events? 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 11 Yeah, if those -- yes. If -- if 12 Α. 13 those future events, you know, at the time of 14 issuance are not known or knowable, like I 15 discussed earlier with, like, market practice, asset dislocation, or, you know, I mean, things 16 like that, you -- I mean, it -- it could affect 17 its fair value --18 19 Ο. Okay. 20 Α. -- in the future. 21 And am I correct you wouldn't feel Q. 22 compelled to footnote in every possible change in -- in an asset when those possibilities are 23 24 still remote? 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

Page 372 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 of the question. The accounting standard is you have 3 Α. to estimate to the best -- you know, to -- to 4 the best of your ability, the fair value of an 5 asset as of the balance sheet date under --6 7 under GAAP. Did -- strike that. 8 0. 9 Okay. Give me a minute. I'm 10 close -- I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two 11 12 minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 13 14 record at 7:02 p.m. 15 (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. 17 Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your 18 Q. 19 understanding that people you work with now 20 have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files? 21 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 Yes, I am -- I am generally aware. Α. 25 Okay. And do you think you could Q.

Page 373 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. have been better prepared for this deposition 3 if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 4 5 of the question. 6 Α. I -- I -- I most certainly -- yes. 7 I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of 8 transactions. 9 10 You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these 11 are -- it would make this process a lot more --12 13 a lot easier and if we had -- if we had access 14 to that. 15 0. And has the debtor -- is the Okay. debtor suing you right now? 16 17 Α. Yes. And is the debtor trying to renege 18 Q. 19 on deals that it had previously made with you? 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 21 of the question. 22 Sorry, I need to -- it is my Α. understanding that the litigation trust is 23 24 suing me. And not being a lawyer, I don't

know -- is that the debtor?

25

Page 374 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Is that -- I don't know the 3 relationship. So, again, I'm not the lawyers. I've said many times. But my understanding is 4 the litigation trust is suing me. I could be 5 6 wrong there. I don't know. 7 Okay. I understand. Ο. Someone with some connection to the 8 9 Highland debtor has brought a claim against 10 you; is that fair? 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 12 of the question. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. And is there also some motion Q. 15 practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor or someone associated with the debtor is 16 attempting to undo something that was 17 previously resolved with you? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And so in one action somebody is Q. 21 associated with the debtors trying to --22 threatening you with trying to take money from you, and then in the other -- and trying to --23 24 and in the other they are threatening not to 25 pay you things that had previously been agreed;

Page 375 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. is that correct? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 4 5 I want to be -- yes, I -- there Α. 6 is -- I'm being sued, again, on -- on something that was agreed to with Mr. Seery and myself. 7 I don't -- I don't -- I don't own that claim. 8 9 Q. Okay. 10 To be transparent, I don't own that Α. So it is not my personal property. 11 12 Q. Okay. 13 Α. And -- and being the nonlawyer, I 14 don't know how I can get sued for something 15 that I don't owe or, like, I don't own anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if 16 that is -- if I'm understanding the facts 17 18 correctly. 19 Okay. And the lawsuit that was Ο. 20 filed that names you, that was just filed this -- this past week; is that right? 21 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Ms. Deitsch-Perez, I 23 do want to interrupt at this point because 24 just as I told Mr. Morris, that this is a 25 deposition about the noticed litigation.

```
Page 376
 1
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
                I really don't want to go -- go
 3
          afield --
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.
 4
 5
                MS. DANDENEAU: -- and open up a
 6
          whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit
 7
          or the -- the motion and the bankruptcy
          court. We will be here all night.
 8
 9
                MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:
                                     And I
10
          understand.
                My -- my point is: Do you feel
11
          Ο.
     like -- like there is some effort by these
12
13
     parties related to the debtor to intimidate
14
     you -- not that you -- I'm not saying you are
15
     or you aren't.
16
                But do you feel like there is some
     effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to
17
     deter you from being as prepared as you might
18
19
     be in this deposition?
20
                MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
21
          of the question.
22
                I was -- I was surprised by the
          Α.
     lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I
23
24
     don't own the asset and things like that.
25
     Yeah, I just -- I want to move forward with my
```

Page 377 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. life at Skyview. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 FURTHER EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. MORRIS: If I may, I just have a few 7 0. questions. 8 9 Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of 10 documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a 11 schedule of payments that were due on behalf of 12 13 certain Highland affiliates. 14 Do you remember that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And in each instance she asked for 0. your approval to make the payments; is that 17 right? 18 19 Yes, she did. Α. And was that the -- was that the 20 Q. practice in the second half of 2020 whereby 21 22 Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments 23 that were due on behalf of Highland associates 24 and ask for approval? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 378 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 And I think you said that there was Ο. 3 a -- a --It was -- I think I testified to 4 Α. 5 this earlier when we talked about procedures 6 and policy, you know, again, I want to be informed of -- of -- of -- of any 7 payments that are going out. I want to be made 8 9 aware of these payments, and that was just a 10 general policy, not just for 2020. 11 Okay. So it went beyond 2020? Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Is that right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. And the corporate accounting Q. group would prepare a calendar that would set 16 forth all of the payments that were anticipated 17 in the -- in the three weeks ahead; is that 18 19 right? 20 I -- like I testified earlier, we Α. 21 had a corporate calendar that was set up, you 22 know, to -- to provide reminders or, you know, 23 of anything of any nature, whether it is 24 payments or -- or financial statements or, you 25 know, whatever it is, you know, to meet

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

Page 379

- I don't know how, as I testified
- 4 earlier, how much they were using that
- 5 calendar.

deadlines.

1

2.

- 6 Q. Okay. But -- but you did get notice
- 7 and a request to approve the payments that were
- 8 coming due on behalf of Highland's affiliates.
- 9 Do I have that right?
- 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
- 11 A. I mean, generally, yes. I mean, you
- 12 know, as we saw with these emails, generally, I
- mean, did that encompass everything, no.
- 14 Q. Okay. Do you know why the
- 15 payment -- do you know why there was no payment
- 16 made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?
- 17 A. Yes. There was -- there was -- we
- 18 talked about these agreements between the
- 19 advisors and Highland, the shared services and
- 20 the cost reimbursement agreement.
- 21 And in late 2020, there were
- 22 overpayments, large overpayments that had been
- 23 made over the years on these agreements, and it
- 24 was my understanding that the advisors were --
- 25 were talking with -- like Jim Seery and others

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 to offset any obligations that the advisors
- 3 owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments
- 4 on these agreements.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of
- 6 those conversations?
- 7 A. I did not.
- 8 Q. Okay. Do you know -- do you recall
- 9 that the -- at the end of November, the debtor
- 10 did notice to the advisors of their intent to
- 11 terminate the shared services agreements?
- 12 A. Like I testified earlier, there
- 13 was -- the agreements weren't identical, from
- 14 what I recall, and there is one that had a
- 15 longer notice period, which I think had a
- 16 60-day notice period. I don't recall which one
- 17 that was, so not all of them were -- notice
- 18 hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all
- 19 of the agreements.
- 20 Q. Upon the receipt of the -- the
- 21 termination notices that you recall, do you
- 22 know if the advisors decided at that point not
- 23 to make any further payments of any kind to
- 24 Highland?
- MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form.

- 1 WATERHOUSE 10-19-21
- 2 A. No. The advisors -- the advisors
- 3 had stopped making payments prior to that
- 4 notice.
- 5 Q. Okay. And how do you know that the
- 6 advisors stopped making -- making payments
- 7 prior to the notice?
- 8 A. I had -- I had a conversation
- 9 with -- with Jim Dondero.
- 10 Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that
- 11 the advisors would no longer make payments to
- 12 Highland?
- MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
- 14 form.
- 15 A. Yes, he -- he -- again, he said
- 16 they -- they -- the advisors have overpaid on
- these agreements, to not make any future
- 18 payments, and that there needs to be offsets,
- 19 and they're working on getting offsets to these
- 20 overpayment.
- 21 Q. Do you know if anybody ever
- instructed Highland's employees to make the
- 23 payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of
- 24 the year?
- 25 A. Did anyone instruct Highland's

Page 382 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. employees to make that payment? 3 Q. Correct. Anyone -- not that I'm aware. Α. 5 Were any of Highland's employees Ο. 6 authorized to make the payments on behalf of 7 its affiliates -- withdrawn. Was any of Highland's employees 8 9 authorized to effectuate the payment on behalf 10 of NexPoint that was due at the end of the year without getting approval from either you or 11 12 Mr. Dondero? 13 Α. They had the -- they had the ability to make the payment, but they didn't -- you 14 15 know, that -- that payment needed to be 16 approved. Okay. And it needed to be approved 17 Ο. 18 by you or Mr. Dondero; is that right? 19 I mean, I'm not going to make the Α. 20 unilateral decision. 21 Is that a decision that you Ο. 22 understood had to be made by Mr. Dondero? 23 Yes. Sitting back in December of Α.

877-702-9580

2020, the -- that -- there was this off --

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

offset negotiation that -- that was happening,

24

25

```
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
```

- 2 so I mean, until those negotiations were
- 3 resolved, you know, there wasn't any
- 4 payments -- there weren't any payments.
- 5 Q. And -- and there were no payments
- 6 until the negotiations were resolved because
- 7 that was the directive that you received from
- 8 Mr. Dondero; correct?
- 9 A. I don't think he said -- I mean, I
- 10 think -- yeah, I mean -- I'm trying to recall
- 11 the conversation. It was -- you know, there
- 12 is -- there is these negotiations. There's --
- there needs to be these offsets. They're
- 14 talking with the debtor. So, you know, until
- 15 this is resolved, right, I mean, depending on
- 16 how, whatever that resolution was, were we to
- 17 take any action.
- 18 Q. Okay. How about with respect to
- 19 HCMS, did HCMS have a term payment due at the
- 20 end of the year?
- 21 A. Again, I don't -- I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. You discussed briefly two
- 23 payments that were made in January of 2021, one
- on behalf of NexPoint, and one on behalf of
- 25 HCMS. Do I have that right?

Page 384 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. The two payments I recall were 3 NexPoint and HCRE. 4 Okay. And those two payments --Ο. thank you for the correction. And those two 5 6 payments were made because Mr. Dondero 7 authorized those payments to be made; correct? Α. 8 Yes. 9 And they hadn't been made before Q. 10 that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? 11 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. 14 Α. Yes, because of these negotiations. 15 Okay. Just a couple of more Q. 16 questions. 17 Did anybody, to the best of your knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the 18 19 SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes 20 that were made on the TerreStar valuation? 21 Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's Α. 22 behalf tell the SEC that Highland -- that 23 Highland was responsible for there -- I just

25 It was a little bit different, so Q.

want to make sure --

24

Page 385 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. let me try again. 3 Α. These are very long questions, John. 4 I'm not trying to be --That is good. Do you know whether 5 0. 6 anybody -- do you know whether anybody on 7 behalf of HCMS -- HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the 8 TerreStar valuation error? 9 10 Not that I'm aware. Α. 11 Okay. Did anybody on behalf of Q. 12 the -- on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail 13 board that Highland was responsible for the 14 TerreStar valuation error? 15 Α. Not that I'm aware. 16 Do you know if HCMFA made an 0. insurance claim with respect to the damages 17 that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar 18 valuation error? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 And do you know why they made that Q. 22 insurance claim? 23 Α. Because there was an error. Ι 24 mean --

25

Q.

Was the insured's claim made -- was

Page 386 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21the insurance claim made under HCMFA's policy? 3 Α. Yes. Did HCMFA at any time prior to the 4 Ο. 5 petition date -- withdrawn. You were asked a couple of questions 6 7 where -- where you said that Mr. Dondero told you that he was ascribing zero value to the 8 9 notes as part of a pot plan because he believed 10 that the notes were part of executive compensation. 11 12 Do I have that right? 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 14 form. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. Have you ever heard that Ο. before the time that Mr. Dondero told you that 17 in the conversation about the pot plan? 18 19 Had I heard that prior to my Α. conversation with Mr. Dondero? 20 21 Q. Yes. 22 No, I had not heard that prior. Α. Okay. And that was in the context 23 Q. of his formulation of the settlement proposal; 24 25 is that right?

Page 387 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. I mean, generally, yes. You know, we were asked to provide asset values, right, 3 and he was having settlement discussions. 4 Again, I don't know who those went to 5 6 ultimately. I don't recall. 7 MR. MORRIS: I have no further questions. Thank you very much for your 8 9 patience. I apologize for the late hour. 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, you stay on about your email when --11 12 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, I'm not 13 done. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. Davor 14 15 still has questions. Sorry. I was going 16 to say both John and Davor, could you stay on afterwards just to talk about the 17 18 requests. FURTHER EXAMINATION 19 20 BY MR. RUKAVINA: 21 Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now Ο. 22 testifying about a discussion you had with Mr. Dondero where he said something like no 23 24 more payments. 25 Do you remember that testimony?

Page 388 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Α. Yes. 3 Okay. And was that late November or 0. 4 early December of 2020? 5 It was, I would say, first or second Α. week of November. 6 7 Okay. Do you recall whether --0. whenever you had that discussion, whether 8 Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the 9 10 debtor? 11 Yes, I -- I believe he was not an Α. employee of the debtor anymore at that time. 12 13 0. And when you were discussing this 14 with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments, you were discussing the two shared services 15 agreements and employee reimbursement 16 agreements we testified -- you testified about 17 before; is that correct? 18 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 That is correct. Α. 22 And had your office or you -- and we Q. will talk at a future deposition about the 23 24 administrative claim. 25 But had -- by that time that you

Page 389 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2. talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you 3 done any estimate of what the alleged 4 overpayments were? 5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 6 of the question. 7 Yes, we had -- there was a -- there was a detailed analysis that was put together 8 9 by David Klos at the time. 10 And do you recall just generally what the total amount for both advisors of the 11 12 overpayments was? 13 Α. It was in excess of \$10 million. 14 Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million? 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 of the question. 17 I -- I remember it was an eight-figure number. I don't remember 18 specifically. 19 20 Okay. And did you convey that Ο. 21 number to Mr. Dondero when you had that 22 conversation? 23 Α. Yes. 24 What was his reaction? 0.

I mean, he wasn't happy.

25

Α.

Page 390 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 O. Is it fair to say he was upset? Yes. 3 Α. Did Mr. Dondero ever expressly tell 4 0. 5 you to not have NexPoint make the required December 31, 2020, payment? 6 7 Yes, I recall him saying don't make the payment because it was being negotiated, as 8 I discussed with Mr. Morris, this offset 9 10 concept. So there were obligations due by the advisors to Highland, they should be offset 11 that -- you know, those obligations should be 12 13 offset by this -- by this overpayment. And when did he tell you that? 14 O. I would say -- I would say around --15 Α. 16 probably December -- December-ish. 17 Early December, late December? 0. I don't recall with as much 18 Α. 19 specificity as -- as -- as -- as stopping the 20 shared services payments, because we had actually made one shared services payment in 21 22 November. So that is why I need to remember 23 that one more clearly. I don't remember where 24 exactly in December that conversation occurred. 25 Did Mr. Dondero expressly use the Q.

Page 391

2 word "NexPoint" when he was saying don't make

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21

3 these payments?

1

- 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
- of the question, asked and answered.
- 6 A. Yeah, we were -- we were discussing
- 7 advisor obligations. So it was -- you know, it
- 8 was just obligations from the advisors.
- 9 And -- and he specifically talked
- 10 about the NexPoint payment as well.
- 11 Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that
- 12 he expressly told you not to make that NexPoint
- 13 December 31 payment?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and
- 15 answered twice.
- 16 A. Yes, he -- he did, during that
- 17 conversation.
- 18 Q. And did you ever follow up with him
- 19 after that about whether NexPoint should or
- 20 shouldn't make that payment?
- 21 A. I did not.
- 22 Q. Did you ever, on or about
- 23 December 31, 2020, remind him and say, hey,
- 24 this payment is due, what shall I -- what
- 25 should I do?

Page 392 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 I did not. Α. 3 So sitting here today, you -- you 0. remember distinctly that Dondero in December of 4 2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint 5 6 make that payment? 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and answered three times. 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Can you say categorically it wasn't Q. just some general discussion where he told you 11 not to make payments? 12 13 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and answer four times. 14 MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for 15 16 five. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Did you tell Mr. Seery that? Q. I don't believe I did. I don't 19 Α. 20 recall. 21 And was this an in-person discussion Q. 22 or telephone or email? Do you remember? 23 This was a phone -- a phone Α. 24 conversation. 25 Okay. Would you have a record of --Q.

Page 393 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21on your cell phone of when that conversation 3 might have taken place? I'm sorry, strike that. 4 5 Was that by cell phone? 6 Α. I believe -- yes, because we -- I 7 was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline. All I have is my cell phone. 8 9 Do you know whether your cell phone Q. still has records of conversations from 10 December 2020 on it? 11 12 My call log doesn't go back that Α. 13 far. 14 Q. Okay. Thank you. 15 MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the witness. 16 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple quick questions. 18 19 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: 20 21 With respect to HCRE and HCMS, am I 0. correct there was -- there was no direction not 22 23 to pay those loan payments? 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 25

Page 394 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Yes, I don't recall having conversations about, you know, those -- those 3 4 entities. 5 And, in fact, what was the tone that Ο. Mr. Dondero had when he talked to you about the 6 fact that HCRE and HCMS payments hadn't been 7 made when he found out that they hadn't been 8 9 paid? 10 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to form. 12 What was the tone he took with you? Q. 13 Α. Oh, it was -- it was -- it 14 was very negative. I mean, I think he cursed 15 at me and he doesn't usually curse. Okay. And in your mind, is that 16 Ο. consistent with the fact that he was surprised 17 18 that those payments hadn't been made? 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 Yes. Α. 22 Q. Okay. Thank you. 23 I have nothing further. MR. MORRIS: 24 Thank you so much, Mr. Waterhouse. 25 MR. HORN: I have no questions.

```
Page 395
                  WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
 1
 2
          Thank you, Mr. Waterhouse. We appreciate
 3
          your time. I am logging off the discussion
          and I will talk to y'all tomorrow.
 4
 5
                MR. MORRIS: Super.
                VIDEOGRAPHER: If there are no
 6
 7
          further questions, this ends the
          deposition -- excuse me. This ends the
 8
          deposition, and we are going off the record
 9
10
          at 7:30 p.m.
          (Deposition concluded at 7:30 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
                         FRANK WATERHOUSE
15
     Subscribed and sworn to before me
16
17
     this
               day of
                                    2021.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 396 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 CERTIFICATE 3 I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand 4 reporter within and for the State of Texas, do 5 6 hereby certify: 7 That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly 8 9 sworn by me and that such deposition is a true 10 record of the testimony given by such witness. 11 I further certify that I am not related to 12 any of the parties to this action by blood or 13 marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 15 16 hand this 19th of October, 2021. Jusan D Klinger 17 18 19 Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR 20 Texas CSR# 6531 21 22 23 24 25

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 397
2	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital	
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021	
4	NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse	
5	Reason Codes:	
6	1. To clarify the record.	
7	2. To conform to the facts.	
8	3. To correct transcription errors.	
9	PageLineReason	
10	Fromto	
11	PageLineReason	
12	Fromto	
13	PageLineReason	
14	Fromto	
15	PageLineReason	
16	Fromto	
17	PageLineReason	
18	Fromto	
19	PageLineReason	
20	Fromto	
21	PageLineReason	
22	Fromto	
23	PageLineReason	
24	Fromto	
25		